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# Landings, Fishing Effort, and Apparent Abundance in the Yellowtail Flounder Fishery 

BY FRED E. LUX ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

Otter-trawl exploitation of yellowtail flounder, Limanda ferruginea (Storer), on fishing grounds off New England began in the 1930's. Yellowtail are fished on three New England grounds: the southern New England ground (south of Massachusetts and Rhode Island), Georges Bank, and the Cape Cod ground (east and north of Cape Cod). The southern New England ground and Georges Bank have supplied most of the catch.

Landings have varied widely, rising to 68 million pounds ( 31,000 metric tons) in 1942, dropping to 13 million pounds ( 5,900 metric tons) in 1954, and rising again to 39 million pounds ( 17,700 metric tons) in 1961. An analysis of landings per day of fishing effort in 1943-61, calculated for New Bedford, Massachusetts vessels for each ground as a measure of relative apparent abundance, indicated that the pattern of apparent abundance generally was similar to that of landings. A comparison of total fishing effort with apparent abundance suggested that, following initial exploitation, apparent abundance varied independently of the effort level. The patterns of relative apparent abundance on the three grounds from 1949 to 1961 were similar.


A comparison between winter water temperature and landings per day suggested that there was an inverse relationship between temperature and apparent abundance.

## Introduction

The yellowtail flounder, Limanda ferruginea (Storer), is the most important of New England commercial flatfishes. Landings of 39 million pounds ( 17,700 metric tons) by United States otter trawlers in 1961 were worth 3 million dollars to fishermen. The fishery is of comparatively recent development with active exploitation of the stocks beginning in the mid-1930's. The catch rose rapidly in the early years of the fishery,
reaching a peak in 1942. Following this, it declined sharply, dropping to a low in 1954. Recent landings, 1957-61, have shown a marked increase.

The biology and population dynamics of yellowtail from the fishing ground off southern New England were studied in the early 1940's by Royce, Buller, and Premetz (1959). They assembled information on landings, fishing effort, and landings per day of fishing for the period 1942-49. They concluded from these data, and data on the size and age composition of the catch, that the major changes occurring in the fishery during the period of study resulted from natural fluctuations in abundance and availability rather than from overfishing. They cited evidence of faunal changes which they suggested may have been partly responsible for these fluctuations.

This present report results from a continuation and expansion of the abundance study of Royce and his co-workers. The development of the yellowtail fishery is traced, and an analysis of landings, fishing effort, and average landings per day of fishing for the three principal New England yellowtail fishing grounds for the period 1943-61 is given. Landings data were obtained from statistical digests issued by the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries and from the work cited above. Data for calculation of fishing effort and average landings per day of fishing effort, as a measure of apparent abundance, were obtained from fishing trip weighout schedules and vessel interviews for the port of New Bedford, Massachusetts.

## Historical Information

While yellowtail flounder frequently were caught in otter trawls before 1935, they rarely were landed in quantity because of a market preference for thicker-bodied flatfish such as winter flounder, Pseudopleuronectes americanus, and summer flounder, Paralichthys dentatus, (Royce, et al., 1959). A decline during the 1930 's in the abundance of winter flounder (Perlmutter, 1947), which

[^0]until then had made up most of the total flatfish catch, led fishermen to seek other species. More intensive exploitation of the abundant yellowtail began at this time and the fishory grew rapidly, with total landings rising to more than 68 million pounds ( 31,000 metric tons) in 1942.

## 1. Fishing Gear and Methods

All yellowtail are caught by otter trawlers, with vessels of 45 to 75 feet ( 14 to 23 m ) in length landing most of the catch. Size of trawl nets used varies with vessel size and power, footropes being about 60 to 80 feet ( 18 to 24 m ) in length. Vigneron-Dahl gear is used. During the early years of the fishery the nets were made of cotton lwine, but since the end of World War II most fishermen have changed to nylon. Mesh size of codends has varied; in the early 1960's it commonly was $4 \frac{1}{2}$ inches ( 114 mm ) stretched measure. Aside from small refinements, there have been no significant changes in gear since the late 1930's when Vigneron-Dahl gear came into widespread use.

A profitable catch for a yellowtail trip consists of about 10 to 20 thousand pounds ( 4.5 to 9.1 metric tons) for small draggers carrying 4 or 5 man crews. For larger vessels, carrying 6 or 8 men, it varies from 25 to 50 thousand pounds ( 11.3 to 22.7 metric tons). What constitutes a good trip is, of course, largely dependent upon price.

Trip length varies from 1 to 8 days depending on boat size, distance to ground, weather, fishing success, and fish price. The trawl is towed for about 2 hours for each set, and fishing operations are carried on day and night.

There is no yellowtail fleet in the same sense as there are haddock and redfish fleets which seek a particular species on a year-round basis. Fishermen fishing for yellowtail in the fall may change to summer flounder fishing in the winter months, when summer flounder are concentrated on offshore grounds, and to inshore winter flounder fishing in the spring. Sometimes fishermen fish for other spocies during a part of a yellowtail trip. Some vessels are converted to sea scallop dragging when yellowtail are scarce; they are reconverted to otter trawling when this again becomes more profitable. Some yellowtail fishermen join the industrial fishery in the spring and
summer months when the abundance of industrial species, used for fish meal, is highest. All of these conditions prevent clear identification of a yellowtail fleet.

## 2. Fishing Grounds

Yellowtail inhabit Atlantic coastal waters from the Gulf of St. Lawrence and the Newfoundland banks southward to Chesapeake Bay (Bigelow and Schroeder, 1953). They are found in water as shoal as 5 fathoms ( 9 m ) during winter months and in cold, deeper water in summer. They are usually caught in 20 to 35 fathoms ( 37 to 64 m ) of water, however, and mostly over sandy bottoms. The species is fished commercially on grounds off New England, which supply most of the catch, and on fishing banks southeast of Nova Scotia.

Yellowtail fishing areas off New England are the ones principally considered here, and these have been divided into three major grounds based on results of marking experiments and other studies (Royce, et al., 1959; Lux, 1963). The three grounds, defined in terms of the northwest Atlantic statistical units established for reporting catch by area (Fig. 1), are as follows ${ }^{2}$ :


Fig. 1. Principal fishing grounds off New England and areas (shaded) where most yellowtail are caught. (The statistical areas are those defined by Rounsefell, 1948.)

Southern New England ground. This ground includes the area from south of Nantucket to south of Long Island. It is made up of subareas XXII O, Q, R, and S, and area XXIII. Yellowtail are caught between the 20 and 30 fathom ( 37 to 55 m ) curves. Most of the catch is from subareas 0 and $Q$ and is taken from fall to early spring.

[^1]Georges Bank. This ground includes subareas XXII H, J, M, and N. Most of the yellowtail are caught between the 25 and 35 fathom ( 46 to 64 m ) curves here. The catch is highest from subareas M and N , taken mainly in the summer and fall.

Cape Cod ground. This ground includes areas along the eastern shore of Cape Cod, Cape Cod Bay, Stellwagen Bank, and shoal waters around Cape Ann, off Gloucester, Massachusetts. The ground is included in subareas XXII E and G, and yellowtail landings reported for these subareas are considered to have come from this ground. Most yellowtail are caught here between the 5 and 35 fathom ( 9 to 64 m ) curves and are taken principally from late fall to spring.

In addition to catches from these three major grounds, small quantities of yellowtail are taken off the Maine coast. These landings have been grouped under the heading "Northern Gulf of Maine," subareas XXII B, C, D, and F, in later discussion.

Results of tagging on each of the three major grounds showed that considerable movement, usually seasonal in nature, occurred within grounds, but that the amount of mixing between grounds was small. The movement patterns within each ground are given by Royce, et al. (1959) and Lux (1963). The extent of mixing is summarized below.

Of 2,945 yellowtail tagged on the southern New England ground in 1955, 1957, and 1959, there were 532 returns for which recovery ground was given. Of these, 513 were recaught on the southern New England ground, 14 on Georges Bank, and 4 on the Cape Cod ground.

Of 430 yellowtail tagged on Georges Bank in 1957, there were 119 returns for which recovery ground was given. Of these, 114 were recaught on Georges Bank and 5 on the southern New England ground.

Of 1,585 yellowtail tagged on the Cape Cod ground in 1957 and 1959, there were 268 returne for which recovery ground was given. Of thess 265 were recaught on the Cape Cod ground, 2 on the southern New England ground, and 1 on Georges Bank.

The above results indicated that yellowtail on each ground were relatively separate from
those on other grounds. This geographic separation of yellowtail was supported by information on occurrence of parasitized fish (Lux, 1963). About 35 per cent of the yellowtail from samples taken on the Cape Cod ground were infested with metacercariae of the trematode, Cryptocotyle lingua, although samples from the other two grounds were uninfested. Fin ray counts of yellowtail from the three grounds showed no differences, suggesting that if there were distinguishing features in fish from each ground they were small.

On the basis of the preceding data, a separate group of yellowtail was tentatively recognized on each of the three grounds. Each group was treated individually for the analysis dealt with here.

The fishery for yellowtail on the Nova Scotian banks is primarily a Canadian one, but United States vessels have made occasional trips to these distant grounds at times when fish were abundant there and the price was high. Most of the United States catch was taken near Sable Island (Fig. 1). Nova Scotian yellowtail apparently are of a completely distinct group from those caught off New England, for they differ from them in fin ray numbers and body proportions (Scott, 1954).

## 3. Ports of Landing

Yellowtail are landed at ports from New Jersey to Maine but currently most of the catch is landed in Massachusetts and Rhode Island (Table 1). Ports in Connceticut, New York, and New Jersey received moderate amounts of fish during the late 1930's and through the 1940's, but their importance has declined in subsequent years.

By 1940 New Bedford, Massachusetts had become the principal yellowtail port because of its previously established facilities and its proximity to the fishing grounds In all years following 1940 one half or more of the total yellowtail catch has been landed there. Yellowtail landings there in 1961 of 30 million pounds ( 13,600 metric tons) made up about 75 per cent of total landings of this species. Other ports of importance are Provincetown and Woods Hole, Massachusetts and Point Judith and Newport, Rhode Island ${ }^{3}$. Their combined 1961 landings totaled about 5 million pounds ( 2,300 metric tons).

[^2]TABLE 1. Annual United States landings of yellowtail flounder in New England States and in New York and New Jersey, 1938-61. (Landings 1938-45 are from data compiled by Royce et al. (1959). Landings for subsequent years are from Bureau of Commercial Fisheries annual statistical digests).
(In thousands of pounds; 1 metric ton $=2,205$ pounds)

| Year | Maine | Massachusetts | Rhode Island | Connecticut | New York \& New Jersey | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1938 | 301 | 16,985 | 364 | 1,781 | 3,384 | 22,815 |
| 1939 | 222 | 20,662 | 397 | 3,129 | 4,316 | 28,726 |
| 1940 | 827 | 28,352 | 1,059 | 4,090 | 6,544 | 40,872 |
| 1941 | 276 | 37,912 | 334 | 4,246 | 8,921 | 51,689 |
| 1942 | 26 | 47,932 | 2,420 | 6,193 | 12,007 | 68,578 |
| 1943 | 46 | 32,897 | 2,052 | 3,605 | 7,187 | 45.787 |
| 1944 | 127 | 19,283 | 3,027 | 3,187 | 5,518 | 31,142 |
| 1945 | 73 | 24,358 | 2,852 | 2,801 | 3,085 | 33,169 |
| 1946 | 37 | 23,709 | 2,240 | 3,171 | 2,311 | 31,468 |
| 1947 | 91 | 27,630 | 2,259 | 3,006 | 3,333 | 36,319 |
| 1948 | 118 | 32,087 | 3,293 | 1,352 | 2,778 | 39,628 |
| 1949 | 120 | 25,409 | 1,138 | 550 | 1,519 | 28,736 |
| 1950 | 145 | 21,399 | 1,029 | 302 | 1,241 | 24,116 |
| 1951 | 82 | 16,735 | 723 | 100 | 774 | 18,414 |
| 1952 | 55 | 15,306 | 1,334 | 49 | 234 | 16,978 |
| 1953 | 58 | 12,627 | 1,014 | 24 | 50 | 13,773 |
| 1954 | 24 | 10,972 | 1,681 | 30 | 56 | 12,763 |
| 1955 | 30 | 12,661 | 1,448 | 60 | 174 | 14,373 |
| 1956 | 52 | 11,756 | 2,444 | 161 | 230 | 14,643 |
| 1957 | 41 | 19,910 | 2,230 | 91 | 179 | 22,451 |
| 1958 | 64 | 29,519 | 2,984 | 226 | 532 | 33,325 |
| 1959 | 113 | 25,932 | 3,012 | 139 | 615 | 29,811 |
| 1960 | 65 | 27,701 | 2,110 | 160 | 1,171 | 31,207 |
| 1961 | 34 | 34,667 | 2,338 | 107 | 2,082 | 39,228 |

TABLE 2. Yellowtail landings by fishing ground, 1942-61. Landings were calculated for each ground from vessel interviews, $\log$ book data, and published statistics of the Bureau of Commercial Fisheries. Landings 1942-49 were taken from Royce et al., (1959). (In thousands of pounds; 1 metric ton $=2,205$ pounds).

| Year | Southern New England Ground | Georges Bank | Cape Cod Ground | Northern Gulf of Maine | Nova Scotian Banks | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1942 | 62,797 | 2,385 | 3,330 | 26 | 40 | 68,578 |
| 1943 | 39,777 | 2,784 | 2,831 | 74 | 321 | 45,787 |
| 1944 | 23,406 | 3,670 | 3,335 | 68 | 608 | 31,087 |
| 1945 | 22,862 | 2,990 | 2,554 | 30 | 4,733 | 33,169 |
| 1946 | 23,867 | 1,913 | 2,774 | 30 | 2,737 | 31,321 |
| 1947 | 26,706 | 4,976 | 2,387 | 49 | 1,636 | 35,754 |
| 1948 | 21,872 | 12,472 | 1,464 | 23 | 3,1:37 | 38,968 |
| 1949 | 10,305 | 16,097 | 2,711 | 46 | 651 | 29,810 |
| 1950 | 10,384 | 8,581 | 2,974 | 192 | 1,969 | 24,100 |
| 1951 | 6,144 | 9,505 | 1,858 | 137 | 770 | 18,414 |
| 1952 | 6,591 | 8,051 | 1,714 | 81 | 520 | 16,957 |
| 1953 | 4,358 | 6,389 | 1,705 | 89 | 1,225 | 13,766 |
| 1954 | 3,340 | 6,364 | 2,469 | 30 | 560 | 12,763 |
| 1955 | 4,807 | 6,495 | 2,874 | 45 | 152 | 14,373 |
| 1956 | 7,809 | 3,515 | 3,245 | 58 | 16 | 14,643 |
| 1957 | 11,996 | 5,074 | 5,196 | 57 | 123 | 22,446 |
| 1958 | 19,637 | 9,995 | 3,555 | 87 | 42 | 33,316 |
| 1959 | 17,060 | 9,106 | 3,365 | 140 | 122 | 29,793 |
| 1960 | 17,293 | 9,804 | 3,996 | 86 | 28 | 31,207 |
| 1961 | 25,645 | 9,365 | 4,145 | 49 | 24 | 39,228 |

## Relative Importance of Grounds

Yellow tail landings, recorded separately since 1938, have varied widely during the short life of the fishery (Table 1). They rose rapidly to a peak of 68 million pounds ( 31,000 metric tons) in 1942, declined to a low of 13 million pounds ( 5,900 metric tons) in 1954, and increased substantially again in recent years.

Landings by fishing ground for 1942-61, based on vessel interview data, are given in Table 2. The southernNew England ground has generally provided most of the catch, with the importance of Georges Bank increasing sharply after 1947. Landings from the Cape Cod ground were smaller, and showed less year to year fluctuation, than those from the previous two grounds. Information from vessel interviews and trip weighout schedules indicates that yellowtail landings from the northern Gulf of Maine subareas were principally caught incidentally to other fish. These data are of importance primarily in that they show the scarcity of yellowtail there. Landings from Nova Scotian banks contributed little to the total catch except during the late 1940's. They cannot be considered indicative of yellowtail abundance on these grounds, because New England fishermen fished there sporadically.

## 1. Methods

Interviews of New Bedford vessel captains, upon return to port, for information on catch, grounds, and time fished have been obtained since October 1942. Average yellowtail landings per vessel per 24 -hour day of fishing effort for vessels landing at New Bedford was calculated as a measure of relative apparent abundance on each of the three principal yellowtail grounds for the period October 1942 - December 1961.

The terms used here for describing yellowtail abundance and their definitions (Marr, 1951) are given below. Abundance is the absolute number of individuals in a population. Availability is the degree (a percentage) to which a population is accessible to the efforts of a fishery. $A p$ parent abundance is the abundance as affected by availability, or the absolute number of fish accessible to the fishery. Landings per unit effort measures apparent abundance rather than absolute abundance. Because landings per unit effort for a particular period is only relative to similar measures for other periods, it is considered a measure of relative apparent abundance.

Vessels landing yellowtail at New Bedford range in size from about 12, to over 100 registered gross tons. The vessel size composition of this fleet varies from season to season and from year to year for reasons given earlier. Yellowtail catching power varied with vessel size, and it was therefore necessary to take into account changes in the vessel size composition of the fleet for the landings per day calculations. To do this the vessels were divided into four tonnage groups: under 26, 26-50, 51-75, and 76-100 gross tons. Each of these groups was treated as a separate fleet for the calculations; comparisons and combinations of data were made in final analyses.

A definition of yellowtail fishing effort was required for the landings per day calculations. Because yellowtail are actively fished on grounds where other species are fished, including cod (Gadus morhua), haddock (Melanogrammus aeglefinus), red hake (Urophycis chuss), silver hake (Merluccius bilinearis), winter and summer flounder, it was inaccurate to define all effort on these grounds as yellowtail effort. It was not feasible, using available fishing area data from interviews, to define yellowtail fishing areas within these grounds with sufficient precision so that all effort within certain areas could safely be treated as yellowtail effort. As an aid in deciding what effort might reasonably be considered yellowtail effort and what effort was expended for other species, frequency distributions of the proportions of yellowtail in New Bedford dragger catches were drawn up, as was suggested by ICNAF (1960) for dealing with mixed species grounds. These are given in Table 3 for 1954 and 1961, years of low and high yellowtail apparent abundance, respectively. It will be seen that these distributions were similar in form in both years. The proportion of yellowtail in trips was either high or low, with relatively few trips falling in the intermediate categories. It was inferred from the form of these distributions that effort intentionally directed toward yellowtail was the effort of trips landing mostly yellowtail. Based partly on this analysis yellowtail effort was therefore defined as that of trips whose landings consisted of 50 per cent or more yellowtail, and this effort alone was used in landings per day calculations ${ }^{4}$.

From questioning New Bedford fishermen and examining trip interview data for that port it was learned that when yellowtail was the principal species being sought during a trip, the catch

[^3]consisted of at least 50 per cent yellowtail. It was therefore believed that in restricting from consideration trips whose yellowtail catch was less than 50 per cent of the total catch, the fishing effort intentionally directed toward yellowtail

TABLE 3. Percentage of yellowtail flounder in landings of New Bedford otter trawler trips in 1954 and 1961.

|  | Number of trips |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage <br> Yellowtail | 1954 | 1961 |
| 0 | - | - |
| Up to 9 | 1071 | 668 |
| $10-19$ | 805 | 438 |
| $20-29$ | 107 | 147 |
| $30-39$ | 45 | 97 |
| $40-49$ | 31 | 82 |
| $50-59$ | 33 | 88 |
| $60-69$ | 36 | 90 |
| $70-79$ | 51 | 128 |
| $80-89$ | 43 | 172 |
| $90-100$ | 83 | 271 |
|  | 265 | 842 |
| Totals | 2570 | 3023 |

TABLE 4. Percentages of total yellowtail landings included in landings per day calculations for the 3 principal grounds, 1943-61.

| Southern <br> New England <br> Ground |  |  | Georges Bank Cape Cod Ground |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |
| 1943 | 50.3 | 15.6 | 6.2 |
| 1944 | 36.4 | 43.9 | 9.9 |
| 1945 | 47.3 | 36.0 | 1.5 |
| 1946 | 54.8 | 26.2 | 2.9 |
| 1947 | 55.6 | 13.3 | 10.5 |
| 1948 | 58.2 | 40.9 | 3.0 |
| 1949 | 51.8 | 42.4 | 10.8 |
| 1950 | 37.0 | 52.0 | 10.2 |
| 1951 | 31.1 | 57.6 | 22.6 |
| 1952 | 30.9 | 48.3 | 26.4 |
| 1953 | 45.6 | 54.3 | 22.2 |
| 1954 | 26.8 | 74.7 | 17.4 |
| 1955 | 43.6 | 61.1 | 22.8 |
| 1956 | 44.0 | 78.2 | 8.3 |
| 1957 | 50.2 | 76.5 | 17.1 |
| 1958 | 61.6 | 85.3 | 4.3 |
| 1959 | 55.5 | 72.2 | 2.7 |
| 1960 | 61.8 | 67.6 | 5.8 |
| 1961 | 69.0 | 69.1 | 5.4 |

was included in the calculations, and that effort for other species was, for the most part, excluded.

Percentages of annual yellowtail landings from the three grounds used in the landings per day calculations are given in Table 4. For the southern New England ground and Georges Bank these averaged about 48 and 53 per cent, respectively, including therefore a large part of total landings from these grounds. For the Cape Cod ground the proportion of landings included in the calculations was small, less than 10 per cent of total landings in many of the years. Because of this the landings per day figures for this ground may be considered less reliable for estimating apparent abundance than were data from the other grounds.

Vessels of the 26-50 ton group were chosen as the standard yellowtail fishing vessels, because boats of these sizes most consistently expended a large effort toward yellowtail. For analysis it was desirable to caleulate, for a given ground, landings per standard day values (i.e., in terms of the $26-50$ ton vessels) that included catch and effort data of all vessel size groups combined. This was done by first adjusting the recorded days fished for each vessel group by its fishing power relative to that of $26-50$ ton vessels and by then calculating landings per day using the adjusted days fished ${ }^{5}$.

An estimate of overall fishing intensity directed toward yellowtail on each ground in each year in 1943-61 was obtained by dividing total yellowtail catch, by landings per standard day fished. This gave values of total yellowtail fishing effort in standard days.

Yellowtail landings, fishing effort, and landings per day of fishing effort for the three New England grounds are discussed below. The southern New England ground is considered first.

## 2. Southern New England Ground

The southern New England ground supplied most of the yellowtail catch before 1948. Landings from there for the carly part of the period 1942-61 were high, reaching a peak of about 63 million pounds ( 28,600 metric tons) in 1942 (Table 2, Fig. 2). A catch decline followed this, reducing annual landings to less than 10 million pounds ( 4,540 metric tons) for much of the 1950 's. Following the 1954 low of 3.3 million pounds

[^4]

Fig. 2. Yellowtail landings per stanclard day, fishing effort in standard days, and total landings for the southern New England ground, 1943-61.
( 1,500 metric tons) there has been a marked upward trend in eatch, however, bringing landings to over 25 million pounds ( 11,300 metric tons) in 1961.

Average annual landings per standard day and total fishing effort in standard days on the southern New England ground for all vessels in 1943-61, adjusted to the fishing power of 26-50 ton vessels, are shown in Fig. 2 along with landings. The relative apparent abundance of yellowtail, as shown by landings per standard day, was high in the carly part of the period, rising to 8,000 pounds ( 3.6 metric tons) in 1945 (Fig. 2). During the late 1940 's and carly 1950's it dropped to less than half of the 1945 peak, but in a number of years it has shown substantial increases. Overall patterns for landings and relative apparent abundance were similar.

Average landings per day and recorded days fished by season and year for October 1942 to December 1961 for interviewed boats of under $26,26-50,51-75$, and $76-100$ gross tons are given in Table A-2. These data show that apparent abundance generally was lowest in the second quarter, the spawning season, and highest in the third and fourth quarters. This seasonal pattern is similar to that shown by Royce et al. (1959) in their study of yellowtail from the southern New England ground, 1942-49, and it probably reflects seasonal changes in yellowtail availability.

The pattern of fishing effort was similar to that of relative apparent abundance in many of the years; however, there were notable exceptions (Fig. 2). One is seen in the middle 1940's when apparent abundance was high but fishing effort was low. This may have resulted in part from
low ceiling prices paid for yellowtail during World War II and from dangers of fishing during the war. In any case, low landings in some years stemmed not from scarcity of fish, but from failure of the fleet to exploit stocks of relatively high apparent abundance.

Fishing effort for yellowtail, especially by smaller boats, dropped markedly in 1949 (Fig. 2, Table A-2). At this time many of the vessels began fishing for industrial species, which had recently come into demand, rather than for yellowtail, since the latter had become scarce. Effort for yellowtail, especially by larger boats, has again increased with the apparent abundance increases of the latter part of the period covered. Average total fishing effort for the 19 -year period was 3,315 standard days per year.

## 3. Georges Bank

Georges Bank is second to the southern New England ground as a yellowtail producing area. Small catches of yellowtail were made there in the early 1940's by vessels whose principal effort was for other species. In addition, a small amount of yellowtail cffort was expended on the Cultivator Shoai area of Georges Bank (subarea H) during the late winter and carly spring, where concentrations of yellowtail were found in these seasons. Total landings of the early 1940's ranged from 2 to 3.5 million pounds ( 900 to 1,600 metric tons) per year (Table 2, Fig. 3). From 1947 on, subareas M and N were the most important yellowtail fishing areas on Georges Bank, the fish being caught there during the summer and fall. Increased catches from the Bank as a result of fishing in these subareas raised total landings to a peak of 16 million pounds ( 7,300 metric tons) by 1949. Following this, landings deelined slowly to 3.5 million pounds ( 1,600 metrie tons) in 1956. In 1957-61 they have again increased substantially.

Average annual landings per standard day and total fishing effort in standard days on Georges Bank for all vessels in 1943-61, adjusted to the fishing power of $26-50$ ton vessels, are shown in Fig. 3 along with landings. Relative apparent abundance was high during the early part of the period covered, reaching nearly 17,000 pounds ( 7.7 metric tons) in 1944. This was followed by a decline, reducing apparent abundance to a comparatively stable level of about 5,000 pounds ( 2.3 metric tons) in 1950-56. A slight apparent


Fig. 3. Yellowtail landings per standard day, fishing effort in standard days, and total landings for Georges Bank, 1943-61.
abundance increase in 1957-58 was followed by a drop to approximately 5,000 pounds ( 2.3 metric tons) again in 1959-61.

Average landings per day and recorded days fished by season and year for October 1942 to December 1961 for interviewed vessels of $26-50$, 51-75, and 76-100 gross tons, the vessel groups that fished this ground are given in Table A-3. Landings per day and fishing effort were highest in the winter months through 1946; following 1946 they were highest in the summer and fall.

Fishing effort on Georges Bank was low from 1943 to 1947, accounting for the low level of landings in these years when relative apparent abundance was high (Fig. 3, Table A-3). Effort increased in 1948 when intensive summer and fall exploitation of yellowtail in subareas M and N began. The high abundance of yellowtail in these subareas at this time, in contrast to the low abundance on the southern New England ground (Fig. 2), resulted in a rapid increase in Georges Bank fishing intensity, and by 1949, when effort and landings reached peaks there, apparent abundance had declined markedly. From 1950 to 1957 fishing effort gradually decreased despite the fairly stablo level of apparent abundance in this period. An increase in effort in 1958-59 followed the apparent abundance increase of 1957-58. With the subsequent drop in apparent abundance in 1959-1961, effort increased, maintaining landings at nearly 10 million pounds per year in this period. Average Georges Bank fishing effort in the 19-year period of 1,186 standard days per year was about one third of the annual effort expended on the southern New England ground in the same period.

## 4. Cape Cod Ground

The Cape Cod ground is of least importance, in terms of landings, of the three principal grounds (Table 2, Fig. 4). During most of the period covered, the catch there was relatively stable at about 2 to 3 million pounds ( 900 to 1,400 metric tons) per year. Following 1953 it has increased, however, with peak landings of 5 million pounds ( 2,300 metric tons) being obtained in 1957.


Fig. 4. Yellowtail landings per standard day, fishing effort in standard days, and total landings for the Cape Cod ground, 1943-61.

Annual landings per standard day and total fishing effort in standard days on the Cape Cod ground for all vessels in 1943-61, adjusted to the fishing power of $26-50$ ton vessels, are shown in Fig. 4 with total landings. Average landings per day and recorded days fished in this period by interviewed New Bedford vessels of under 26, and 26-50 gross tons, data from which landings per standard day was calculated, are given in Table A-4. Annual landings per standard day was highest in 1943, dropped to its lowest point in the early 1950's, and increased again in the latter part of the period. Since, as mentioned earlier, only a small part of total landings from this ground were included in the landings per day calculations for some years (Tables 4, A-4), they cannot be considered as valid an estimation of relative apparent abundance here as on the other grounds. They are useful, however, in that they provide a broad picture of abundance fluctuations on this ground. The data show that apparent abundance of yellowtail on the Cape Cod ground was considerably lower than on the other principal grounds in most years and that it fluctuated less. It was highest in the early part of the period covered, declined in the early and middle 1950's, and increased again in recent years.

Yellowtail fishing effort also remained stable compared with that on the other grounds. Average annual effort was about 860 standard days.

## Discussion

The patterns of relative apparent abundance of yellowtail on the southern New England ground and Georges Bank, after the period of initial exploitation, generally were similar to the patterns of landings. Landings per unit effort on Georges Bank was high on initial exploitation, and it declined when stoeks that had been accumulated in years of little fishing were cropped down following increased fishing in 1948 and 1949 (Table A-3, Fig. 3). Relative apparent abundance data for the southern New England ground do not date back far enough to show what happened in the early years of the fishery on this ground. Landings per day there of 11,000 pounds ( 5 metric tons) and fishing effort of 6,264 days in 1942, calculated by Royce et al. (1959) from $\log$ book records, and the data of Table A-1 for subsequent years, indicate that apparent abundance was declining in the early 1940 's. From what is known about the yellowtail fishery of these years, this coincided with a period of expanding exploitation on this ground.

While exploitation has reduced the size of the catchable population, there is no clear evidence from data presented here that overfishing has occurred. There is, in fact, some indication that, following initial exploitation, apparent abundance has varied independently of the fishery. This is indicated on the southern New England ground, for example, where apparent abundance has increased in recent years during a period of increasing fishing effort (Fig. 2, Table A-1).

The correlation coefficients between average landings per standard day and a three-year moving total of effort (the annual effort plus effort of the previous two years) for the three grounds in 1945-61 provided further information on the relation of stock abundance to effort (Table A-1, Fig. 5). For the southern New England ground $\mathrm{r}=0.46(\mathrm{p}=0.07)$, indicating that in the period covered the stock level has not been reduced by the amount of effort expended. The positive correlation suggests instead that the fishing level has followed, rather than preceded, the changes in apparent abundance, increasing when apparent abundance rose. A pattern of this kind might be expected in a mixed species fishery such as that of New England in which fishermen may change their effort to species other than yellowtail when it becomes profitable.


Fig. 5. The relationships between yellowtail apparent abundance and three-year sums of yellowtail effort for the southern New England ground and Georges Bank, 1945-61.

For Georges Bank the negative correlation coefficient between fishing effort and apparent abundance ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.82, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ) shows that the stock level dropped during the period of increasing effort, indicating that fishing had a significant effect on the stock on this ground (Fig. 5). Apparent abundance level from 1949 on was about half that of the pre-1949 period when the stock was relatively unfished. As the data of Fig. 5 show, however, fluctuations in apparent abundance from 1949 on were not obviously related to variations in effort.

For the Cape Cod ground the correlation coefficient between effort and apparent abundance of 0.11 ( $p>0.50$ ) suggests that there was no relationship between stock abundance and effort on this ground in the period covered.

The effort and apparent abundance data for both the southern New England ground and

Georges Bank in the latter part of the period covered further suggested that fishing level has lagged behind, rather than preceded, apparent abundance changes (Fig. 2 and 3). When apparent abundance increased in 1957-58, there was no corresponding increase in fishing effort until 1958. Similarly, effort remained high in 1959-60 although apparent abundance had declined.

Total fishing effort on the New England grounds averaged about 5,400 standard days per year. Approximately 62 per cent of this was expended on the southern New England ground, 22 per cent on Georges Bank, and 16 per cent on the Cape Cod ground.

The patterns of relative apparent abundance on the three grounds show that there was some correspondence from ground to ground in broad trends in yellowtail apparent abundance (Fig. 6, Table A-1). This correspondence suggests that conditions influencing apparent abundance level operated on all grounds simultaneously.


Fig. 6. Yellowtail flounder landings per standard day for the three principal New England grounds, 1943-61.

As noted in the introduction, Royce et al., (1959) concluded that yellowtail catch variations in the 1940's resulted from natural fluctuations in availability and abundance. They suggested that a warming trend may have effected temporary northeastward shifts in population centers, increasing yellowtail availability on the southern New England ground in the early 1940's and on Georges Bank a few years later. Past work has shown that southern New England ground yellowtail normally move toward the east in the summer months and toward the west in winter, possibly in response to seasonal temperature changes (Royce et al., 1959; Lux, 1963). An eastward
movement there in summer is in the direction of cooler water (Bigelow, 1933).

There has indeed been a marked upward trend in temperature in New England, especially in the winter, in the period in which yellowtail have been exploited (Fig. 7). Surface water temperature at Boothbay Harbor, Maine, and at other temperature stations, reached a peak in the early 1950's and declined slightly in 1955-61 (Taylor, Bigelow, and Graham, 1957; Bumpus, $1957 a$ and $b$; Day, $1959 a$ and $b, 1960,1963)^{6}$. Taylor et al., also found evidence of northward shifts in abundance and distribution of a number of marine animals in the period of rising temperature.


Fig. 7. Winter (January-March) surface water temperature at Boothbay Harbor, Maine in 1935-62, smoothed by 3 's, and yellowtail landings per standard day for the southern New England ground and Georges Bank in 1943-61.

Yellowtail apparent abundance on the southern New England ground and Georges Bank dropped to its lowest level at about the time when temperature reached its peak in the early 1950's; it subsequently increased in 1957-58 during a period of decreasing temperature (Fig. 7). The pattern suggested that there was an inverse relationship between temperature and yellowtail apparent abundance, but, as the data show, this was not clear cut. The correlation coefficient between mean January - March temperature for each year (unsmoothed data) and average landings per standard day in the same year in 1943-61 for the southern New England ground was significant at the 5 per cent level ( $r=-0.70, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ), while that for Georges Bank in 1948-61 was not significant ( $r=-0.48, p=0.09$ ).

[^5]Boothbay Harbor temperature data comprise the only unbroken New England water temperature record covering the period 1943-61. While shoreline temperature undoubtedly reflects to some degree the trend in bottom temperature offshore on the fishing grounds, comparison between Boothbay Harbor January March surface temperature and that recorded on lightships some distance from shore failed to show a high degree of association. The correlation coefficient between temperature at Boothbay Harbor and Portland lightship ( $43^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, $70^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$; depth 27 m ), using the 23 years comparable data given by Bumpus (1957a), although significant ( $r=0.61, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ), was not high. The correlation between temperature at Boothbay Harbor and Nantucket lightship, moored on the southern New England ground ( $40^{\circ} 37^{\prime}$ N, $69^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$; depth 55 m ), using 21 years data, was similar in magnitude ( $\mathrm{r}=0.63, \mathrm{p}<0.01$ ). However, the correlation coefficient between January March temperature recorded at these 2 lightships was not significant ( $\mathrm{r}=0.33, \mathrm{p}=0.25$ ), but here only 14 years data were available for comparison.

In view of the inconclusiveness of the above comparisons and of lack of continuous records of bottom temperature on fishing grounds, further analysis of the relationship of climatic change to yellowtail apparent abundance appears to be of little use at present.

## Conclusions

1. Fluctuations in yellowtail flounder landings on the principal New England fishing grounds have resulted from variations in apparent abundance and fishing effort.
2. Relative apparent abundance of yellowtail, as shown by landings per day of fishing effort, was high in the early part of the period 1943-61, declined in the late 1940's and early 1950's, and increased again in the late 1950's.
3. Apparent abundance usually was higher on Georges Bank, an offshore ground, than on the southern New England and Cape Cod grounds, which are inshore.
4. Trends in relative apparent abundance were similar on all grounds.
5. The available data provide no evidence that overfishing has occurred on the three New England grounds in the period covered. This
is the conclusion reached by Royce et al. (1959) for the southern New England ground alone in 1942-49.

## Summary

The New England fishery for yellowtail flounder developed in the 1930's when a demand for the species arose.

Otter trawlers of $45-75$ feet ( $14-23 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in length are the vessel sizes used. There is no true yellowtail fleet because the activities of the boats vary with season and with price and abundance of a number of species.

New Bedford, Massachussetts, where 75 per cent of the catch currently is landed, is the principal yellowtail port.

Yellowtail are fished on three New England grounds: the southern New England ground (south of Cape Cod), Georges Bank, and the Cape Cod ground (east and north of Cape Cod). Marking experiments indicate that each ground supports a relatively separate yellowtail group, with little movement occurring between grounds.

Total landings have fluctuated widely. They rose to a peak of 68 million pounds ( 31,000 metric tons) in 1942, declined to a low of 13 million pounds ( 5,900 metric tons) in 1954, and have risen again to 39 million pounds ( 17,700 metric tons) in 1961. The southern New England ground usually has supplied most of the landings.

Yellowtail landings per day of fishing effort, as a relative apparent abundance measure, was calculated for each ground for vessels landing 50 per cent or more yellowtail at New Bedford in 1943-61. Results indicated that the patterns of landings and relative apparent abundance were similar. In some years, however, low landings apparently stemmed from low effort on abundant stocks. Apparent abundance usually was highest on Georges Bank and lowest on the Cape Cod ground. Overall patterns of relative apparent abundance were similar on all three of the New England grounds.

Changes in the level of fishing effort often followed changes in apparent abundance level, effort in some cases increasing markedly after a relatively small apparent abundance increase. Total fishing effort for all grounds averaged about 5,400 standard days per year.

There was no evidence that overfishing has occurred. A comparison of New England winter
water temperature with landings per day suggested, however, that there may have been an inverse relationship between temperature and apparent abundance, but this was not clear.
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## Appendix

As noted in the text, yellowtail landings per day was calculated for each of the defined vessel size groups that fished yellowtail. The $26-50$ ton group was chosen as the standard yellowtail fishing gear, and it was desired to express landings per day for each year, of all vessel groups combined, in landings per standard day fished, that is, in terms of the $26-50$ ton group. This was accomplished by dividing total yellowtail landings obtained through the recorded yellowtail effort on a given ground, by total standard days fished in obtaining this catch, as shown in the equation

$$
\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=\frac{(\mathrm{DA})_{1}+(\mathrm{DA})_{2}+(\mathrm{DA})_{3}+(\mathrm{DA})_{4}}{\mathrm{D}_{1}^{\prime}+\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\prime}+\mathrm{D}_{3}^{\prime}+\mathrm{D}_{4}^{\prime}}
$$

in which, for a given year:
the subseripts $1,2,3$, and 4 refer to the under $26,26-50,51-75$, and 76-100 ton vessel groups, respectively;
$\mathrm{D}=$ days fished for yellowtail by a given vessel group (Tables A-2, A-3, and A-4);
$\mathrm{A}=$ landings per day for a given vessel group (Tables A-2, A-3, and A-4);
$\mathrm{D}^{\prime}=$ standard days fished for yellowtail by a given vessel group;
$\mathrm{A}^{\prime}=$ landings per standard day for all vessel groups combined.
To obtain the values for $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}$ for the different vessel groups, the fishing power of each group in relation to fishing power of the 26-50 ton group was calculated. For the southern New England ground, as an example, data in Table A-2 were used. To find the relative fishing power of the under 26 ton vessels, the average landings per day for the entire period for this group, unweighted for fishing effort, was calculated, using annual landings per day data from all years in which 50 or more days of yellowtail fishing effort was
recorded ${ }^{7}$. The resulting average of 4,232 pounds per day was based on annual landings per day for 13 years (1943-50 and 1957-61). Average landings per day for these years for the $26-50$ ton vessels, calculated in the same way, was 5,184 pounds. Evidently the fishing power of the smaller vessels was $4,232 / 5,184$ or 0.816 times that of the $26-50$ ton vessels. This fishing power factor was used to convert days fished of the smaller vessels (Table A-2) to standard days fished. This same method was used in converting the days fished of 51-75 and 76-100 ton vessels into standard days.

The above procedure, except as noted below for the Cape Cod ground, was followed for the three principal grounds, using data from Tables $\mathrm{A}-2, \mathrm{~A}-3$, and A-4. Resulting mean landings
per standard day for all grounds are given in Table A-1.

For the Cape Cod ground the data of Table A-4 were considered inadequate for determining relative fishing power of the two vessel groups that fished this ground, since recorded effort was less than 50 days in many of the years. The fishing power factor calculated for the same vessel groups on the southern New England ground was therefore used to convert days fished by the under 26 -ton vessels on the Cape Cod ground to standard days.

Total fishing effort in standard days fished, by year for each ground, was obtained by dividing the total yellowtail landings (Table 2) by landings per standard day (Table A-1). The resulting fishing effort figures are given in Table A-1.

TABLE A-1. Total estimated yellowtail fishing effort in standard days fished and average yellowtail landings per standard day in pounds for the principal New England grounds, 1943-61.
( 1 metric ton $=2,205$ pounds)

| Year | Southern New England Ground |  | Georges Bank |  | Cape Cod Ground |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days fished | Landings per day | Days <br> fished | Landings per day | Days fished | Landings per day |
| 1943 | 5,681 | 7,002 | 198 | 14,047 | 529 | 5,350 |
| 1944 | 4,164 | 5,621 | 217 | 16,881 | 1,008 | 3,308 |
| 1945 | 2,852 | 8,015 | 282 | 10,605 | 606 | 4,218 |
| 1946 | 3,596 | 6,636 | 232 | 8,264 | 622 | 4,457 |
| 1947 | 4,550 | 5,869 | 480 | 10,360 | 746 | 3,202 |
| 1948 | 5,047 | 4,334 | 1,122 | 11,116 | 472 | 3,101 |
| 1949 | 3,248 | 3,173 | 2,493 | 6,458 | 677 | 4,004 |
| 1950 | 3,062 | 3,391 | 1,636 | 5,246 | 952 | 3,123 |
| 1951 | 1,889 | 3,253 | 1,611 | 5,900 | 792 | 2,345 |
| 1952 | 2,364 | 2,788 | 1,596 | 5,043 | 760 | 2,255 |
| 1953 | 1,442 | 3,023 | 1,241 | 5,149 | 778 | 2,193 |
| 1954 | 1,200 | 2,784 | 1,385 | 4,595 | 890 | 2,773 |
| 1955 | 1,529 | 3,143 | 1,234 | 5,262 | 996 | 2,887 |
| 1956 | 2,297 | 3,399 | 790 | 4,452 | 1,340 | 2,421 |
| 1957 | 2,396 | 5,063 | 821 | 6,181 | 1,439 | 3,610 |
| 1958 | 3,666 | 5,356 | 1,400 | 7,139 | 925 | 3,842 |
| 1959 | 4,904 | 3,479 | 1,969 | 4,625 | 762 | 4,415 |
| 1960 | 4,439 | 3,896 | 2,018 | 4,858 | 1,121 | 3,564 |
| 1961 | 4,686 | 5,473 | 1,816 | 5,158 | 914 | 4,535 |

${ }^{7}$ ) A comparison of landings per day of the different vessel size groups for the 19-year period covered suggested that a useful estimate of landings per day could be obtained where 50 or more days fishing effort had been recorded.

TABLE A-2. Days fished and average yellowtail landings per day in pounds for otter trawler trips landing 50 per cent or more yellowtail at New Bedford from the southern New England ground, by vessel size and by calendar quarter and year, 1942-61. ( 1 metric ton $=2.205$ pounds).

| Year and Quarter | Vessel Size (Gross Tons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 26 |  | 26-50 |  | 51-75 |  | 76-100 |  |
|  | Days fished | Landings per day | Days <br> fished | Landings per day | Days <br> fished | Landings <br> per day | Days <br> fished | Landings <br> per day |
| 1942 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1943 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | 277.8 | 4.993 |  | 5.594 |  |  |  |  |
| Apr. - Jun. | 356.3 | ${ }_{4}^{4,185}$ | 639.4 299.0 | 4,821 | 155.6 9.9 | 8,449 | 二 |  |
| Jul. - Sept. | 496.3 | 7,683 | 392.4 |  | 34.0 | 18,304 | 20.7 | 12,202 |
| Oct. Anec. Anual Total | 1,200.9 ${ }^{76.5}$ | 5,871 | 1,533.4 | 6,628 | $\stackrel{44.2}{44.7}$ | 8,681 9,681 | 24.8 45.5 | 7,7607 |
| 1944 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | 273.7 76.0 | 4, 4.304 | 547.6 | ${ }_{5}^{5,509}$ | 63.3 | 5.925 | 8.5 | 5,106 |
| Apr, - Jun. | 153.0 | 4,029 4,558 | 48.2 317.6 | 5,108 6,690 | 37.6 | 7.111 | 6.3 | 7.798 |
| Oct. - Dec. | 3.5 506 | 1,707 | 47.1 | 4,173 | 3.1 | 3,010 |  |  |
| Annual Total | 506.6 | 4,322 | 960.5 | 5,814 | 104.0 | 6,267 | 14,8 | 6,252 |
| 1945 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.- Mar. | 136.0 | 4,554 | 239.7 | 5,764 | 35.3 | 6,274 |  | - |
| April. - Jun. | 4.0 | 5,616 | 12.3 | 4,358 | - |  |  | - |
| Jut. - Sept. | 167.5 153.2 | 7,396 7005 | 253.7 207 | 9,157 | 44.8 | 8,876 |  |  |
| Annual Total | ${ }_{460.7}$ | 6,412 | 293.3 | 9,551 8,216 | 64.2 144.3 | 10,080 8,775 | - |  |
| 1946 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | 122.2 | 5,726 | 212.6 | 6,113 | 56.3 | 7,249 | - |  |
| Apr. - Jun, | 19.0 185.5 | 5,228 | 58.2 367.6 | 6,463 6,883 | 1.0 81.5 | 7,750 6,230 | 11.1 |  |
| Oct. - Dec. | 213.1 | 5,777 | 545.3 | 7,066 | 129.2 | \% 77,386 | ${ }_{12.1}^{11.1}$ | 6,844 6,073 |
| Annual Total | 539.8 | 5,533 | 1,183.7 | 6,808 | 268.0 | 7,007 | 23.2 | 6,442 |
| 1947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar, | 82.8 | 5,064 | 285.5 | 5,634 | 88.3 | 6,196 | 12.7 | 5,298 |
| Apr. - Jun. | 136.5 | 3,817 | 176.9 | 4,522 | 7.4 | 2,164 |  |  |
| Jul. - Sept. | 145.9 | 6,719 | 364.6 | 7,871 | 82.3 | 8,648 |  |  |
| Oct. - Anec. Annual Total | ${ }_{691.7}^{326.5}$ | ${ }_{5,054}^{4.824}$ | 756.0 $1,583.0$ | 5,295 5,863 | 104.9 282.9 | 5,4254 6,455 | 24.9 37.6 | 6.871 6,340 |
| 1948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | 193.2 | 2.927 | 540.8 | 3.144 | ${ }^{69.5}$ | 4,185 | 13.5 | 3,076 |
| Apr. - June | 152.9 186.3 | 3,524 | 328.8 588.1 | 3,850 4,793 | 16.0 60.4 | 5,535 4,703 | 11.4 |  |
| Oct. - Dec. | 114.1 | 4,131 | 593.4 | 4,896 | 104.7 | 6,478 | 26.5 | 6,831 |
| Annual Total | 646.5 | 3,705 | 2,051.1 | 4,237 | 250.6 | 5,354 | 51.4 | 5,996 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jur. - Supt. | 16.5 4.2 | 2,547 | 103.2 | 4,738 | 6.5 10.0 | 5,146 | 12.0 | 7,135 |
| Oct. - Dec. | 45.8 | 2,966 | 361.8 | 3,788 |  | 4,969 | 24.2 | 5,954 |
| Annual Total | 168.1 | 2,464 | 1,282.2 | 3,058 | 176.2 | 4,095 | 43.2 | 6.446 |
| 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | 52.0 | 2,548 | 266.2 | 2,973 | 62.6 | 3,225 | 32.1 | 3,584 |
| Apr. - Jun. | 9.8 |  | 12.5 48.9 | 2, 2,556 | 3.0 | 1,027 |  | - |
| Oct. - Dec. | 34.2 | 3,327 | 437.8 | 3,852 | 135.6 | 4.118 | 10.5 | 4,706 |
| Annual Total | 96.0 | 3,000 | 765.4 | 3,437 | 201.2 | 3.794 | 42.6 | 3,860 |
| 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.- Mar. | - | - | ${ }_{9}^{95.6}$ | 2, 2,218 | 13.5 4.0 | 3,195 1,781 | 4.0 | 3,750 |
| Jul - Sept. |  |  | 122.6 | 3,592 | 33.6 | 7.136 | 4.0 | 1,406 |
| Oct. - Anec. Anual Total | 7.2 | 3,538 3,538 | 195.5 420.1 | 3,069 3,038 | $\begin{array}{r}728.4 \\ \hline 7.4\end{array}$ | 3,871 4,589 | 8.0 | $\underline{2,578}$ |
| 1932 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.- Mar. | 1.3 2.0 | ${ }_{2}^{2,660}$ | 37.4 3.0 | 3,820 1,472 | 8.2 | 4,417 | - | - |
| Anr. - Jun. | ${ }_{4.0}^{2.0}$ | - ${ }^{2,660}$ | 3.0 65.0 | ${ }_{2}^{1,472}$ | 10.0 | 4,375 |  |  |
| Oct. - Dec. | 9.3 | ${ }_{2}^{2.126}$ | ${ }^{342.2}$ | 2,704 | 185.9 | 3,346 | 22.5 |  |
| Annual Total | 16.6 | 1,923 | 447.6 | 2,713 | 204.1 | 3,439 | 22.5 | 3,930 |
| 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | 7.3 1.0 | ${ }_{2}^{2,171}$ | ${ }^{156.0}$ | 2,440 | 44.0 | ${ }_{\text {S }}^{2,728}$ | 2.6 | 2,571 |
| Apr. - Jun. | 1.0 3.0 | 2,125 1,833 | ${ }_{92}^{27.2}$ | ${ }_{3}^{2,758}$ | ${ }^{6} \mathbf{6 . 5}$ | ${ }_{5,484}^{5,212}$ |  | $\cdots$ |
| Oct. - Dec. |  |  | 134.1 | 3,145 | 95.5 | 3,144 | 5.2 |  |
| Annual Total | 11.3 | 2,077 | 410.0 | 2,959 | 193.0 | 3,689 | 7.8 | 4,804 |
| 1954 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan.-Mar. | 10.0 | 2,611 | 34.5 9.8 | ${ }_{2}^{2,319}$ | 56.2 | 3,231 | $\underline{14.0}$ | 4,107 |
| Jul. - Sept. | 4.0 | 348 | 7.5 | ${ }_{2}^{2,639}$ | - | - | 二 |  |
| Oct. - Anec. | ${ }_{35}^{21.3}$ | ${ }^{1,596}$ | 103.8 155 | 3,201 | 40.0 | ${ }_{3}^{3.142}$ | 5.0 19.0 | 2,800 |
| Annual Total |  | 1,742 |  | 2,927 | 96.2 | 3,194 | 19.0 | 3,763 |

TABLE A－2（continued）

| Year and Quarter | Vessel Size（Gross Tons） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 26 |  | 26－50 |  | 51－75 |  | 76－100 |  |
|  | Days fished | Landings <br> per day | Days fished | Landings per day | Days fished | Landings per day | Days fished | Landings per day |
| 1955 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan．－Mar． | 8.6 | 1，875 | 127.2 | 2.840 | 34.1 | 3，330 | 17.5 | 3，018 |
| Apr．－Jun． | 2.0 | 1，237 | 7.0 | 2，969 |  |  |  |  |
| Jul．－Sept． | 4.0 14.0 | 719 1.328 | 24.2 264.9 | 4,661 3,267 | 114.5 | 9,817 3,882 |  |  |
| Oct．－Dec． Annual Total | 14.0 28.6 | 1,328 1,401 | 264.9 423.3 | 3,267 3,213 | 114.7 150.3 | 3,882 3,816 | ${ }_{35.5}^{18.0}$ | 3,772 3,400 |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan．－Mar． | 30.1 | 2，354 | 117.3 | 2，503 | 58.5 | 2，633 | 1.0 | 3，750 |
| Apr．－Jun． | －－ |  | 16.7 | 3，331 | 10.5 | 4，401 | － |  |
| JuL－Sept． Oct．－Dec． | 11.1 6.8 | 2,667 3,299 | 121.1 380.5 | 4,236 3,718 | 45.5 160.0 | 4,563 3,614 | 9.5 |  |
| Oct．－Dec． Annual Total | 6.8 48.0 | 3,269 2,560 | 385.6 | 3，582 | 274.5 | 3，593 | 10.5 | 5，250 |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan．－Mar． | 7.0 | 3，356 | 230.2 | 3，388 | 76.0 | 4，116 | 8.5 | 4，634 |
| Apr．－Jun． | 21.0 | 2，500 | 24.5 74.5 | 3,392 3,579 | 4.5 20.5 | 4,667 5,530 |  |  |
| Jul．－Sept． | 21.4 24.5 | 1,965 $\mathbf{S} 269$ | 74.5 307.3 | 3,579 6,495 | 266．1 | 5，257 | 40.5 | 2,333 7,014 |
| Annual Total | 53.9 | 3，658 | 636.5 | 4，911 | 367.1 | 6，478 | 67.7 | 5，422 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan．－Mar． | 39.5 | 5，366 | 304.0 | 4，908 | 166.2 | 5，215 | 40.5 | 5，028 |
| Apr．－Jun． | 8.0 | 3，929 | 97.2 | 4，072 | 72.2 | 3，196 | 4.3 | 3，284 |
| Jul．－Sept． | 6.4 | 5，816 | 166.1 | 6，111 | 59.6 | 6，390 | 12.8 | 6,948 |
| Oct．－Dec． | 10.8 64.7 | 3,928 4,993 | 562.9 $1,130.2$ | 5，954 | 440.4 738.4 | 6,643 5,964 | 106.1 163.7 | 7.626 6,816 |
| 1959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan．－Mar． | 37.8 | 2.696 | 462.8 | 3，425 | 157.0 | 3.942 | 30.0 | ${ }_{3}^{4,672}$ |
| Apr．－Jun． Jul．－Sept． | 5.2 | 3,540 4,590 | 186.1 | 3，453 | 81.0 188.8 | 2，880 | 17.0 15.8 | 3，094 |
| Jut．－Sept． | 6.5 39.3 | 4,590 2,098 | 201.3 546.4 | 3，030 | 188.8 468.3 | 6,400 | 93.2 | 3，468 |
| Oct－Annual Total | 88.8 | 2，619 | 1，396．6 | 3，576 | 895.1 | 4，055 | 156.0 | 3，913 |
| 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan．－Mar． | 48.1 | 1，780 | 451.8 | 2.575 | 188.4 | 3，869 | 19.2 | 3，785 |
| Apr．－Jun． | 36.5 20.7 | 2,281 3,852 | 117.8 395.6 | 2，205 | 40.3 191.6 | 2,234 4,278 | 21.2 39.9 | 4,682 4,460 |
| Jul－Sept． | 52.8 | 4，369 | 515.9 | 5，017 | 373.4 | 5.802 | 78.6 | 5，219 |
| Annual Total | 158.1 | 3，031 | 1，481．1 | 3，877 | 793.7 | 4，794 | 158.9 | 4，221 |
| 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan．－Mar． |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50.0 | 6，415 |
| Apr，－Jun． | 44.5 20.5 | 2，986 5,808 | 239.7 392.3 | 3，463 ， 352 | 177．4 | 3,930 $\mathbf{3}, 469$ | 50.9 40.9 | 4,835 7,138 |
| Oct．－Dec． | 32.9 | 6，741 | 452.5 | 7，866 | 482.2 | 8，443 | 51.2 | 10，041 |
| Annual Total | 181.3 | 4，356 | 1，592．9 | 5，429 | 1，107．8 | 6，413 | 147.1 | 7，824 |

TABLE A－3．Days fished and average yellowtail landings per day in pounds for otter trawler trips landing 50 per cent or more yellowtail at New Bedford froin Georges Bank，by vessel size and by calendar quarter and year，1942－61．（1 mettic ton $=2,205$ pounds）．

| Year \＆ Quarter | Vessel Size（Gross Tons） |  |  |  |  | 76－100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days fished | Landings per day | Days fished | Landings per day | Days fished | Landings per day |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1942 \\ & \text { Oct. - Dec. } \end{aligned}$ | 3.1 | 28，703 | 9.4 | 16，037 | 2.9 | 32，025 |
| 1943 <br> Jan．－Mar． <br> Apr．－Jun． <br> Jui．－Sept． <br> Oct．－Dec． <br> Annual Total | $\underline{\Sigma}_{\text {－}}^{5.6}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underline{14,786}_{\overline{-}_{14,786}} \end{aligned}$ | 16.7 $=$ 16.7 | $\frac{14,932}{-}_{14,932}$ | ${\underset{5}{-}}_{5.2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I9,455 }^{-} \\ & \overline{19,455} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1944 <br> Jan．－Mar． <br> Apr．－Jun． <br> Jul．－Sept． <br> Oct．－Dec． <br> Annual Total | － 17.7 | $\stackrel{13,103}{=}_{13,103}$ | 40．8 － 6．4 47.2 | $\stackrel{21,769}{\square}$ | 19.8 <br> $\overline{\text { 二 }}$－ | 18,482 二 18,482 |
| 1945 <br> Jan．－Mar． <br> Apr．－Jun． <br> Jul．－Sept． <br> Oct．－Dec． <br> Annual Total |  | $\frac{6,748}{\stackrel{-}{12,867}} \underset{\substack{6,89}}{ }$ | $\begin{array}{r}27.9 \\ \hline 9.0 \\ \hline 6.9\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}7,184 \\ \hline 14,076 \\ \hline 8,865\end{array}$ | 37.8 <br> $=$ <br> $\mathbf{3 7 . 8}$ | 17，527 $\overline{\text { 二 }}$ 17.527 |
| 1946 <br> Jan．－Mar． <br> Apr．－Jun． <br> Jul．－Sept． <br> Oct．－Dec． <br> Annual Total | 2．5 $=$ 2.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{13,259}{\text { च }} \\ & \text { 13,259 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{24.7}{10.9} \\ & \frac{10}{36.5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{9,925}{8,962} \\ & 9,630 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{13.7}{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{8,507}{=} \\ & \frac{8,507}{} \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE A-3 (continued)

| Year \& Quarter | Vessel Size (Gross Tons) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days fished | 0 Landings per day | Days fished | Landings per day | Days fished | Landings per day |
| 1947 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Apr. - Jun. | - 1.8 | 5.58 | 5.8 | 8.966 |  | - |
| Jul. - Sept. | 1.8 | 5,586 | 5.8 | 8,966 | $\overline{30} 6$ | 13 |
| Oct. - Dec. | 1.9 | 7,871 6,759 | 13.5 | 13,016 | 30.6 30.6 | 13,377 13,377 |
| $1948$ | 3.7 | 6,759 | 19.3 | 11,399 |  | 1,377 |
| Jan.-Mar. | - | - | 15.0 | 8,228 | 7.2 | 9,021 |
| Apr.-Jun. | 117. | 11.008 | 55. |  |  | - |
| Jul. - Sept. | 117.0 39.4 | 11,008 8,548 | 55.1 21.8 | 13,485 10,806 | 112.9 37.9 | 15,629 14,287 |
| Annual Total | 156.4 | 10,388 | 91.9 | 11,991 | 158.0 | 15,006 |
| 1949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | 20.1 | 3,986 | 19.5 | 6,482 | 2.9 | 17,210 |
| Apr. - Jun. | 51.6 | 3,909 | 7.9 | 5,839 | 4.8 | 5,313 |
| Jul. - Sept. | 261.3 | 6,580 | 154.9 | 8,365 | 119.8 | 8,831 |
| Oct. - Dec. | 125.2 | 6,088 | 103.6 | 7,075 | 93.2 | 7,798 |
| Annual Total | 458.2 | 6,031 | 285.9 | 7,699 | 220.7 | 8,428 |
| 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | - 76 | - 237 | - | -170 | - 0 | -710 |
| Apr, - Jun. | 76.0 | 3,937 | 42.3 | 4,170 | 5.0 | 3,710 |
| Jul. - Sept. | 346.9 | 5,146 | 267.8 | 6,884 | 87.0 | 6,052 |
| Oct. - Dec. Annual Total | 34.3 457.2 | 5,908 5,002 | 18.8 328.9 | 4,620 6,406 | 92.0 | 5,925 |
| 1951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | - 5 | 5705 | - | $\overline{6}$ | - 5 | T 064 |
| Apr. - Jun. | 20.5 | 5,705 | 18.3 | 6,223 | 3.5 | 4,064 |
| Jul. - Sept. | 282.2 76.8 | 5,178 | 143.4 | 7.953 6.597 | 46.5 | 7.113 9.278 |
| Oct. - Dec. | 76.8 379.5 | 6,178 5,409 | 121.1 | 6,597 | 15.5 | 9.278 7,463 |
| 1952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | 58 | - | 30 | , 049 | 4 0 |  |
| Apr. - Jun. | 58.5 | 4,484 | 30.0 | 5,049 | 4.0 | 7.156 |
| Jul. - Sept. | 331.8 66.6 | 5,147 5,182 | 203.5 48.5 | 5,721 | 48.0 23.0 | 6,399 6.832 |
| Oct. - Dec. Annual Total | 66.6 456.9 | 5,182 5,068 | 48.5 282.0 | 5,127 5,547 | 23.0 75.0 | 6,832 6,572 |
| 1953 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | 35 | $\cdots$ | $\bar{\square}$ | $\checkmark 1$ | - | -.. |
| Apr. - Jun. | 35.4 | 3,960 | 29.3 | 4,461 | 63.2 |  |
| Jul. - Sept. | 307.0 121.0 | 4,663 6,848 | 231.1 | 5,543 $\mathbf{6 , 9 9 6}$ | 63.2 8.5 | 5,852 |
| Annual Total | 463.4 | 5,180 | 333.5 | 5,766 | 71.7 | 6,285 |
| 1954 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | - 5 | - | $\overrightarrow{40}$ | -- | $\overline{20.7}$ | 5 |
| Apr. - Jun. | 52.5 | 4,435 | 46.0 | 4,529 | 20.7 | 5.543 |
| Jul. - Sept. | 282.7 | 4,540 | 182.9 | 4,530 | 37.5 | 6.131 |
| Oct. - Dec. | 67.3 | 5,103 | 65.9 | 6,187 | 31.7 | 7,513 |
| Annual Total | 402.5 | 4,620 | 294.8 | 4,900 | 89.8 | 6,483 |
| 1955 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | $\overline{50}$ | - | $\square$ | 424 | - | - |
| Apr, - Jun. | 59.0 | 4,250 | 41.0 | 4,241 | 60.5 |  |
| Jul. - Sept. | 270.5 | 4,717 | 214.3 | 5,896 | 60.5 | 6,288 7,383 |
| Oct.-Dec. | 98.8 428.3 | 5,182 | 339.6 | 5,912 | ${ }_{124.5}^{64.0}$ | 6,851 |
| 1956 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | - | - 203 | - | - | - | - |
| Apr. - Jun. | 3.7 | 3,203 | 10.0 | 3,300 | 3.5 | 2.678 |
| Jul. - Sept. | 194.3 | 4,505 | 179.4 | 4,728 | 67.0 | 5,778 |
| Ant. - Dec. | 225.2 | 4,540 | 252.9 | 4,847 | 76.0 | 5,809 |
| 1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | T0.0 | T 71 | -3 | $\overline{7} 50$ | - 5 |  |
| Apr. - Jun. | 10.0 | 4.473 | 3.5 | 7,250 | 4.5 | 4,944 6,746 |
| Jul. - Sept. | 16.0 28.5 | 6,626 | 203.8 20.8 | 6,568 7,963 | 72.0 15.0 | 6,746 8,317 |
| Annual Total | 254.5 | 6,690 | 228.1 | 6,705 | 91.5 | 6,915 |
| 1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | $\overleftarrow{35} 2$ | 5,601 | 101.0 | - ${ }^{\text {, }} 896$ | 13.5 | 8,852 |
| Jul. - Sept. | 253.0 | 8,601 | 445.5 | 8,040 | 103.5 | 9,342 |
| Oct. - Dec. | 25.3 | 8,206 | 69.0 | 8,608 | 34.5 | 8,488 |
| Annual Total | 313.5 | 7,884 | 615.5 | 7.588 | 151.5 | 9,104 |
| 1959 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | - | - | 3.0 | 9,208 | - | 5.833 |
| Apr. - Jun. | 53.6 | 4,065 | 197.4 | ${ }^{4,092}$ | 34.0 | 5,833 |
| Oct. - Dec. | 28.6 28.6 | +,606 | 50.0 | 5,232 | 16.5 | 5,280 |
| Annual Total | 324.6 | 4,658 | 775.9 | 5.172 | 181.0 | 5,794 |
| 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. | 2.5 66.5 | 2,550 3,855 | 1720.7 | 4,009 | 35.0 | 3.668 |
| Jur. - Sept. | 695.5 | -5,234 | 392.9 | 5,594 | 149.7 | 5.861 |
| Oct. - Dec. | 47.9 | 7.128 | 89.7 | 5,963 | 34.4 | 7,363 |
| Annual Total | 412.3 | 5,216 | 603.3 | 5,331 | 219.1 | 5,746 |
| 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan. - Mar. Apr. - Jun. | 5.9 61.9 | 3,045 <br> 4,878 | 158.1 | 3,900 4,716 | 39.5 | 5,835 |
| Jul. - Sept. | 249.6 | 5,365 | 440.2 | 5,901 | 83.3 | 5,745 8,078 |
| Oct. - Dec. Annual Total | 32.8 349.3 | $\mathbf{6 , 3 2 4}$ $\mathbf{5 , 3 3 6}$ | 50.0 650.8 | $\mathbf{8 , 0 8 4}$ 5,773 | 17.5 140.3 | 8,078 6,062 |

TABLE A-4. Days fished and average yellowtail landings per day in pounds for otter trawler trips landing 50 per cent or more yellowtail at New Bedford from the Cape Cod ground, by vessel size and year, 1943-61. (I metric ton $=2,205$ pounds)

| Year | Under 26 ton vessels |  | 26 to 50 ton vessels |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days fished | Landings per day | Days fished | Landings per day |
| 1943 | 34.6 | 4,305 | 4.7 | 5,814 |
| 1944 | 65.1 | 3,363 | 46.4 | 2,374 |
| 1945 | 5.1 | 4,668 | 5.0 | 2,959 |
| 1946 | 10.9 | 2,795 | 8.9 | 5.492 |
| 1947 | 63.0 | 2,295 | 27.1 | 3,944 |
| 1948 | 9.2 | 2,668 | 6.9 | 2,920 |
| 1949 | 17.4 | 2,414 2,396 | 58.7 85.2 | 4,258 3,150 |
| 1950 | 15.1 33.4 | 2,396 1,809 | 85.2 151.6 | 3,150 2,368 |
| 1952 | 40.2 | 1,839 | 168.2 | 2,255 |
| 1953 | 53.3 | 1.744 | 129.2 | 2,212 |
| 1954 | 24.6 | 2,435 | 134.8 | 2,742 |
| 1955 | 44.0 24.2 | 1,730 1,604 | 191.2 91.0 | 3,031 2,520 |
| 1956 1957 | 24.2 33.0 | 1,604 3,167 | 91.0 219.0 | 3,577 |
| 1958 | 11.5 | 2.919 | 30.1 | 3,926 |
| 1959 | 7.9 | 4,332 | 14.3 | 4,016 |
| 1960 | 17.7 | 2,828 | 50.1 | 3,593 4,149 |
| 1961 | 26.3 | 4,107 | 27.6 | 4,149 |

# 1963 ICNAF Cod Otolith Exchange 

BY A. C. KOHLER ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

Results of cod otolith age readings of three samples, by six different laboratories situated in ICNAF ${ }^{2}$ countries are presented. They indicate that there are discrepancies in criteria used to define annuli and point to the need for continuing validation studies in age determination of cod.


## Material

In November 1962, samples of cod otoliths from ICNAF Subareas 2, 3, and 4, read by Miss G. Quartin of Portugal, were sent to the Bergen Workshop on Ageing Techniques. Preliminary readings of parts of the otolith samples by participants at the Workshop, indicated varying amounts of agreement on age estimations for individual fish. It was therefore decided to do a complete analysis, and the samples were circulated to those laboratories expressing interest. The otoliths were read, the readings were sent to ICNAF headquarters, and the data were summarized for the 1963 Annual Meeting of ICNAF in Document No. 69. Since then, readings by the St. John's laboratory of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada and the Instituto de Investigaciones Pesqueras, Spain, have been added to the summary.

## Results

Figures 1A. to 3D show comparisons of age estimations by the various laboratories, plotted by ages in the manner used by Kohler and Clark (1958). For each comparison the agreements (i.e., the cases where ages read by the two laboratories were identical) are shown by the numbers inside the squares. The disagreements are outside. The plots indicate that most of the disagreements do not differ by more than one year. The comparison also shows the biased nature of the disagreements for some of the samples. These are examined further in Table 1.

Table 1 shows agreements and disagreements in age readings by the various laboratories involved in the exchange. For convenience, these


Fig. 1A. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 2 cod samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.
are expressed as percentages of the total number of otoliths read in each comparison. The percentage agreement varies from 66 to 80 in Subarea 2, 55 to 80 in Subarea 3, and 48 to 84 in Subarea 4. Agreement is consistently better for the Subarea 2 samples because cod otoliths from this region usually have better defined hyaline and opaque zones. Cod otoliths from Subareas 3 and 4 are generally more troublesome because of atypical centres, indistinct outer zones,

[^6]TABLE 1. Summary of agreements and disagreements in age estimates from cod otolith samples submitted by Portugal to the Bergen Workshop on Ageing Techniques.

| Laboratories whose readings are compared |  | No. otoliths | agreements | Distribution of disagreements by laboratories (\% that were higher) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subarea 2-1960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada (St. Andrews) | - Portugal | 69 | 74 | Portugal | 16\% | - | Canada | 10\% |
| Norway A | - Portugal | 69 | 74 | Portugal | 16\% |  | Norway A | 10\% |
| Norway B | - Portugal | 67 | 72 | Portugal | 15\% |  | Norway B | $13 \%$ |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Portugal | 69 | 68 | U.K. | $25 \%$ |  | Portugal | $7 \%$ |
| Norway A | - Canada (SA) | 69 | 80 | Norway A | $12 \%$ |  | Canada | $9 \%$ |
| Norway B | - Canada (SA) | 67 | 69 | Norway B | 18\% |  | Canada | $13 \%$ |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Canada (SA) | 69 69 | 67 72 | U.K. | $32 \%$ |  | Canada | 1\%\% |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Norway A | 67 | 72 | U.K. | 28\% |  | Norway A Norway B | 0\% |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Canada, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - (St. John's) | 69 | 71 | U.K. | 20\% | - | Canada | 9\% |
| Portugal | - Canada (SJ) | 69 69 | 76 75 | Canada | 17\% |  | Portugal | $7 \%$ |
| Norway B | - Canada (SJ) | 67 | 67 | Canada | 27\% |  | Norway B | 6\% |
| Canada (SA) | - Canada (SJ) | 69 | 78 | Canada (SJ) | 22\% |  | Canada (SA) | $0 \%$ |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Spain | 68 | 66 | U.K. | $28 \%$ |  | Spain | $6 \%$ |
| Portugal | - Spain | 68 | 75 | Portugal | $10 \%$ |  | Spain | 15\% |
| Norway A | - Spain | 68 | 77 | Norway A |  |  | Spain | 16\% |
| Norway B | 二 Spain | 66 68 | 73 74 | Norway B |  | - | Spain | 16\% |
| Canada (SJ) | - Spain | 68 | 75 | Canada (SJ) | 18\% |  | Spain | 7\% |
| Subarea 3-1957 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada (SA) | - Portugal | 40 | 62 | Canada | 25\% | - | Portugal | 12\% |
| Norway A | - Portugal | 40 | 68 | Portugal | 22\% |  | Norway A | $10 \%$ |
| Norway B | - Portugal | 40 | 65 | Portugal | 22\% | - | Norway B | 12\% |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Portugal ${ }^{\text {Canada }}$ (SA) | 40 40 | 60 60 | Canada | 25\% | - | Portugal | $15 \%$ $7 \%$ |
| Norway B | - Canada (SA) | 40 | 60 | Canada | $30 \%$ | - | Norway B | 10\% |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Canada (SA) | 40 | 65 | U.K. | $18 \%$ |  | Canada | 18\% |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Norway A | 40 | 70 | U.K. | 25\% |  | Norway A | $5 \%$ |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Norway B | 40 | 68 | U.K. | $25 \%$ | - | Norway B | 8\% |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Canada (SJ) | 40 | 68 | Canada | 17\% |  | U.K. | $15 \%$ |
| Portugal | - Canada (SJ) | 40 | 70 | Canada | 23\% | - | Portugal | 7\% |
| Norway B | - Canada (SJ) | 40 | 62 | Canada | $33 \%$ |  | Norway A | $8 \%$ |
| Canada (SA) | - Canada (SJ) | 40 | 68 | Canada (SA) | 15\% |  | Canada (SJ) | $17 \%$ |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Spain | 40 | 78 | U.K. | $10 \%$ |  | Spain | $12 \%$ |
| Portugal | - Spain | 40 | 75 | Portugal | $5 \%$ | - | Spain | 20\% |
| Norway A | - Spain | 40 | 67 | Norway A | $3 \%$ |  | Spain | $30 \%$ |
| Norway B | - Spain | 40 | 70 | Norway B |  | - | Spain | 27\% |
| Canada (SA) | - Spain | 40 | 80 | Canada | $10 \%$ | , | Spain | 10\% |
| Canada (SJ) | - Spain | 40 | 80 | Canada | 10\% |  | Spain | 10\% |
| Subarea 4-1958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Canada (SA) | - Portugal | 101 | 61 | Canada | 28\% | - | Portugal | $11 \%$ |
| Norway A | - Portugal | 101 | 59 | Norway A | $26 \%$ | - | Portugal | 15\% |
| Norway B | - Portugal | 100 | 64 | Norway B | $23 \%$ | - | Portugal | $12 \%$ |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Portugal ${ }^{\text {Canada (SA) }}$ | 101 | 56 84 | U.K. | $40 \%$ | - | Portugal | $4 \%$ |
| Norway A | - Canada (SA) | 100 | 84 77 | Canada | $12 \%$ $15 \%$ | 二 | Norway A Norway B | 4\% |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Canada (SA) | 101 | 73 | U.K. | 18\% | - | Canada | $9 \%$ |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Norway A | 101 | 70 | U.K. | 25\% | - | Norway A | $5 \%$ |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Norway B | 100 | 66 | U.K. | $26 \%$ | - | Norway B | $7 \%$ |
| Portugal | - Canada (SJ) | 101 | 48 |  | 42\% | - | Portugal | $10 \%$ |
| Norway A Norway B | - Canada (SJ) | 101 100 | 66 | Canada | $32 \%$ | - | Norway A | $2 \%$ |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Canada (SJ) | 101 | 64 57 | Canada | 23\% | - | Norway B | 20\% |
| Canada (SA) | - Canada (SJ) | 101 | 73 | Canada (SJ) | 23\% | - | Canada (SA) | $4 \%$ |
| Portugal | - Spain | 101 | 62 | Portugal | $6 \%$ | - | Spain | $32 \%$ |
| Norway A | - Spain | 101 | 80 | Norway A | $0 \%$ | - | Spain | $20 \%$ |
| U.K. (Lowestoft) | - Spain | 101 | 71 | N.K. ${ }^{\text {Norway B }}$ | 5 5 |  | $\stackrel{\text { Spain }}{\text { Spain }}$ | 12\% |
| Canada (SA) | - Spain | 101 | 83 | Canada | 2\% |  | Spain | 15\% |
| Canada (SJ) | - Spain | 101 | 76 | Canada | 17\% | - | Spain | $7 \%$ |



Fig. 1B. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 2 cod samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.


Fig. 1D. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 2 cod samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.


Fig. 1C. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 2 cod samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.


Fig. 2A. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 3 cod samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.


Fig. 2B. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 3 cod samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.

## Subarea 3



Fig. 2D. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 3 cod samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.

Fig. 2C. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 3 cod samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.


Subarea 4

Fig. 3A. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea $4 \operatorname{cod}$ samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.


Fig. 313. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 4 cod samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.


Fig. 3D. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 4 cod samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.


Fig. 3C. Comparisons of age readings for Subarea 4 corl samples by the various laboratories participating in the exchange.
checks, and ill-defined annuli in some of the specimens.

The figures and the table both show an uneven distribution of the disagreements in age estimation. The last column of Table 1 summarizes this. Here, the distribution of disagreements is shown by countries. In the first entry, for instance, there are disagreements in $39 \%$ of the 101 age estimates. In $28 \%$ the Canadian estimates are higher than the Portuguese, and in $11 \%$ the Portuguese are higher than the Canadian - a ratio of nearly $3: 1$. In two thirds of the comparisons the ratio of percentages is $2: 1$ or greater. If disagreement were random, the ratio would have been close to $1: 1$. Obviously there is considerable bias in the distribution of the disagreeing readings. Some laboratories tend to estimate high and others low.

## Discussion

This summary of 1963 cod otolith exchange data shows that serious discrepancies still exist among laboratories in criteria used for age determination. Reasons for these discrepancies are usually specific to certain areas and cod populations and to factors affecting otolith formation there. These differences, especially the ones that give biased results, can affect growth and mortality estimates made for cod in the ICNAF area. The existence of such discrepancies points to the need for further studies of age-determination validity to perfect the method for the various
cod populations of the ICNAF area. When such studies have been made, the information on correct methods of interpreting otolith rings can be disseminated by means of marked photographs, slides, and other visual aids.
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# The Effect of Catch Size on the Selectivity of Otter Trawls 

BY V. M. HODDER AND A. W. MAY ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

Available data pertaining to the effect of catch size on otter-trawl selectivity of cod, haddock, and redfish have been reviewed. Selectivity experiments on cod in Subarea 2 and haddock in Subarea 3, carried out by the research trawler A. T. Cameron between 1959 and 1962, have been analysed on a catch size basis. In all cases, a slight negative correlation is shown to exist between selection factor and size of catch. There is no indication, at least for cod and haddock, that the reduction of selection factors for large catches is of such an extent as to seriously affect assessments based on overall selectivity data. For redfish the evidence is conflicting and more research on selectivity in relation to size of catch is required.


## Introduction

A common characteristic of the results of mesh selection experiments is the considerable haul-to-haul variation in the values of the selection parameters for a particular mesh size. Although much of this variation may be attributed to factors of a random nature which cause variability in all experimental work, many workers have shown that some of the variation in mesh selection, other than that related to mesh size, is associated with the gear itself (size and type of material, type of trawl, etc.), with the method of fishing (length, speed, and direction of tow, method of handling gear, etc.), with the fishing locality and species composition of the catches, and with the size of the catch. It is the last factor which concerns us in this paper.

At a symposium on problems for biological fishery research and techniques for their solution, held at Biarritz, France in 1956 (ICNAF, 1958), Working Party VI discussed the various factors, other than mesh size, which influence selectivity of trawls. It recommended that several possible sources of variation in mesh selection should be particularly studied, among them the effect of catch size. A symposium on fishing effort, the effect of fishing on resources and the selectivity of fishing gear was held at Lisbon, Portugal in
1957. Some data showing the effect of eatch size on mesh selectivity were presented by Clark (1963a, 1963b) for haddock and redfish and by McCracken (1963) for cod and haddock of the Northwest Atlantic, both demonstrating a slight decrease in selection factor with increase in catch size. Similar results were reported by Beverton (MS, 1959) for cod of the Spitzbergen area. Consequently the assessments of the Northwest Atlantic fisheries in relation to regulation problems (ICNAF, 1962) were made using selectivity data as summarized by Clark, McCracken and Templeman (1958) and based largely on the data reported at the Lisbon Symposium.

From covered codend experiments carried out on East Greenland fishing grounds, Bohl (1962) found that there was a clear negative relationship when the selection factors calculated for the catches individually were plotted against the quantity of redfish in the codend. Bohl states that from a biological point of view a sensible minimum mesh size for redfish can never be derived from selection data which are based mainly on small or medium catches, since redfish are often caught in large quantities by commercial trawlers. Similar results were shown by von Brandt (MS, 1961) for redfish of Subarea 1 (West Greenland).

Considerable interest in these recent investigations on selectivity of redfish was shown by the Subcommittees for Assessments and for Gear and Selectivity at the 1962 Annual Meeting of ICNAF. Member countries were urged to examine their selectivity data for all species of groundfish from this point of view. The main interest to the Assessment Subcommittee lies in the effects that reduced selection factors, which result from large catches, might have on the fishery assessments which have already been made (ICNAF, 1962).

## Materials and Methods

In 1959 and 1960 investigations on the selection of haddock were carried out by the research trawler A. T. Cameron on haddock concentrations on the Grand Bank (ICNAF Divisions 3N and 30).

[^7]The gear consisted basically of the No. 41-5 Yankee trawl, but nets of different series of mesh sizes were tested against a standard small-meshed trawl. The method of alternate hauls was used and the procedure was to alternate most of the trawl (codend, lengthening piece and belly) rather than just the codend as usually occurs in covered codend experiments. The mesh measurements were made with the ICNAF wedge-type gauge using a pressure of approximately 12 pounds $(5.5 \mathrm{~kg})$. The measurements were taken after the first, second, fifth, tenth, fifteenth, and twentieth drags, with each net in a longitudinal row along the upper surface of the trawl. Further details on the gear used are included with the results of the individual experiments.

Due to the large quantities caught it was not possible, in many cases, to take length measurements of all haddock in the catches, but usually a random sample of about 1000 fish were measured from each eatch. Before analysis, however, the frequencies were converted to catch frequencies. The catches recorded for each net were arranged in ascending order of magnitude, and the catch frequencies for haddock were then combined on the basis of 2,3 or 4 equal catch size categories, depending on the number of hauls with each trawl on a particular cruise. Because of the variability in size composition of the haddock concentrations from one cruise to the next, it was not feasible to combine the data of one cruise with those of another. The selection curve for each size category with a particular trawl was found by taking


Fig. 1. Typical selection curves by the alternate haul method for two different catch sizes with a $110 \mathrm{~mm}-\mathrm{mesh}$ codend (the $50 \%$ retention length is taken as one-half the vertical height of the selection curve).
the ratios of the numbers at length for a largemeshed trawl to the corresponding numbers at length for a small-meshed trawl. The ratios, smoothed by moving averages of three, were then plotted on ordinary graph paper and the $50 \%$ retention lengths estimated from the freehand curves. A set of typical selection curves is shown in Fig. 1.

In 1962 covered codend experiments on cod were carried out on one cruise of the A.T. Cameron in the vicinity of Hamilton Inlet Bank (ICNAF Division 2J). The gear consisted basically of the standard small-meshed No. 41-5 trawl but codends of 4 different mesh sizes were used. The bottom half of the codend was lined with small-meshed ( 30 mm ) nylon netting and the top covered with 50 mm courlene material, the width of which was more than twice the width of the codend. The mesh measurements of the codends were made using the Westhoff gauge ( 1959 model).

The covered codend catch frequencies were analysed in the usual way and $50 \%$ retention lengths obtained from the lines fitted by eye to the data on probability paper. In drawing the lines only those percentages falling between about 20 and $80 \%$ retention were considered.

All of the covered codend hauls for cod were of 1 -hour duration with trawl on bottom. Most of the alternate hauls for haddock were 1-hour tows on bottom, but on one cruise when the haddock concentrations were very heavy 40 -minute drags were made. All nets used were of manila material throughout, except for the courlene cover and nylon bottom liner in the covered codend experiments.

The lengths of both cod and haddock were recorded as fork lengths to the nearest centimetre.

## Results

## Haddock

During the course of the investigations on haddock selection by the alternate haul method, two large-meshed trawls were usually tested against a small-meshed standard trawl. Except for the differences in mesh size the trawls were of the same general dimensions and for convenience are labelled B, C, and D. Trawl B, the smallmeshed standard, generally ranged in mesh size from about 70 mm in the codend and 85 mm in the lengthening piece and after section of the belly to about 125 mm in the wings and square;
the meshes of Trawl C ranged from about 100 mm or a little less in the codend to 125 mm in the forward parts, and in Trawl D the meshes ranged from about 110 mm in the codend to 125 mm in the wings and square. For one series of drags with Trawl D a codend of 125 mm was also tested. The only chafing gear used was a piece of smallmeshed manila netting, covering the bottom part of the codend from the splitting strap to the codline.

The catches, consisting mostly of haddock with small quantities of cod, ranged from 3 to more than 400 baskets ( 1 basket $=95$ pounds $=43 \mathrm{~kg}$ ). Nine catches over 200 baskets were recorded, 7 with Trawl B, and 1 each with Trawls C and D. A summary of the ranges in catch size for the 4 series of experiments is given in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Range of catch sizes in baskets for haddock selectivity experiments on the Grand Bank (Subarea 3). Figures in brackets are for catches of haddock plus cod. Letters refer to the trawls used. ( 1 basket $=43 \mathrm{~kg}$ ).

| Series | Dates | B | C | D | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | May 28 - June 10, 1959 | 3-133 | 3-100 | 5-77 |  |
|  |  | (5-137) | $(4-107)$ | $(13-78)$ |  |
| II | July 31 - Aug. 4, 1959 | 125-270 | 18-213 | 33-189 |  |
|  |  | (132-277) | (19-227) | (37-191) |  |
| III | May 13-23, 1960 | 18-165 | 27-108 | 13-201 |  |
|  |  | (28-190) | (40-119) | (34-218) |  |
| IV | July 8 -21, 1960 | $50-396$ | $12-180$ | $9-104$ | $13-187$ |
|  |  | (51-415) | (12-198) | $(11-114)$ | $(13-194)$ |

There was great variation in the size of the individual catches of the trawls used, but the number of hauls with each trawl during a cruise were so few as not to make it feasible, in this analysis, to consider the data from the viewpoint
of having more than 4 major catch size categories in two instances, 3 in one case and 2 in another. The results of the analysis are given in Tables 2 to 5 .

TABLE 2. Gear, catches and selectivity data for Series I haddock experiments (alternate hauls) on the Grand Bank (Subarea 3) in 1959.


TABLE 3. Gear, eatches and selectivity data for Series II haddock experiments (alternate hauls) on the Grand Bank (Subarea 3) in 1959.

| Trawl | B |  | C |  | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Runnage |  |  |  |  |  |
| - codend | 75/4 double manila | 50/4 | ouble manila | 50/4 doubl | e manila |
| - lengthening piece | $75 / 4$ single manila | 50/4 | ingle manila | 50/4 single | manila |
| Avg mesh size |  |  |  |  |  |
| - codend | $2.77 \mathrm{in} .(70 \mathrm{~mm})$ | 3.75 | in. ( 95 mm ) | 4.10 in . | (104 mm) |
| - lengthening piece | 2.96 in. (76 mm) |  | in. $(91 \mathrm{~mm})$ | 4.18 in . | $(106 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| Catch category | - | I | II | I | II |
|  |  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| No. of hauls <br> Avg no. haddock (cale.) | 6 25,870 | 5,070 | 19,690 | 4,895 | 15,500 |
| Avg eatch haddock (bask.) |  | 49.7 | 177.7 | 49.3 | 140.0 |
| Avg catch haddock and cod (bask.) | $\begin{aligned} & 207.5 \\ & 212.9 \end{aligned}$ | 51.4 | 195.2 | 52.2 | 143.0 |
| Selection factor (based on codend mesh size)-- |  | 34.0 | 32.0 | 36.8 | 33.7 |
|  |  | 3.58 | 3.37 | 3.54 | 3.24 |

TABLE 4. Gear, catches and selectivity data for Series III haddock experiments (alternate hauls) on the Grand Banks (Subarea 3) in 1960.

| Trawl | B | C |  |  | D |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Runnage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - codend | 60/3 double manila | 50/4 double manila 60/4 single manila |  |  | 50/4 double manila $60 / 4$ single manila |  |  |
| - lengthening piece | $60 / 4$ single manila |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg mesh size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - codend | $2.65 \mathrm{in} .(67 \mathrm{~mm})$ | 3.70 in . $(94 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) <br> 3.92 in . $(100 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |  |  | $4.30 \mathrm{in} .(109 \mathrm{~mm})$ <br> $4.20 \mathrm{in} .(107 \mathrm{~mm})$ |  |  |
| - lengthening piece | $3.33 \mathrm{in} .(84 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catch eategory | - | I | II | III | I | II | III |
| No. of hauls | 15 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Avg no. haddock (calc.) | 8,330 | 4,095 | 7,020 | 9,390 | 2,215 | 4,175 | 8,880 |
| Avg eatch haddock (bask.) | 95.1 | 48.5 | 81.9 | 113.4 | 27.5 | 50.5 | 115.3 |
| Avg eatch haddock and cod (bask.) | 113.5 | 58.5 | 96.9 | 136.5 | 41.5 | 65.8 | 139.5 |
| Est. 50\% retention length (cm) | - | 32.4 | 32.0 | 31.2 | 34.5 | 33.8 | 33.7 |
| Selection factor (based on codend mesh size) | ) | 3.42 | 3.37 | 3.28 | 3.17 | 3.10 | 3.09 |

TABLE 5. Gear, catches and selectivity data for Series IV haddock experiments (alternate hauls) on the Grand Bank (Subarea 3) in 1960.

| Trawl | B | C |  |  |  | D |  | $\mathrm{D}^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Runnage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - codend | 60/3 double manila | 60/4 double manila |  |  |  | 50/4 double manila |  | 60/4 double manila |  |
| - lengthening piece | 60/4 single manila |  | 60/4 single manila |  |  | 60/4 single manila |  | 60/4 sin | gle manila |
| Avg mesh size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - codend | 2.92 in. ( 74 mm ) |  | $4.25 \mathrm{in} .(108 \mathrm{~mm})$ |  |  | $4.32 \mathrm{in} .(110 \mathrm{~mm})$ |  | $4.93 \mathrm{in} .(125 \mathrm{~mm})$ |  |
| - lengthening piece | $3.28 \mathrm{in} .(83 \mathrm{~mm})$ | $4.12 \mathrm{in}.(105 \mathrm{~mm})$ |  |  |  | $4.45 \mathrm{in} .(113 \mathrm{~mm})$ |  | 4.66 in | ( 118 mm ) |
| Catch category | - | I | II | III | IV | I | II | I | II |
| No. of hauls | 20 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Avg no. haddock (ealc.) | 11,780 | 2,220 | 5,175 | 7,490 | 10,610 | 2,490 | 6,020 | 1,505 | 6,835 |
| Avg catch haddock (bask.) | 139.0 | 30.0 | 67.2 | 94.0 | 141.2 | 33.5 | 82.4 | 23.2 | 103.2 |
| Avg eatch haddock and cod | (bask.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 145.0 | 34.2 | 72.0 | 97.8 | 157.0 | 35.0 | 88.6 | 26.0 | 112.2 |
| Est. 50\% retention length ( |  | 36.0 |  |  | 34.8 | 37.8 | 37.0 | 40.8 | 40.0 |
|  | - | 36.0 | 35.4 |  | 34.8 | 37.8 | 37.0 |  |  |
| Selection factor (based on codend mesh size) | - | 3.33 | 33.28 | 3.15 | 3.22 | 3.44 | 3.36 | 3.26 | 3.20 |

In Fig. 2 the estimated selection factors for haddock are plotted against the various catch size categories for each of the 4 series of experiments. In all cases a decrease in selection factor with increasing catch size is indicated by the fitted regression lines. The rates of decrease for the last 3 series are similar, about 0.1 change in selection factor per 50 -basket increase in catch. For the first series the rate of decrease in selection factor with increasing catch is considerably greater, about 0.1 per 20 -basket increase in catch. Also it must be noted that for Series II the selection factors are higher than those for Series III and IV and particularly higher than those for Series I, despite the fact that the very same nets were used for Series I and II, about 2 months apart, and the average mesh sizes were almost identical (Fig. 2, Tables 2 and 3).


Fig. 2. Catch sizes and selection factors for Grand Bank (Subarea 3) haddock by alternate hauls with trawls of various mesh sizes.

## Cod

The extent of our recent investigations on mesh selectivity of cod is limited to the results of one cruise in 1962. Although a small amount of alternate haul data was obtained on this cruise, the range in catch size was not great enough to
analyse the material in the same way as the alternate haul data for haddock were treated. We are, therefore, limited to a number of covered codend hauls, mainly with codends of 3 different mesh sizes. In most cases selection factors were estimated for each haul individually, but some of the catches were so small that they were combined and the resulting selection factor plotted against the average catch for the group. The details on mesh size, codend and total catches, and estimated selection factors are given in Table 6.

In Fig. 3 the selection factors are plotted against the total weight of cod in cover and codend and also against the weight in codend only. Although the best catches were not large from a commercial point of view, there is some indication of a decrease in selection factor with increasing catch size, but this is not very pronounced for catches up to about 500 kg . Furthermore, the selection factors for the 125 mm mesh lie considerably above those for the 100 and 115 mm meshes. The only explanation we have for this is that, although the codend meshes averaged 125 mm in a longitudinal row, the meshes in the after part of the codend averaged about 130 mm . These extra large meshes were the result of much greater than average stretching by several large hauls of Laminaria, when the codend was used on


Fig. 3. Catch sizes and selection factors for cod of Subarea 2 with covered codends of different mesh sizes (the codends were of $50 / 4$ double manila twine).


Fig. 4. T'wo examples of prints from Kodachrome II transparencies taken with the apparatus.
Above. Eastern Barents Sea Cod otolith (Area I), fifteen years old, showing seven "spawning" zones (taken at $f 8$ with 7.5 cm extension of the bellows).

Below. Cod (Area 4). The interpretation agreod at Lowostoft is nine years old (B), but other observers have discounted one or more of the three innermost hyaline zones as being splits. For example (A) indicates an interpretation as a seven vear-old, cliscounting the second and third hyaline zones. (Taken at fö.6, maximum bellows extension).

TABLE 6. Codend mesh sizes, codend and total catches and selectivity data for cod (eovered codend experiments) on Hamilton Inlet Bank (Subarea 2) in August 1962.

| Codend mesh size | Expt. No. | No. of Cod |  | Wt of Cod |  | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ \text { retention } \\ \text { length } \end{gathered}$ | Selection factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Codend | Total | Codend | total |  |  |
| $m m$ |  |  |  | kg | kg | cm |  |
| 100 | $1^{\text {a }}$ | 136 | 176 | 138 | 148 | 34.0 | 3.40 |
|  | $2^{\text {b }}$ | 98 | 226 | 83 | 117 | 36.6 | 3.66 |
|  | 3 | 273 | 611 | 220 | 304 | 36.4 | 3.64 |
|  | 4 | 423 | 629 | 358 | 433 | 36.6 | 3.66 |
|  | 5 | 494 | 630 | 472 | 543 | 34.0 | 3.40 |
|  | 6 | 657 | 971 | 522 | 633 | 35.0 | 3.50 |
|  | 7 | 661 | 963 | 531 | 625 | 34.6 | 3.46 |
|  | 8 | 1,103 | 1,998 | 831 | 1,103 | 34.6 | 3.46 |
| 115 | 9 | 135 | 441 | 120 | 219 | 410 | 3.57 |
|  | 10 | 460 | 673 | 479 | 572 | 37.7 | 3.28 |
|  | 11 | 684 | 1,208 | 544 | 762 | 37.0 | 3.22 |
|  | 12 | 640 | 1,947 | 574 | 932 | 38.8 | 3.37 |
| 125 | $13^{\text {b }}$ | 64 | 382 | 48 | 245 | 49.0 | 3.92 |
|  | 14 | 118 | 469 | 123 | 291 | 46.0 | 3.68 |
|  | 15 | 232 | 1,084 | 263 | 704 | 48.8 | 3.90 |
|  | 16 | 560 | 1,320 | 661 | 1,114 | 46.4 | 3.71 |
|  | 17 | 172 | 770 | 234 | 545 | 49.5 | 3.96 |
|  | 18 | 268 | 1,412 | 300 | 804 | 47.6 | 3.81 |
| 126 | 19 | 201 | 1,033 | 195 | 544 | 46.0 | 3.65 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Selection factor based on average of 4 hauls.
${ }^{5}$ Selection factor based on average of 2 hauls.
an carlier cruise. Thus, if the selective action of the gear is more pronounced in the after part of the codend, as shown by Clark (1963a), this might account for the higher selection factors with this codend.

## Redfish

Although we have some data on redfish selectivity by the alternate haul method from 3 cruises of the A.T. Cameron in 1961, the catches were not large and the range in catch size not great enough for the results to be of any benefit in an analysis of this type. Also estimation of $50 \%$ retention lengths was rendered difficult by the scarcity of fish in the lower half of the selection range. Other factors, which caused a great deal of variation in the selection parameters, were the great haul-tohaul variation in the size composition of the eatches at different times of the day and, of course, the multimodal frequencies resulting from the different growth characteristies of males and females. Consequently the only consideration
that we can give to the effect of catch size on redfish selectivity must be based on the data of other workers.

## Discussion and Conclusions

For haddock, the results of the 4 series of alternate haul experiments indicate that the selection factors for large catches are slightly lower than those estimated for the smaller catches for equal amounts of fishing effort in the same area on the same concentrations. Even for the very large catches, the selection factors of 3.1 3.2 are very similar to those obtained by Clark (1963a) from covered codend hauls on haddock in Subarea 5 (Georges Bank).

Data concerning the effect of catch size on mesh selectivity are given by Clark (1963a) for 3 series of covered codend experiments, using double manila codends whose mesh sizes were 73,105 and 112 mm . The twine sizes are given as $75 / 4^{2}$ for the small-meshed codend and $50 / 4$

[^8]for the others. Catches of haddock in codend and cover ranged between about 200 and 3200 fish (the weights of the catches were not reported). It is apparent from his data that for catches up to about 1000 haddock the selection factor averaged about 3.3, but it decreased to about 3.1 for catches up to 3000 haddock.

Clark (1963a) also gives some results for codends made of synthetics (tightly braided dacron and loosely braided nylon twines running about 43 yards to the pound). Although his largest catches in these experiments did not exceed 1500 fish, with considerable haul-to-haul variation, there is some indication from his data that the rate of decrease in selection factor with increasing catch size is somewhat greater than that indicated for manila codends.

McCracken (1963) reported on the effects of eatch size on selectivity of haddock, for both manila and nylon codends in covered codend experiments. His codends were made of $45 / 4$ double manila twine with mesh sizes between 112 and 132 mm and 80 -yard braided nylon with mesh sizes of 112 and 122 mm . His data for Subarea 4 (Nova Scotian Banks) and Subarea 3 (St. Pierre Bank) are similar to Clark's (1963a) in that for catches greater than about 1000 fish the selection factors tend to be slightly lower than those for small catches. The decrease in selection factor for manila codends is about 0.2 between the catch size range of about 1000 to 3000 haddock. For nylon codends the decrease in selection factor appears to be about 0.4 between a similar range of catch sizes, but there were only 4 hauls with catches greater than 1000 fish. However, there is one obvious difference between McCracken's data and Clark's and our data. His selection factors for St. Pierre Bank (2.82.5) are substantially lower than those of Clark's for Georges Bank and ours for the Grand Bank.

Previous information on the relationship between catch size and mesh selectivity for cod is seanty. McCracken (1963) found no consistent variation in selection factor which could be related to size of catch, but none of his catches exceeded 1000 fish. Beverton (MS, 1959), in the course of investigating the selectivity of topside chafers by the covered codend method, obtained information on the relationship between catch size and selection factor for cod in the Spitzbergen area of the Northeast Atlantic. His data, for catches ranging between 10 and 110 baskets of cod with a codend mesh size of 119 mm , show that the rate of decrease in selection factor
is about 0.1 per 30 -basket increase in catch size. Although his selection factors (averaging 3.5 to 3.1 over the catch range) are on an average not as high as ours (Fig. 3), because his fish were feeding heavily at the time, the general order of magnitude of the decrease in selection factor with increasing catch size is about the same.

For redfish the only reported data known to the authors on the relationship between catch size and selectivity are those of Clark (1963b), von Brandt (MS, 1961), and Bohl (1962). From covered codend experiments in the Gulf of Maine and on the western Nova Scotian Banks, Clark found no correlation between selection factor and catch size; catches yielding about 2000 fish gave selection factors about the same as those for much smaller catches and one catch of 9800 fish gave a selection factor about the same as those for the $200-400$-fish catches. On the other hand, Bohl (1962) and von Brandt (MS, 1961) both found clear negative relationships between catch size and selection factor for redfish of East and West Greenland. They stated that the decline in selection factor with increasing catch size was so pronounced, that a sensible minimum mesh size for redfish can never be derived from selection data, which are based on small or medium catches, since redfish are often caught commercially in large quantities.

For haddock and cod the available information indicates that for large catches the selection factors are slightly lower than for small catches, but there is no indication that, as Bohl (1962) has shown for redfish, the selection factors are reduced to the extent that escapement through the meshes of the net is drastically decreased. In fact, in our data the selection factors even for the largest catches of cod and haddock are not very different from those summarized by Clark, McCracken, and Templeman (1958) and used to obtain the selection ogives used in the mesh assessments for haddock in Subareas 3 to 5 and for cod in all subareas of the Northwest Atlantic (ICNAF, 1962). Although the information is scanty, there is some indication (Clark, 1963a; McCracken, 1963) that the decrease in selection factor with increasing catch size may be greater for codends made of synthetic twines, than for manila codends.

For redfish, on the other hand, the available information is conflicting and there is urgent need for more research on selectivity in relation to catch size. If the recent information on selectivity of redfish in Greenland waters is general for
all redfish stocks in the Northwest Atlantie, assessment of the effect of mesh size would need to take account of the average catch size in the commercial fishery.

The apparent difference in escapement of cod and haddock on the one hand, and redfish on the other, would seem to be due to the obvious differences in shape and other external characteristics such as the presence or absence of hard spines.

## Summary

1. Previous data relating selectivity to catch size for cod, haddock, and redfish indicate that a negative correlation exists between the two, it being much more pronounced for redfish than for cod and haddock.
2. Four series of haddock selectivity experiments by the alternate haul method, carried out in Subarea 3, have been analysed on the basis of catch size. The results indicate a slight decrease in selection factor with increasing eatch size.
3. Cod selectivity data, from covered codend experiments in Subarea 2, also indicate a decrease in selection factor with increasing catch size.
4. The material from recent redfish selectivity experiments in Subarea 3 did not readily lend itself to this type of analysis. The available information by other workers is conflicting, and more research on this aspect of selectivity is required for this species.
5. For cod and haddock it is concluded that the reduction in selection factors for large catches is not great enough to seriously affect the recent assessments of the cod and haddock fisheries in the Northwest Atlantic, which were made using selectivity data based largely on small catches.
6. If the recent information on selection of redfish in Greenland waters (very pronounced decrease in selection factor with increasing catch
size) is general for all redfish stocks, then assessment of the effect of mesh size would need to take account of the average catch size in the commercial fishery.
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# Electronic Flash Photography of Gadoid Otoliths 

BY R. W. BLACKER ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

A simple apparatus and method for photographing otoliths is described, using a 35 mm single-lens reflex camera with a specially designed otolith mount and ring flash illumination.


## Introduction

A simple technique of photographing cod and other gadoid otholiths has long been needed. The usual method of photographing them using the same side-lighting system that is used for their examination through the microscope, tends to give very variable and disappointing results on black and white film. However, in November 1962 some promising results were obtained on colour film using this method of illumination. The writer was then asked to find a method and. apparatus whereby consistently good results could be obtained easily.

The problems to overcome were partly photographic and optical (adequate even illumination and ease of focussing) and partly mechanical (always getting the otolith in the same position relative to the light source). Electronic flash was the obvious source of illumination. Parrish and Sharman (1959) have used a ring flash unit successfully for photographing herring otoliths by reflected light. Experiments showed that with the ring mounted in a suitable reflector this system could be used for side-lighting an otolith. A 35 mm single-lens rcflex camera overcomes focussing difficulties. The otolith mount and staging described below are designed to ensure that the otoliths are always photographed in the same position relative to the light source.

## The Apparatus

The complete apparatus is shown in Fig. 1.
The Camera is an Edixamat Reflex D-L with a standard Edixa bellows extension. The waistlevel viewfinder allows focussing on a ground-glass screen. Alternatively a pentaprism viewfinder is available. The lens used is a 38 mm focal length $f 3.5$ Schneider-Kreuznach Radionar with an adapter ring to fit the Edixa lens thread. With this lens a linear magnification of 4.3 times
is obtained at the 13.5 cm maximum extension of the bellows. This is sufficient magnification for all but the smallest gadoid otoliths, and the bellows allows the use of smaller magnifications for photographing the largest cod and haddock otoliths. A wide variety of standard lenses is available for this camera. The camera is mounted on a bracket which can be racked up and down a vertical support pillar.


Fig. 1. A general view of the apparatus with the stage lowered to show the otolith mount in position. The electronic flash power unit is not shown.

The Eleclronic Flash Unit. The flash tube is a Mazda F.A. 16 operating at 350 volts and giving an output of 120 joules. The $2 \frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ (about


Fig. 2. A section through the flash reflector (A) and the shield (B) with its mirror.
63.5 mm ) diameter ring is mounted in an aluminium reflector (Fig. 2A) which directs the light towards the centre of the ring. This is fixed to a pillar so that the flash ring itself is just over an inch above the mechanical stage when this is raised to its maximum height. A circular shield (Fig. 2B) fits into the centre of the upper side of the flash reflector, to prevent extraneous light reaching the camera lens. A small aluminium mirror is fixed at $45^{\circ}$ to the top of this shield to reflect light in to the otolith surface for focussing.

The Stage consists of a Watson's Bactil-60 Mechanical Rotating and Centring Stage fixed onto a Wolf Electric Drill stand. This gives a vertical movement of about two inches which allows easy access to the otolith mount, without the need to move the flash ring. When raised to its maximum height the stage is locked in position by a wing nut. The vertical movement of the stage is not used for focussing.

The Otolith Mount consists of two parts, a $3^{\prime \prime}$ (about 76.2 mm ) $\times 1^{\prime \prime}$ (about 25.4 mm ) x $\frac{1}{8}^{\prime \prime}$ (about 3.2 mm ) brass plate with a small plinth $\frac{1^{\prime \prime}}{8}$ (about 3.2 mm ) high fixed at its centre (Fig. 3A) and a box tube $\frac{1}{2}^{\prime \prime}$ (about 12.7 mm ) $\times \frac{3}{8}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ (about 9.7 mm ) internal dimensions which fits neatly over the plinth (Fig. 3B). There are $\frac{1}{16}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ (about 1.6 mm ) slots in the two long sides of the tube $\frac{5}{8}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ (about 15.9 mm ) from the bottom. A circular shield fixed to the top of the tube fits loosely into the shield on the flash reflector. The inside of the box tube, the edges of the slots, and the shield on top are painted matt black. The otolith is mounted in plasticine stuck to the plinth. It is adjusted until it is nearly level and is then pressed down with a plunger, (Fig. 3C) which just fits into the tube, until only $\frac{3}{64}^{\prime \prime}$ (about 1 mm ) is above the level of the slots. By this means the


Fig. 3. The otolith mount: (A) the slide with its plinth onto which the box tube (B) just fits; (C) the plunger for pressing the otolith into its correct position.
otolith surface is always put into the same position relative to the slots and flash tube.

## Operation

The otolith is mounted in the way described above and the surface is brushcd with cedarwood oil. (Creosote or xylene may be used, but the latter evaporates rather quickly.) Best results are obtained from otoliths with a ground surface (Bedford, 1964) although broken otoliths can be used as long as the surface is not too uneven. The cedarwood oil effectively clears cracks in the otolith so that they are undetectable in the photograph. The otolith mount is put on the stage which is then raised to its maximum height. A narrow beam of light from a microscope lamp is reflected onto the surface of the otolith by the aluminium mirror. The camera is racked up or down to give the optimum magnification. Minor adjustments to centre the otolith are made by means of the mechanical stage. Critical focussing adjustments are usually made with the lens focussing mount, although the bellows movement may be used.

When the final focussing has been done the microscope lamp is switched off and the electronic
flash unit switched on. To obtain uniform results exposures must be made at the same charge level. With the present apparatus this is achieved by making the exposure within ten seconds of the lighting-up of the charge level neon on the powerpack. If a voltage limiter is fitted into the power-pack circuit the maximum charge level will be maintained, provided sufficient charging time is allowed between exposures.

## Results

Two photographs taken on Kodachrome II reversal film are shown in Fig. 4. These results are very close to what is seen through the microscope and viewers are agreed that their interpretation is easier than that of black and white prints. The general practice has been to take two photographs of each otolith, one using a stop of $f 5.6$ and the other taken at $f 8$, regardless of the size of otolith and the bellows extension. These two exposures give good results with all except the smallest otoliths, for which $f 4.5$ may be needed. The largest cod otoliths which, perhaps, need only one third of the full extension may require an
exposure at $f 11$ to avoid burning out the image of the outer zones. These exposures are for Kodachrome II with a speed rating of 25 ASA. Satisfactory results have also been obtained on fine grain panchromatic films.

## Summary

1. The problem of photographing cod otoliths is discussed.
2. An apparatus for routine photographing of otoliths is described and illustrated.
3. The operation of the apparatus is described.
4. Samples of the results are given.
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# Redfish Above the Ocean Depths 

BY G. P. ZAKHAROV ${ }^{1}$

## Abstract

Evidence is presented from cruises by the USSR R/T Pobeda and Zapad during June, 1962 and February, 1963 in the area from the Southwest of Greenland to the Southwest of Iceland, that Sebastes mentella Tr. inhabits the bathypelagic part of the North Atlantic while Sebastes marinus L. probably does not migrate far from the continental slope.

In 1962 and 1963, the Polar Research Insti-
tute of Marine Fisheries and Oceanography (PINRO) set up two research cruises to study the bathypelagic concentrations of redfish in the North Atlantic.

## Results of Cruise of Research Trawler Pobeda

The cruise of the Trawler Pobeda was carried out in the West and East Greenland areas in April-June 1962.

TABLE 1. Data on redfish obtained by the Research Trawler Pobeda 4-24 June, 1962.

| Station No. | Position | Date | Fishing depth | Temperature at fishing depth | Redfish species | Length | Sex and maturity stage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $m$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | cm |  |  |
| 288 | $62^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-50^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1 | 330 |  | marinus | 45 | $0^{7}$ | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 65 | $0^{4}$ | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | ," | 41 | \% | II |
|  |  |  |  |  | ", | 50 | 우 | II |
| 293 | $62^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-50^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 2 | 360 |  | " | 39 | $\sigma^{7}$ | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 46 | $0^{7}$ | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 48 | 0 | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 60 | 0 | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 63 | $0^{4}$ | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 40 | $\%$ | II |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 41 | \% | II |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 42 | \% | II |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 48 | \% | II |
| 294 | $62^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-50^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 3 | 330 |  | " | 43 | $0^{7}$ | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 47 | $\bigcirc$ | II |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 61 | ㅇ | II |
| 297 | $61^{\circ} 45{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-50^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 350 | 3.87 | ", | (1) |  |  |
| 301 | $60^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-49^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 4 | 350 | 4.30 | " | (1) |  |  |
| 305 | $59^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-47^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 290 | 4.10 | " | (1) |  |  |
| 308 | $59^{\circ} 32^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-45^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 5 | 310 | 4.90 | ," | (2) |  |  |
| 312 | $58^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-44^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 6 | 330 | 4.40 | mentella | (2) |  |  |
| 313 | $57^{\circ} 53^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-44^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 7 | 290 | 4.10 | " | 37 | $0^{7}$ | IV |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 38 | $0^{7}$ | IV |
| 314 | $57^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-44^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 250 | 3.36 | " | 34 | $\sigma^{7}$ | IV |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 37 | 8 | IX |
| 317 | $57^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-42^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 310 | 3.90 | ", | 38 | $\bigcirc$ | IX |
| 318 | $57^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-42^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 8 | 350 | 3.60 | " | 35 | $\sigma^{7}$ | IV |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 36 | 0 | IV |

[^9]TABLE 1. (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Position | Date | Fishing depth | Temperature at fishing depth | Redfish species | Length | Sex and maturity stage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $m$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | cm |  |  |
| 319 | $58^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-42^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 250 | 4.40 | mentella | 39.5 | \% | IX |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 38 | \% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 37 | $0^{3}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 32 | ¢ |  |
| 322 | $58^{\circ} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-41^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 10 | 350 | 4.07 | " | 37 | \% | IX |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 35 | $0^{3}$ | IV |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 36 | \% | IX |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 35 | 9 | IX |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 41 | $\bigcirc$ | IX |
| 323 | $58^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-40^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 10 | 400 | 4.19 | " | 36 | $0^{7}$ | IV |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 38 | $\sigma^{\circ}$ | IV |
| 324 | $58^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-38^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 250 |  | " | 41.5 | 9 | IX |
| 326 | $58^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-36^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 11 | 300 | 5.81 | " | 36 | $\bigcirc$ | IX |
| 327 | $58^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-35^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 350 | 4.80 | " | 44 | 0 | IV |
| 330 | $59^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 12 | 310 | 4.00 | ", | 39 | \% | IX |
| 333 | $60^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-39^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 13 | 300 | 4.80 |  | 36 | 0 | IX |
| 345 | $61^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-37^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 16 | 300 | 4.33 | interm. type mentella | 38 | \% | IX |
| 347 | $60^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-36^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 250 | 4.40 |  | 40.5 | 9 | IX |
| 349 | $59^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-34^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 17 | 300 | 6.15 | interm.type | 35 | $0^{7}$ | IV |
| 351 | $59^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-33^{\circ} 5^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 300 | 5.20 | " | 43 | ¢ | IX |
| 352 | $59^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-32^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 200 | 5.40 | " | (6) |  |  |
| 357 | $61^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-33^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 18 | 260 | 5.50 | mentella | 40 | $\bigcirc$ | IX |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 35 | $\sigma^{7}$ | IV |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 34 | $0^{7}$ | IV |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 | $\bigcirc$ | IX |
| 358 | $61^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-34^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ $62^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-32^{\circ} 6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 19 | 200 | 5.51 | interm. type | 33 | $0^{7}$ | III |
| 362 | $62^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-32^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 20 | 250 | 5.20 | mentella | 39 | \% | IX |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 38 | \% | IX |
|  |  |  |  |  | interm. type | 41 | $0^{7}$ | IV |
| 374 |  | 22 | 570 | 6.30 | mentella | 47.5 | $\bigcirc$ | II |
| 375376 | $62^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-25^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 570 |  | , | 4.3 | $\bigcirc$ | II |
|  |  |  | 570 |  | " | 42 | $0^{7}$ | III |
| 376 | $62^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-25^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 23 |  | 6.26 | " | 44 | $0^{2}$ | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 46 | $0^{7}$ | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 46 | \% | IX |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 43 | $0^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| 378 | $63^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-26^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 550 | 6.20 | " | 44 | 8 |  |
| 380 | $64^{\circ} 34^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-27^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |  | 550 | 5.08 |  | 48 | 8 |  |
| 382 | $65^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-29^{\circ} 13^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 24 | 550 | 6.06 | " | 47 | $\sigma^{8}$ | III |
|  |  |  |  |  | " | 45 | $0^{7}$ | II |

One of the tasks of this cruise was to investigate the area south-west and south-east of Greenland for the purpose of discovering redfish over the oceanic depths. A total of 73 control hauls were made with 20 m mid-water trawl of the PINRO design from 4 to 24 of June. The route covered the area from West Greenland to Iceland. Onehour tows were made at depths of $200-570 \mathrm{~m}$,
at 25 nautical mile intervals. Taking into account the possible diurnal vertical migrations of redfish, hauls were made at great depths (300570 m ) in the day time, and mainly at $200-250 \mathrm{~m}$ in the evening and night hours. Some redfish, chiefly Sebastes mentella Travin, were found in almost every haul. Some individuals were at first attributed to the intermediate type. Sebastes
marinus L. was not encountered above the great depths south and east of Greenland. Only 22 specimens of this redfish were caught above depths of $600-1000 \mathrm{~m}$ near the continental slope in South-West Greenland. A total of 76 redfish were taken. Each fish was studied as to its length, weight, sex, stage of maturity, fatness grade (according to fat content on the internal organs). The degree to which redfish are parasitized by Sphyrion lumpi Kröyer and Chondrocanthopsis nodusus (O. F. Müller) was studied. Scales and otoliths of each fish were taken for age determination. Morphometric studies of 41 specimens were carried out.

| Depth | Hauls | Redfish caught |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m$ | $n o$ | $n o$ |
| 200 | 9 | 7 |
| $201-250$ | 12 | 11 |
| $251-300$ | 18 | 12 |
| $301-350$ | 22 | 23 |
| $351-400$ | 5 | 12 |
| $>500$ | 7 | 11 |

The stomachs of most redfish were turned out. Of 20 stomachs which were not turned out, 6 contained squids, ctenophores, shrimps, euphausiids and lantern anchovies.

The length of Sebates mentella Tr. was 32 to 48 cm . of Sebastes marinus L. 39 to 65 cm . The number of each sex was almost the same ( 32 females and 31 males).

The males of Sebastes mentella Tr. were mature (the III and IV stages of maturity). Most females were at the IX stage (after extrusion of the larvae). Some fishes contained single not yet extruded larvae. Some females taken over the Reykjanes Ridge were immature.

Almost all specimens of Sebastes mentella Tr . had no fat on the internal organs except some redfish with low fat content. Of 54 specimens of Sebastes mentella Tr. 13 contained from one to several parasites of Sphyrion lumpi. Chondrocanthopsis nodosus was not found in a single specimen of Sebastes mentella Tr.

## Results of Cruise of Research Trawler Zapad

The cruise of the Trawler Zapad was carried out in February 1963.

The object of the cruise was to discover places and times of formation of pre-spawning concentrations of redfish above the oceanic depths southwest of Iceland. Choice of the area of investigation was based on the data on redfish larvae distribution in May 1961 obtained by Magnusson (Positions: $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and $22^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ to $34^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ ).

TABLE 2. Data on redfish obtained by the Research Trawler Zapad 9-23 February, 1963.

| Station No. | Position | Date | Fishing depth | Temperature at fishing depth | Redfish species | Length | Sex and maturity stage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $m$ | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | cm |  |  |
|  | $62^{\circ} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-30^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 11 | 150 |  | mentella | 44 | $0^{7}$ | II |
|  |  |  |  |  | ", | 44 | \% | IV |
|  | $62^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-26^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 12 | 150 |  | " | 42 | 9 |  |
|  | $64^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-31^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 13 | 150 |  | , | 39 | 1 | VI |
|  | $64^{\circ} 36^{\prime} \mathrm{N}-31^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 17 | 170 |  | " | 43 | ${ }^{7}$ | II |

From 9 to 22 February, 1300 miles were covered and 6 traverses along the latitude were made. The continuous fish detection with the "Kalmar" echo-sounder was conducted in the $0-600 \mathrm{~m}$ range. Records were often obtained from the $150-250 \mathrm{~m}$ layer.

In the experts opinion, these records were not
those of commercial fishes. Control tows were made with a 20 m mid-water trawl at depths of $150-200 \mathrm{~m}$ in the areas where records were obtained.

An $8-\mathrm{mm}$ cotton net was inserted into the trawl codend. Sometimes a plankton net was fixed to the trawl. During the entire period of
investigations, 24 hauls were made, only 5 specimens of Sehastes mentella Tr. were taken at 150170 m . Sizes of males were 43 and 44 cm , females 39,42 , and 44 cm . Males were at the II maturity stage and females at the IV and VI maturity stages. Euphausiids and medusa were found in the stomachs.

Of other fishes, Maurolicus mülleri and lantern anchovies (Myctophidae) were often taken with a trawl on the southern traverses. Of invertebrate animals, medusa were encountered in great quantity (up to 100 kg ), shrimps and euphausiids were found in smaller quantity. Small amounts of euphausiids, Calanus, amphipods and lantern anchovies were taken with a plankton net. Fish were seldom observed in the trawl on the northern traverses, the plankton nets were empty, and only medusa occurred in the trawl catches.

## Summary

The materials collected during the two cruises give rise to the assumption that only Sebastes mentella Tr. inhabits the waters in the bathypelagic part of the North Atlantic. Sebastes marinus L. probably does not migrate far from the slope. The discovery of the Sebastes mentella Tr. females above the great depths during the period of eggs ripening and after the extrusion of larvae testifies to tho fact that they extrude larvae in these areas.

Both males and females occur above great depths. They may be mature and immature. The presence of redfish of only large sizes in the bathypelagic zone suggests they are a population of old fish.

Catches of single specimens of Sebastes mentella Tr . show that redfish are dispersed over the entire area investigated. This consideration does not exclude the possibility of formation of redfish concentrations in other seasons.

# Abundance, Age Composition of Landings, and Total Mortality of Haddock Caught Off Southern Nova Scotia, 1956-1961 

BY R. C. HENNEMUTH, ${ }^{1}$ M. D. GROSSLEIN, ${ }^{1}$ AND F. D. MCCRACKEN ${ }^{2}$


#### Abstract

Haddock stocks in Division 4X of the Convention Area for the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (ICNAF) have been exploited for many years by Canada and the United States. The present study covers the period 1956-1961 and represents the first comprehensive analysis of this fishery. General characteristics of the fishery are described, and estimates of abundance, growth, year class strength, and total mortality are presented.


About 70 percent of the 1956-61 landings ( 91,161 metric tons) were accounted for by otter trawls and the remainder by hook and line gear. Nearly three-fourths of the landings came from waters off southern Nova Scotia (chiefly Browns Bank) and the remainder from the Bay of Fundy.

Average annual survival rate of Division 4X stocks was approximately 0.50 corresponding to an instantaneous total mortality rate of 0.7 . However, Bay of Fundy haddock exhibit faster growth and a younger age composition than those from waters off southern Nova Scotia. Age composition of Bay of Fundy stocks is similar to that of Division 5Z (Georges Bank), but growth is faster in the latter area. Both growth and age composition of haddock off southern Nova Scotia is similar to that for stocks off central Nova Scotia, (Divisions 4V-W).

Certain year classes appeared strong in all Divisions ( 5 Z to 4 W ) suggesting that factors common to the entire area may control brood success, or that stocks mix at some pre-recruit stage.
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## Introduction

The fishing area under study is delineated by the boundaries of Division 4X of the Convention Area for the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (ICNAF) (Fig. 1). The relationship of the stocks within these boundaries to those to the north and south


Fig. 1. Geographical divisions of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries (upper panel), and sampling areas and subareas (lettered) of Division 4X employed in this study (lower panel).
is not yet clearly defined, although there is some evidence of autonomy (Clark and Vladykov, 1960). Regardless of racial structure, neither the stocks of haddock nor the fishery is continuously distributed throughout its western Atlantic range. Division 4X encompasses one geographical segment where the fishery and the fish tend to be concentrated, forming a convenient unit area for study.

The stocks within this area have been exploited for many decades by Canadian and American fishermen. During the years under study, 1956 to 1961, the total landings have fluctuated between 28 and 40 million pounds ( 13 and 18 thousand metric tons) ${ }^{3}$ annually. Landings are summarized in Table 1 and Fig. 2 by quarter ${ }^{4}$. type of gear, and sampling areas.


Fig 2. Landings of haddock caught in Division 4 X bs type of gear, sampling area and quarter.

Landings by hook and line gear, exclusive! Canadian, accounted for 29 per cent of the total during the period, and gradually declined from 10.7 million pounds ( 4.8 thousand metric tons) in 1956 to 7.7 million pounds ( 3.5 thousand metric tons) in 1961. Vessels using line gear fished mostly in Sampling Area 42, and their landings were fairly uniform throughout the year. Otter trawlers, predominantly U.S., have landed between 17 and 30 million pounds ( 8 and 14 thousand metric tons) annually. The catch by otter trawlers in Sampling Area 42 averaged 17 million
${ }^{3}$ )All weights in this paper refer to dressed weights; haddock are gutted and stored in ice for periods up to two weeks before landing. Approximate estimates of round, fresh weights may be obtained by multiplying the as-landed weights by 1.17 .
${ }^{4}$ )These are not calendar quarters. The first quarterly period commences with February, and the fourth quarter of a given year terminates with January of the following year. These periods were chosen by earlier investigators to center the peak of spawning within a time stratum, the first quarter. This choice is somewhat arbitary, and we have used the system for sake of conformity.

TABLE 1. Landings in thousands of pounds of haddock caught in Division 4 X by quarter, sampling area, and type of gear, 1956-61. Totals shown in parentheses are in metric tons.

| Year | Qtr. | Hook and Line - Canada |  |  | Otter Trawl - U.S. and Canada |  |  | Grand Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sampling Area |  | Totals | Sampling Area |  | Totals |  |
|  |  | 41 | 42 |  | 41 | 42 |  |  |
| 1956 | 1 | 303 | 2494 | 2797 | 81 | 16348 | 16429 | 19226 |
|  | 2 | 1522 | 1746 | 3268 | 1590 | 4770 | 6360 | 9628 |
|  | 3 | 1775 | 1641 | 3416 | 2464 | 287 | 2751 | 6167 |
|  | 4 | 901 | 1473 | 2374 | 900 | 636 | 1536 | 3910 |
| Totals |  | 4501 | 7354 | 11855 | 5035 | 22041 | 27076 | 38931 |
|  |  | (2042) | (3336) | (5377) | (2284) | (9998) | (12282) | (17659) |
| 1957 | 1 | 17 | 2441 | 2458 | 78 | 11506 | 11584 | 14042 |
|  | 2 | 1244 | 2113 | 3357 | 1624 | 1485 | 3109 | 6466 |
|  | 3 | 357 | 2940 | 3297 | 3056 | 329 | 3385 | 6682 |
|  | 4 | 360 | 1337 | 1697 | 1178 | 832 | 2010 | 3707 |
| Totals |  | 1978 | 8831 | 10809 | 5936 | 14152 | 20088 | 30897 |
|  |  | (897) | (4006) | (4903) | (2693) | (6419) | (9112) | (14015) |
| 1958 | 1 | 99 | 2466 | 2565 | 144 | 19629 | 19773 | 22338 |
|  | 2 | 804 | 1789 | 2593 | 2343 | 2206 | 4549 | 7142 |
|  | 3 | 633 | 1746 | 2379 | 3595 | 528 | 4123 | 6502 |
|  | 4 | 545 | 1705 | 2250 | 662 | 676 | 1338 | 3588 |
| Totals |  | 2081 | 7706 | 9787 | 6744 | 23039 | 29783 | 39570 |
|  |  | (944) | (3495) | (4439) | (3059) | (10450) | (13509) | (17949) |
| 1959 | 1 | 55 | 2266 | 2321 | 222 | 7243 | 7465 | 9786 |
|  | 2 | 453 | 2972 | 3425 | 2350 | 1905 | 4255 | 7680 |
|  | 3 | 479 | 2354 | 2833 | 4088 | 412 | 4500 | 7333 |
|  | 4 | 337 | 1545 | 1882 | 661 | 364 | 1025 | 2907 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (600) | (4145) | (4745) | (3321) | $(4501)$ | (7822) | (12567) |
| 1960 | 1 | 30 | 1591 | 1621 | 339 | 12915 | 13254 | 14875 |
|  | 2 | 352 | 1992 | 2344 | 2316 | 2590 | 4906 | 7250 |
|  | 3 | 352 | 1845 | 2197 | 3672 | 516 | 4188 | 6385 |
|  | 4 | 211 | 1048 | 1259 | 771 | 371 | 1142 | 2401 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (429) | (2937) | (3366) | (3220) | (7435) | (10655) | (14021) |
| 1961 | 1 | 1 | 2064 | 2065 | 181 | 14509 | 14690 | 16755 |
|  | 2 | 277 | 1875 | 2152 | 2142 | 2451 | 4593 | 6745 |
|  | 3 | 259 | 2160 | 2419 | 4572 | 317 | 4889 | 7308 |
|  | 4 | 310 | 795 | 1105 | 851 | 193 | 1044 | 2149 |
| Totals |  | 847 | 6894 |  |  | 17470 | 25216 | 32957 |
|  |  | (384) | (3127) | (3511) | (3513) | (7924) | (11437) | (14949) |
| Grand Totals |  | 11676 | 46398 | 58074 | 39880 | 103018 | 142898 | 200972 |
|  |  | (5296) | (21046) | (26342) | (18089) | (46729) | (64819) | (91161) |

pounds per year ( 8 thousand metric tons), which was over twice that in Sampling Area 41. About 80 percent of the otter-trawl landings from Sampling Area 42 was taken in the first quarter, whereas about 80 percent of the otter-trawl landings in Sampling Area 41 was taken in the second and third quarters.

Biologists of the U.S. Bureau of Commercial Fisheries Biological Laboratory, Woods Hole, and the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, Biological Station at St. Andrews, initiated a co-operative study of this fishery in 1956. Although investigations had been conducted previously by each country, the studies had not been
comprehensive, and had not adequately considered the joint nature of the fishery. The landings of vessels of the two countries were nearly equal from 1956-61, but there was a differential distribution of fishing effort among gear, seasons, and areas. Emphasis was placed on the collection of statistics of landings and effort, and of samples of length and age frequencies of the landings.

This paper presents the results of analysis of these data. Estimates of average length and weight at age, abundance of year classes, and total mortality have been obtained. Comparisons of these statistics have been made among areas and quarters to elucidate interrelationships of the stocks.

Most of the otter trawls are fitted with a cod end of $4 \frac{1}{2}$ inch, manila mesh or its equivalent in synthetic material, as stipulated by ICNAF regulations. The sizes of fish retained by this mesh size are generally acceptable on the market, and there is little discard. Discarding of fish caught by line gear is also negligible. The landings are nearly equivalent, therefore, to the catch with respect to length of fish and amount. Neither the catch nor landings truly represent the population, of course, but we do assume the changes observed in landings and samples therefrom reflect changes in the population beyond the age of full recruitment.

## Methods of Sampling, Ageing and Analysis

## 1. Sampling methods

For purposes of sampling and recording fishery statistics, Division 4 X was originally divided into seven small subareas, lettered M through S (Fig. 1). Off Southern Nova Scotia, the offshore fishing grounds are contained within N and P , and the inshore grounds within $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{Q} . \mathrm{R}$ and S represent the Bay of Fundy fishing grounds. Samples were taken from landings of fish caught entirely within one of the subareas, and the day of landing determined the month to which a sample or landing was assigned. For purposes of analysis, the data were subsequently grouped into two larger sampling areas: Sampling Area 42, which includes Subareas M through Q, the Southern Nova Scotia grounds; and Sampling Area 41, which includes Subareas $R$ and $S$, the Bay of Fundy grounds.

United States and Canadian haddock catches are landed in three market categories: scrod ( 1.5 to 2.5 pounds; 0.7 to 1.1 kg ), large (over 2.5
pounds), and ungraded (over 1.5 pounds). A 2.5 pound haddock is about 50 cm in length. Fish are unloaded from vessels typically into boxes or carts, and length measurements and otoliths are taken from haddock selected from containers of each market category. The sample is best described as a "grab" sample, i.e., fish are taken from the container in a blind fashion, topmost layer first, until the requisite number has been obtained.

Virtually all haddock caught by U.S. otter trawlers are culled at sea and landed as large or scrod. A minimum of 100 large haddock and 50 scrod haddock are measured for each sample, and usually both large and scrod are obtained from each trip sampled. Otoliths are taken from 20 large and 15 scrod selected, insofar as possible, so that the entire range of lengths encountered is uniformly represented.

Canadian fishermen employ otter trawls, and hook and line (longlines and handlines) gear. Haddock are landed in all three market categories. The large and scrod categories are similar in size of fish to the corresponding U.S. categories. The ungraded category is from catches which have not been culled at sea. During the period of study usually 200 or more lengths were obtained from a single landing ${ }^{5}$. If both large and scrod were sampled from a single landing, the scrod sample was limited to about 15 percent of the total sample. Usually not less than 40 otoliths and often more than 100 were collected from a single landing, but for the samples of more than 50 otoliths, a stratified (by length) subsample of 50 was drawn for reading. Haddock were sampled representatively for both length frequency measurements and otoliths.

## 2. Age assessment

All ages are based on otolith readings by Norman McFarlane, St. Andrews, and John P. McDermott, Woods Hole. Criteria for identifying year marks on haddock otoliths in Nova Scotian waters were established by Kohler (1958), who guided the training of the two readers.

Otoliths from 736 fish were read independently by each of the two readers, and they disagreed on 143 ( 20 percent). Among the 143 discrepancies, 114 ( 80 percent) involved a difference of only one year and 22 a difference of two years. McFarlane's readings, however, were lower than McDermott's in 70 percent of the 143 discrepancies. The tendency for McFarlane to get

[^11]TABLE 2. Comparison of 736 haddock otoliths read independently by each of two readors. Readings in agreement are in bold figures.

lower ages appears to begin about age 6 and is present thereafter (Table 2). Simultaneous examination of otoliths by these two readers showed that discrepancies were due primarily to differences in interpreting weak marks, which occurred on some otoliths, either as annuli or as checks.

An approximate measure of the effect of discrepancies of this magnitude on estimates of mortality was obtained by converting Table 2 into a hypothetical set of percentage errors in age readings. This was done by assuming that the readings of one reader were correct and then converting discrepancies with the other reader into percentages. The "percentage errors" were then applied to a percentage age frequency corresponding to the average percentage age distribution of the first quarter of otter-trawl landings in Sampling Area 42. Survival estimates were computed from both the original and the adjusted age frequencies using a simple estimator described by Robson and Chapman (1961). Differences in survival estimates between ages 6 and 11 were less than 5 percent, and the difference in average age landed was less than 0.2 of one year. Consequently the results based on age data in this report are essentially the same as if only one reader had been used.

Winter growth zones of haddock are noticeable on otoliths beginning in the third quarter of the year and are consistently distinguishable by 1 February. The birthday was taken as

1 February, that is, otoliths showing n winter growth zones in the third and fourth quarter were assigned an age $n-1$, but commencing in the first quarter an age $n$ was assigned.

## 3. Methods of analysis

Let $n_{i j k}$ be the pooled sample length frequencies for the $i_{i}$ th $2-\mathrm{cm}$ length interval, $j^{\text {th month and }}{ }_{k}^{\text {th market category within each }}$ type of gear, sampling area, and quarter. The estimated quarterly number of fish landed per $2-\mathrm{cm}$ length interval is,

$$
N_{i}=\sum_{j k}\left(n_{i j k} W_{j k} / \sum_{i} n_{i j k} w_{i j}\right),
$$

where $W_{j k}$ is the weight landed, and ${ }^{i j}$ is the mean weight at length taken from data of Clark and Dietsch (1959).

Whenever a particular set of $n_{i j k}$ was missing from the data, the average length frequency of the other months or market eategories within the quarter was used to supply estimates of the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$, which were added to the quarterly totals. The $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ were not estimated if there was not at least one length frequency sample for each of the market categories within the quarter, or if the numbers of fish measured were too small to provide a reliable frequency (here, the minimum number was set arbitrarily at about 100). Some exceptions to
this rule were made for strata where length samples from ungraded landings were used to prorate all landings including large and scrod, or vice versa. These instances are mentioned under the appropriate section, and suitable comparisons made where necessary to validate the procedure.

Having thus obtained the quarterly $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$, the sample age-frequencies were pooled within each quarter by $2-\mathrm{cm}$ intervals, to obtain an agelength key. The $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ were multiplicd by the percentage age-at-length frequencies and summed within each age group to obtain the numbers of fish landed at each age. Mean length and weight per fish of each age group then were computed, again using data on mean weight at length given by Clark and Dietsch (1959).

In most quarters, the sum of the estimated numbers landed in each age group was 1 or 2 percent less than the total numbers landed as estimated from the length-frequency data. This difference occurs because otoliths from fish in the larger and smaller length intervals were not always obtained in the samples. Age groups 2,3 , 11 , and 12 are affected, primarily. Therefore, the numbers of fish landed are underestimated for all these age groups, and the mean length and weight are overestimated for the younger age groups, and underestimated for the older. We shall point out in subsequent sections the results which are affected by this bias.

In addition, the lesser numbers of otoliths sampled from larger and smaller fish increases the variability of the corresponding estimates, but the above points up an inaccuracy rather than imprecision.

TABLE 3. Landings (gutted weights, $1 \mathrm{lb} . \times 10^{-3}$ ) of haddock and numbers of fish measured and aged for otter-trawl landings, Division 4X, Sampling Area 42.

| Year | Qtr. | Landings |  |  | Measured | Aged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | U.S. | Can. | Total |  |  |
| 1956 | 1 | 15754 | 594 | 16348 | 3782 | 218 |
|  | 2 | 4549 | 221 | 4770 | 906 | - |
|  | 3 | 84 | 203 | 287 | 668 | - |
|  | 4 | 453 | 183 | 636 | 345 | - |
| 1957 | 1 | 10687 | 818 | 11505 | 2984 | 381 |
|  | 2 | 1320 | 165 | 1485 | 160 | 34 |
|  | 3 | 162 | 166 | 329 | 222 | - |
|  | 4 | 740 | 92 | 832 | 56 | 15 |
| 1958 | 1 | 18116 | 1513 | 19629 | 2903 | 305 |
|  | 2 | 2067 | 138 | 2205 | 204 | - |
|  | 3 | 279 | 249 | 528 | 159 | - |
|  | 4 | 566 | 110 | 676 | 121 | 15 |
| 1959 | 1 | 6856 | 388 | 7243 | 1830 | 383 |
|  | 2 | 1736 | 169 | 1905 | 1396 | 243 |
|  | 3 | 346 | 66 | 412 | 82 | 61 |
|  | 4 | 344 | 20 | 364 | 110 | - |
| 1960 | 1 | 12559 | 356 | 12915 | 2561 | 610 |
|  | 2 | 2197 | 393 | 2590 | 855 | 143 |
|  | 3 | 233 | 282 | 516 | 125 | 75 |
|  | 4 | 248 | 123 | 371 | - | - |
| 1961 | 1 | 14452 | 56 | 14509 | 3502 | 704 |
|  | 2 | 2264 | 187 | 2451 | 471 | 75 |
|  | 3 | 284 | 34 | 317 | 319 | 34 |
|  | 4 | 151 | 42 | 193 | - | - |

## Sampling Area 42 (Southern Nova Scotia)

## 1. Otter-trawl fishery

Quarterly otter-trawl landings by country, and numbers of fish measured and aged in samples of the landings are presented in Table 3. The bulk of the landings ( 76 percent for the 1956-1961 period) were accounted for by the United States fleet in the first quarter. The United States vessels fished principally on Browns Bank.

Adequate estimates of length and age composition of landings were obtained only for U.S. landings in the first quarter of each year, and in the second quarter of 1959 and 1960. Canadian landings, therefore, had to be prorated by the length and age composition of the United States landings to provide the total biostatistics for these quarters.

Comparison of length samples collected from otter trawl landings of both countries in March of 1956 and 1958, and in April 1957 indicate that Canadian landings have a somewhat higher proportion of smaller-sized fish within both market categories (Fig. 3).


Fig. 3. Comparison of length-frequencies of samples from U.S. and Canadian landings of otter trawlers fishing in Sampling Area 42.

However, the bias introduced in the estimates is negligible because of the relatively small amounts landed by Canadian otter trawlers in the first quarter.

## 1.I Average length and weight at age

The average length and weight at age in the landings are presented in Table 4 and Fig. 4. The vertical bars at each point in Fig. 4 represent the range of quarterly averages.

There is no major trend in mean lengths or weights among the years, although the mean lengths for 1961 are, on the average, about 1 cm below the other years in the first quartcr (Table 4). The means of the second quarter are somewhat less than those of the first, particularly in weight, for almost all ages. This difference may be related to post spawning condition. The age composition of the two quarters is the same (see below) so that a size differential migration does not seem probable. It is not possible at this time to provide a full explanation.

The means for age groups 3-5 are undoubtedly over-estimates of the underlying population because fish of these ages are only partially recruited to the fishery, and we would expect a higher proportion of the faster growing fish to be retained by the trawl nets. Also, the means of the two youngest and two oldest age groups are over-and under-estimates, respectively, because of the lack of age assessments for the smaller fish of the youngest age groups, and the larger fish of the oldest age groups.


Fig. 4. Quarterly means of length and weight (gutted condition) at age in otter-trawl landings from Sampling Area 42.

TABLE 4. Average length (cm) and weight (lb., gutted) of haddock age groups in otter-trawl landings, Sampling Area 42, Division 4X. Average weights in parentheses are in kilograms.

|  |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Grand <br> Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Year | Qtr. | Length |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 1 | 42.4 | 45.0 | 50.4 | 50.4 | 53.2 | 57.3 | 57.2 | 57.6 | 59.2 | 61.3 | 53.4 |
| 1957 | 1 | 43.5 | 45.4 | 48.6 | 51.2 | 55.6 | 55.9 | 60.1 | 60.0 | 60.2 | 61.6 | 54.2 |
| 1958 | 1 | 42.5 | 44.1 | 48.1 | 51.0 | 54.3 | 56.7 | 59.7 | 60.8 | 62.3 | 56.5 | 53.6 |
| 1959 | 1 | 41.5 | 44.9 | 47.7 | 50.2 | 54.9 | 55.3 | 58.4 | 59.0 | 60.9 | 58.0 | 53.1 |
| 1960 | 1 | 48.5 | 45.3 | 47.8 | 49.4 | 53.1 | 55.6 | 57.1 | 60.1 | 58.9 | 61.4 | 53.8 |
| 1961 | 1 | 41.7 | 45.0 | 47.0 | 49.1 | 51.7 | 53.9 | 57.7 | 56.3 | 59.1 | 60.6 | 52.1 |
| Average |  | 43.4 | 45.0 | 48.3 | 50.2 | 53.8 | 55.8 | 58.4 | 59.0 | 60.1 | 59.9 |  |
| 1959 | 2 | 42.5 | 43.6 | 46.5 | 50.2 | 52.6 | 56.5 | 57.9 | 59.3 | 58.3 | 60.5 | 52.8 |
| 1960 | 2 | 42.5 | 46.8 | 48.0 | 50.9 | 53.2 | 55.2 | 59.0 | 60.6 | 61.5 | 62.1 | 54.0 |
| Average |  | 42.5 | 45.2 | 47.2 | 50.6 | 52.9 | 55.8 | 58.4 | 60.0 | 59.4 | 61.3 |  |
| Weight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 1 | 1.57 | 1.88 | 2.58 | 2.59 | 2.98 | 3.67 | 3.62 | 3.71 | 4.01 | 4.35 | 2.63 (1.19) |
| 1957 | 1 | 1.72 | 1.91 | 2.32 | 2.68 | 3.36 | 3.42 | 4.18 | 4.14 | 4.24 | 4.53 | 2.79 (1.27) |
| 1958 | 1 | 1.58 | 1.82 | 2.31 | 2.69 | 3.23 | 3.64 | 4.19 | 4.36 | 4.64 | 3.54 | 2.73 (1.24) |
| 1959 | 1 | 1.48 | 1.89 | 2.25 | 2.57 | 3.29 | 3.36 | 3.90 | 4.02 | 4.32 | 3.79 | 2.78 (1.26) |
| 1960 | 1 | 2.35 | 1.96 | 2.30 | 2.52 | 3.07 | 3.49 | 3.85 | 4.28 | 4.07 | 4.58 | 2.81 (1.27) |
| 1961 | 1 | 1.51 | 1.91 | 2.16 | 2.46 | 2.85 | 3.17 | 3.74 | 3.59 | 4.08 | 4.40 | 2.53 (1.15) |
| Average |  | 1.70 | 1.90 | 2.32 | 2.59 | 3.14 | 3.46 | 3.92 | 4.02 | 4.24 | 4.21 |  |
|  |  | (0.77) | (0.86) | (1.05) | (1.17) | (1.42) | (1.57) | (1.78) | (1.82) | (1.92) | (1.91) |  |
| 1959 | 2 | 1.55 | 1.69 | 2.01 | 2.48 | 2.81 | 3.42 | 3.64 | 3.89 | 3.69 | 4.01 | 2.48 (1.12) |
| 1960 | 2 | 1.55 | 2.01 | 2.21 | 2.46 | 2.92 | 3.21 | 3.83 | 4.11 | 4.25 | 4.36 | 2.84 (1.29) |
| Average |  | 1.55 | 1.85 | 2.11 | 2.47 | 2.86 | 3.32 | 3.74 | 4.00 | 3.97 | 4.18 |  |
|  |  | (0.70) | (0.84) | (0.96) | (1.12) | (1.30) | (1.50) | (1.70) | (1.81) | (1.80) | (1.90) |  |

### 1.2 Index of relative abundance

An index of relative abundance in terms of landings-per-days-fished was derived from interview records of trips of large otter trawlers ( 150 to 300 Gross Tons) landing in Boston and fishing wholely within Sampling Area 42, primarily on Browns Bank. This fleet accounts for most of the otter-trawl catch of haddock within the area, although not all trips were interviewed.

The landings, days fished, and landings-per-days-fished of the interviewed fleet are presented in Table 5. The activity of this fleet is concentrated in the first quarter, when apparent abundance is high. There are very few trips upon which to base estimates in the other quarters, and no data are available for the third quarter in any year. However, even the limited data indicate a sharply reduced apparent abundance in the last three quarters of the year. Haddock spawn on Browns Bank during the first quarter (J. B. Colton, 1962, Report No. 62-11, Woods Hole

Bureau of Commercial Fisheries Biological Laboratory, unpublished), and the fish thus may be more densely concentrated at that time. Haddock tagged on inshore grounds off Lockeport, Nova Scotia during summer, were recaptured in fair numbers on Browns and Lahave banks the following winter, suggesting offshore winter movement (McCracken, 1956). Movement inshore in the summer has not been demonstrated, because haddock tagged on Browns Bank have yielded few returns from inshore areas (unpublished U.S. and Canadian records). However, the relative magnitude, and seasonal pattern of haddock movements in the area is difficult to ascertain from these data because of seasonal and geographical variations in fishing effort, and lack of sufficient tag returns.

Total effort for each quarter was estimated by dividing the total landings by the landings-per-days-fished (Table 5). Effort for the third quarter of each year was estimated using the average of the landings-per-day for the second and fourth quarters.

HENNEMUTH, GROSSLEIN, AND McCRACKEN: HADDOCK OFF NOVA SCOTIA
TABLE 5. Estimates of apparent abundance and total effort of otter trawls, Division 4X, Sampling Area 42. (Weight in lb. $\mathrm{xlO}^{-3}$ ).

| Year | Qtr. | Interviewed Fleet |  |  | Total Landings | Total Effort (days fished) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Days <br> fished | Landings | Landings per day |  |  |
| 1956 | 1 | 298 | 7896 | 26.5 | 16348 | 617 |
|  | 2 | 6 | 92 | 15.9 | 4770 | 300 |
|  | 3 | - | - | - | 287 | 24 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 25 | 6.3 | 636 | 100 |
|  | T |  |  | 21.2 | 22042 | 1041 |
| 1957 | 1 | 201 | 3633 | 18.1 | 11506 | 636 |
|  | 2 | 8 | 104 | 13.9 | 1485 | 107 |
|  | 3 | - | - | - | 329 | 32 |
|  | 4 | 15 | 133 | 8.7 | 832 | 96 |
|  | T |  |  | 16.2 | 14151 | 871 |
| 1958 | 1 | 311 | 6065 | 19.5 | 19629 | 1007 |
|  | 2 | 31 | 387 | 12.5 | 2206 | 176 |
|  | 3 | - | - | - | 528 | 57 |
|  | 4 | 26 | 146 | 5.5 | 676 | 123 |
|  | T |  |  | 16.9 | 23039 | 1363 |
| 1959 | 1 | 80 | 1149 | 14.4 | 7243 | 503 |
|  | 2 | 30 | 248 | 8.2 | 1905 | 232 |
|  | 3 | - | - | - | 412 | 64 |
|  | 4 | 18 | 56 | 3.2 | 364 | 114 |
|  | T |  |  | 10.9 | 9924 | 913 |
| 1960 | 1 | 295 | 4232 | 14.4 | 12915 | 897 |
|  | 2 | 34 | 371 | 10.9 | 2590 | 238 |
|  | 3 | - | - | - | 516 | 49 |
|  | 4 | 7 | 56 | 8.5 | 371 | 44 |
|  | T |  |  | 13.3 | 16392 | 1228 |
| 1961 | 1 | $326$ | 4530 | 13.9 | 14509 | 1045 |
|  | 2 | 30 | 301 | 10.0 | 2451 | 245 |
|  | 3 | - | - | - | 317 | 30 |
|  | 4 | - | - | - | 193 | 19 |
|  | T |  |  | 13.0 | 17470 | 1339 |

TABLE 6. Estimated numbers of fish landed per day fished by otter trawls in Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

| Year | Qtr. |  |  |  |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  | Totals of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { groups } \end{aligned}$ | Length groups |
| 1956 | 1 | 201 | 2512 | 1206 | 3014 | 1507 | 703 | 241 | 332 | 201 | 100 | 9884 | 10070 |
| 1957 | 1 | 324 | 584 | 2658 | 454 | 972 | 778 | 324 | 214 | 130 | 65 | 6483 | 6483 |
| 1958 | 1 | 30 | 998 | 1070 | 3423 | 570 | 499 | 285 | 143 | 21 | 26 | 7130 | 7140 |
| 1959 | 1 | 41 | 462 | 1386 | 1181 | 1181 | 257 | 308 | 194 | 82 | 30 | 5133 | 5211 |
| 1960 | 1 | 10 | 511 | 1074 | 1534 | 614 | 869 | 205 | 169 | 102 | 12 | 5114 | 5124 |
| 1961 | 1 | 66 | 602 | 1753 | 1260 | 1013 | 334 | 329 | 71 | 60 | 22 | 5477 | 5488 |
| 1959 | 2 | 19 | 619 | 912 | 424 | 619 | 189 | 209 | 195 | 65 | 9 | 3258 | 3311 |
| 1960 | 2 | 16 | 344 | 727 | 995 | 459 | 612 | 192 | 230 | 153 | 39 | 3827 | 3831 |

### 1.3 Relative abundance of age groups

The estimated numbers landed in each age group were divided by the appropriate days fished to provide estimates of abundance at age (Table 6 and Fig. 5). The magnitude of the inaccuracy in estimating numbers landed by not obtaining age assessments for every $2-\mathrm{cm}$ length interval is indicated by the difference in the two total columns in Table 6.

The year classes of 1950, 1952, and 1954 are all relatively large, with that of 1952 being the largest of any of those included in the data. The 1949 year class may also have been relatively large since its abundance in 1956 at age 7 exceeded that of the 1952 year class at the same age. The abundance of the 1956 year class in 1961 at age 5 indicates it is moderately large.

Although the span of years is short, the data clearly show an alternation of year class abundance, the even numbered years yielding the greater abundance. The ratios of the sum of the numbers-per-day-fished for the two series of year classes at each age, given below, indicate that the difference persists throughout the range of age groups, although the ratio decreases with increasing age. At the present time we cannot specify the population processes which might generate such a system.

The average percentage age composition of landings in the first quarter, 1956-61, is given below and shows the highest frequency at age 6 , with less than 1 percent of the landings in age groups 13 and above.

Although the apparent abundance in the second quarter is much lower than in the first, the percentage age distribution is similar for the two quarters in 1959 and 1960 combined, as shown below.


Fig. 5. Relative abundance of age groups in landings of haddock caught by otter trawlers in Sampling Area 42 of Division 4X. Numbers over bars denote year classes.

| Age |  |  | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Even years/ odd years |  |  |  | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Age | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| $\%$ | 2 | 14 | 24 | 28 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 | $<1$ |



Fig. 6. Plot of logarithm of abundance on age for various year classes in landings by otter trawlers from Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

### 1.4 Estimates of total mortality

The natural logarithms of numbers-per-day in the first quarter landings have been plotted against age for various year classes (Fig. 6). The peak of these curves occurs between 5 and 6 years of age, and recruitment is taken to be essentially complete by age 6 . There is no observable departure from linearity between age groups 6 and 10 , but the decrease in apparent abundance between age groups 10 and 12 appears somewhat greater. We might also note that the degree of homogeneity among the curves is rather satisfactory, considering the variety of data employed.

In order to estimate annual survival rates and examine variations thereof among years and ages, we have calculated the individual ratios

$$
r_{i j}=\frac{N_{i+1, j+1}}{N_{i j}}
$$

and the ratios of the means (i.e., ratio of sums of numerator and denominator above); $r_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} .$, and $r .$. , where $N_{i j}$ is the estimated number-perday in age group $j$, and year $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i}=1956, .$. ., 1961, and $j=3, \ldots, 12$ years, and where the dot indicates the subscript over which the sums have been taken. The ratios $r_{i}$. and $r$. . include only age groups 6 through 12. The ratios are set forth in Table 7.

There is considerable variation among the $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}$, which is not unexpected considering all the possible sources of variation. The row and column totals are somewhat more informative.

Examination of the r. reaffirms our previous observation that recruitment is essentially complete by age 6; the validity of this observation depends, of course, on constant mortality rates from age 6 onwards. We might conclude this is

TABLE 7. Estimates of total survival rate of haddock in first quarter otter-trawl landings from Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

| Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 3-4 | 4-5 | 5-6 | 6-7 | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age 6-12 } \\ & \text { Avg }\left(r_{i} \cdot\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1956-57 | 2.90 | 1.06 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.46 | 0.89 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 0.41 |
| 1957-58 | 3.08 | 1.83 | 1.29 | 1.26 | 0.51 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.54 |
| 1958-59 | 15.40 | 1.39 | 1.10 | 0.34 | 0.45 | 0.62 | 0.68 | 0.57 | 1.43 | 0.42 |
| 1959-60 | 12.46 | 2.32 | 1.11 | 0.52 | 0.74 | 0.80 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.62 |
| 1960-61 | 60.2 | 3.43 | 1.17 | 0.66 | 0.54 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.52 |
| Avg ( $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) | 5.21 | 1.57 | 1.06 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.58 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.48 (r. .) |

so for ages $6-10$, since there is no trend in the survival ratios. As mentioned earlier, the lack of age assessments for some of the larger length intervals has been responsible, to some extent, for the lower apparent abundance at ages 11 and 12.

The row averages, $r_{i}$, indicate large differences in survival among years. Changes in annual fishing intensity, show a general inverse trend to survival, but the series of data are too short and the yearly deviations are too small to permit a precise evaluation.

The overall pooled ratio, r.., of 0.48 provides an estimate of survival which is equivalent to a total instantaneous mortality rate, Z , of 0.73 . This may not be the "best" estimate of mortality in the statistical sense of no bias and minimum variance, but it seems as good as any with the data at hand. Other estimators (e.g., Robson and Chapman, 1961, and slope of the catch curve) have produced similar estimates in trial comparisons.

## 2. Hook and line fishery

The hook and line fishery in Sampling Area 42 is exclusively Canadian and employs both longlines and handlines. Longlines produce about two-thirds of the landings. Longliners use, on the average, 11 lines per tub and 50 hooks per line, or approximately 550 hooks per tub, (usually Pfleugers $6 / 0$ or equivalent hook). Varying numbers of tubs per day are set depending upon fishing ground. Inshore vessels ( $0-25$ gross tons) land catches daily whereas offshore vessels (above 25 gross tons) land after several days fishing.

Handliners ( $0-25$ gross tons) use $6 / 0$ hooks most frequently and fish the inshore grounds exclusively.

Landings from offshore areas (Subareas N and P, see Fig. 1) are at a peak in the first quarter, drop to a low level in the second and third quarters, and rise slightly again in the fourth quarter (Table 8). In contrast, landings from inshore areas (Subareas M, O, and Q) usually are highest in the second and third quarters. Consequently, total hook and line landings remain fairly steady throughout the year. Haddock landings from inshore areas constitute about three-fourths of the total hook and line landings of haddock each year.

Most hook and line landings were graded into market categories of large and scrod at the time of sampling. Some fish were landed as ungraded, but the actual amount cannot be determined, because the total landings of the small longline vessels ( $0-25$ gross tons) were listed as ungraded in the statistics, even though most of these landings actually were graded. Another deficiency in the statistics is that handline and longline landings usually were not recorded separately. Because complete statistics were lacking it was necessary to use special records of landings of Lockeport longline vessels from Subareas N and 0 combined. The special recordslisted Lockeport landings as large and scrod for all classes of longliners, and this breakdown was used to prorate the total hook and line landings into large and scrod for each month. This procedure requires several assumptions.

First, we have assumed that the lengthfrequencies of graded and ungraded catches are equal. This appears to be a valid assumption

TABLE 8. Haddock landings (gutted weight in $\mathrm{lb} . \times 10^{-3}$ ) and numbers of fish measured and aged for hook and line fishery, Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

| Year | Qtr. | Landings |  |  | Number measured | Number aged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Q}$ <br> (Inshore) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P} \\ \text { (Offshore) } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  |
| 1956 | 1 | 1515.1 | 978.4 | 2493.5 | 1100 | 260 |
|  | 2 | 1554.5 | 191.3 | 1745.8 | - | - |
|  | 3 | 1473.7 | 167.6 | 1641.3 | 200 | - |
|  | 4 | 1115.6 | 357.0 | 1472.6 | 800 | 200 |
|  | Total | 5658.9 | 1694.3 | 7353.2 |  |  |
| 1957 | 1 | 933.7 | 1507.4 | 2441.1 | 998 | 200 |
|  | 2 | 1879.7 | 233.2 | 2112.9 | 1015 | 135 |
|  | 3 | 2765.8 | 174.3 | 2940.1 | 290 | 50 |
|  | 4 | 965.4 | 371.6 | 1337.0 | 2370 | 340 |
|  | Total | 6544.6 | 2286.5 | 8831.1 |  |  |
| 1958 | 1 | 796.2 | 1669.9 | 2466.1 | 400 | 56 |
|  | 2 | 1627.4 | 161.8 | 1789.2 | 1515 | 227 |
|  | 3 | 1475.7 | 270.1 | 1745.8 | - | - |
|  | 4 | 1321.5 | 383.1 | 1704.6 | 1664 | 288 |
|  | Total | 5220.8 | 2484.9 | 7705.7 |  |  |
| 1959 | 1 | 883.0 | 1383.3 | 2266.3 | 332 | 55 |
|  | 2 | 2681.1 | 290.9 | 2972.0 | - | - |
|  | 3 | 2280.9 | 72.7 | 2353.6 | 425 | 331 |
|  | 4 | 1420.7 | 124.4 | 1545.1 | 500 | 196 |
|  | Total | 7265.7 | 1871.3 | 9137.0 |  |  |
| 1960 | 1 | 590.7 | 1000.7 | 1591.4 | 230 | 47 |
|  | 2 | 1553.9 | 437.7 | 1991.6 | 1000 | 192 |
|  | 3 | 1754.0 | 91.1 | 1845.1 | $\cdots$ | 9 |
|  | 4 | 736.4 | 311.2 | 1047.6 | 600 | 129 |
|  | Total | 4635.0 | 1840.7 | 6475.7 |  |  |
| 1961 | 1 | 1121.4 |  |  | - | - |
|  | 2 | 1612.1 | 263.3 | 1875.4 | - | 40 |
|  | 3 | 2053.2 | 106.3 | 2159.5 | - | 79 |
|  | 4 | 704.2 | 90.9 | 795.1 | 200 | 40 |
|  | Total | 5490.9 | 1402.8 | 6893.7 |  |  |

(Fig. 7). Also, we have assumed that the large scrod ratio of Lockeport longliners as well as the length frequency of each market category was the same as that of the entire hook and line fleet. Supporting this assumption are the facts that most of the hook and line landings of haddock comes from Subareas N and O , and the size frequencies of both large and scrod are similar for these two Subareas (Fig. 8).

However, the proportion of scrod in offshore landings (Subarea $N$ ) tended to be lower than that of inshore landings (Subarea O), particularly in the first quarter (Table 9). Furthermore, Lockeport longliners land more haddock from offshore
grounds than from inshore grounds in the first part of the year and less from offshore grounds in the fourth quarter, than does the fleet in general (Table 10). Consequently, by using the combined landings from Subareas N and O in the Lockeport records we have obtained a slightly lower estimate of the proportion of scrod in the total hook and line landings for the first two quarters, than that which would have been obtained by treating each area separately (Table 11). With the exception of 1958 the reverse is true for the fourth quarter (Table 11).

Finally our procedure assumes that the length-frequency of haddock landings is the same


Fig. 7. Comparisons of graded (large and scrod combined) and ungraded length-frequencies of Canadian longline landings of haddock caught in Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.


Fig. 8. Comparison of length frequencies of haddock in landings by longliners fishing in Subarea $O$ (inshore) and Subareas N and P (offshore) of Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

TABLE 9. Percentage (by weight) of scrod in landings from offshore (Subarea N) and inshore (Subarea O) grounds by Lockeport longliners, Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

| Qtr. | 1956 |  | 1957 |  | 1958 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | N | 0 | N | 0 | N |
| 1 | 15 | 5 | 23 | 9 | 11 | 5 |
| 2 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 8 | 6 |
| 3 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 9 |
| 4 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 5 |

TABLE 10. Percentage contribution of offshore haddock landings to total haddock landings by Loekeport longliners, and to total haddock landings by entire hook and line fleet in Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

| Qtr. | 1956 |  | 1957 |  | 1958 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lockeport line trawlers | Total hook and line | Lockeport <br> line <br> trawlers | Total hook and line | Lockeport line trawlers | Total hook and line |
| 1 | 84 | 39 | 86 | 62 | 86 | 68 |
| 2 | 88 | 11 | 67 | 11 | 31 | 9 |
| 3 | 80 | 10 | 20 | 6 | 10 | 15 |
| 4 | 5 | 24 | 4 | 28 | 8 | 22 |

TABLE 11. Percentages (by weight) of serod in hook and line landings, estimated by using Lockeport Co. statisties of longline landings from Subareas $N$ and $O$ separately and combined.

| Qtr. | 1956 |  | 1957 |  | 1958 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N and O Combined | N and O <br> Separate | N and O Combined | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \text { and } \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { Separate } \end{aligned}$ | N and O Combined | N and 0 <br> Separate |
| 1 | 7 | 11 | 10 | 14 | 6 | 7 |
| 2 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 14 | 7 | 8 |
| 3 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 15 | 10 | 10 |
| 4 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

for handlines and longlines. Only two comparisons are available between length frequencies of landings by handline and longline vessels, and these indicate that there is some similarity between them (Fig. 9). Also the estimated proportion of scrod is about the same for handliners as for longliners in the Lockeport Company records as shown below:

| Year $^{6}$ |  | Longliners |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Handliners |  |
| 1957 |  | 8 |  |
| 1958 |  |  | 11 |
| 1959 |  | 16 |  |
| 1960 |  | 17 |  |
| 1961 |  |  | 18 |

Age-length frequencies of the hook and line landings were estimated in the manner described earlier. Length samples were used from graded longline landings only, but age samples were used regardless of grading or type of gear. Inaccuracies because of lack of age assessments for all length intervals were negligible in this segment of data (see totals of Table 14).

### 2.1 Average length and weight at age

Mean length and weight at each age in hook and line landings are shown in Table 12 and Fig. 10. Mean length and weight in the fourth quarter was somewhat less in 1959 and 1960 than in the earlier years. In contrast, the means
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Fig. 9. Comparison of length frequencies of haddock in handline and longline landings from Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.
in the first and second quarters are somewhat greater in later years than in earlier years, but it seems likely that these differences lie within the limits of sampling error. In the first quarter only one age sample was obtained in each of the years 1958, 1959, and 1960; therefore, the means of lengths and weights at age for the first quarter are less reliable estimates than for the fourth quarter. Kohler (1960) presented data for inshore haddock landings at Lockeport during the period 1946-1954. Mean lengths at age in his study were approximately 1 cm higher than in the present study.

Lengths at age in fourth quarter longline landings were compared with those of the same year classes in the first quarter otter-trawl landings of the following year (Fig. 21). Haddock taken by otter trawls in the first quarter at ages 4 and 5 were larger than the corresponding age groups and year classes taken by longlines in the previous fourth quarter. From age 6 onward, haddock taken in the fourth quarter by longlines tended to be progressively larger than members of the same year classes and corresponding age groups taken by otter trawls in the following quarter. These differences are partly due to bias in estimating mean length of youngest and oldest age groups in otter-trawl landings. Differential selectivity by the two types of gear may also
contribute to the differences. However, the comparison may reflect real differences in length at age between inshore and offshore grounds; the former represented by longline landings, and the latter by otter-trawl landings.


Fig. 10. Mean length and weight (gutted) of haddock in landings of longline vessels fishing in Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

### 2.2 Index of relative abundance

Indices of relative abundance of haddock in terms of landings-per-line are based on special records of longliners landing at the Lockeport Company. The Lockeport Company data on landings, lines fished, and landings-per-line are given by quarters for inshore and offshore grounds in Table 13. The inshore indices are based on landings and effort of small ( $0-25$ gross tons) and medium ( $26-50$ gross tons) vessels fishing only in Subarea O. Offshore indices are based on data for medium longliners fishing mainly in Subarea N. No effort data are available for Subareas M, P, Q, and we have assumed that data for N are representative of P , and data for $O$ representative of M and Q .

In inshore areas, abundance appears to have increased in the latter half of the period 1956-61 but chiefly in the second and third quarters (Table 13). Abundance indices in both the first and fourth quarters were about the same throughout the period except for the first quarter in 1961, which was about twice the average first-quarter value. While there is some basis for suggesting an overall increase in abundance on inshore grounds, the evidence is much less convincing than if all quarters had shown increases. Note also that abundance was higher on the average in the third and fourth quarters in all years.

TABLE 12. Average length (cm) and weight (lbs. gutted) of haddock age groups in longline landings. Sampling Area 42 , Division 4X. Average weights in parentheses are in kilograms.

|  |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Mean |
| Year | Qtr. |  |  |  |  |  | Length |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 4 | 44.7 | 46.7 | 51.4 | 55.1 | 57.2 | 59.6 | 61.4 | 63.9 | 61.4 | 67.1 | 34.6 |
| 1957 | 4 | 41.6 | 48.8 | 51.0 | 56.0 | 58.1 | 60.8 | 64.2 | 64.5 | 64.7 | 59.9 | 54.4 |
| 1958 | 4 | 42.7 | 44.6 | 50.7 | 53.9 | 56.9 | 58.9 | 60.2 | 63.0 | 60.4 | 69.4 | 54.8 |
| 1959 | 4 | 41.5 | 45.9 | 49.7 | 53.2 | 56.5 | 60.0 | 60.9 | 63.7 | 65.8 | 64.5 | 52.7 |
| 1960 | 4 | 37.4 | 43.7 | 51.4 | 52.4 | 55.5 | 57.9 | 60.1 | 64.5 | 59.4 | 68.0 | 51.9 |
| Average |  | 41.6 | 45.9 | 50.8 | 54.1 | 56.8 | 59.4 | 61.4 | 63.9 | 62.3 | 65.8 |  |
| 1956 | 1 |  | 45.4 | 51.4 | 53.3 | 56.6 | 58.9 | 60.0 | 61.2 | 63.6 | 64.2 | 55.9 |
| 1957 | 1 |  | 43.2 | 48.4 | 54.0 | 56.5 | 59.1 | 63.2 | 62.1 | 62.4 | 62.8 | 55.6 |
| 1958 | 1 |  | 40.5 | 47.2 | 54.0 | 57.6 | 56.1 | 56.0 | 62.5 | 61.6 | 68.5 | 56.2 |
| 1959 | 1 |  | 40.5 | 46.5 | 52.5 | 56.1 | 56.6 | 59.7 | 60.8 | 56.8 | 61.2 | 56.2 |
| 1960 | 1 |  | 51.6 | 58.5 | 52.2 | 57.6 | 55.0 | 56.2 | 61.9 | 62.8 | 67.6 | 58.2 |
| Average |  |  | 44.2 | 50.4 | 53.2 | 56.9 | 57.1 | 59.0 | 61.7 | 61.4 | 64.9 |  |
| 1957 | 2 | 35.6 | 37.3 | 50.5 | 57.0 | 55.3 | 58.7 | 60.8 | 60.6 | 65.1 | 69.2 | 50.4 |
| 1958 | 2 | 40.5 | 45.0 | 49.1 | 52.5 | 54.0 | 56.1 | 58.7 | 60.0 | 60.1 | 59.5 | 54.4 |
| 1960 | 2 | 46.5 | 43.8 | 48.2 | 51.7 | 55.6 | 57.2 | 59.5 | 62.2 | 65.0 | 60.9 | 55.0 |
| Average |  | 40.9 | 42.0 | 49.3 | 53.7 | 55.0 | 57.3 | 59.7 | 60.9 | 63.4 | 63.2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Weight |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 4 | 1.89 | 2.14 | 2.78 | 3.40 | 3.77 | 4.26 | 4.62 | 5.15 | 4.59 | 5.99 | 3.41 (1.55) |
| 1957 | 4 | 1.56 | 2.41 | 2.75 | 3.57 | 3.98 | 4.51 | 5.31 | 5.36 | 5.38 | 4.35 | 3.40 (1.54) |
| 1958 | 4 | 1.71 | 1.93 | 2.76 | 3.24 | 3.81 | 4.16 | 4.48 | 5.05 | 4.50 | 6.53 | 3.50 (1.59) |
| 1959 | 4 | 1.55 | 2.04 | 2.53 | 3.06 | 3.65 | 4.36 | 4.55 | 5.13 | 5.59 | 5.30 | 3.10 (1.41) |
| 1960 | 4 | 1.16 | 1.82 | 2.86 | 3.04 | 3.58 | 3.99 | 4.42 | 5.30 | 4.39 | 6.15 | 3.05 (1.38) |
| Average |  | 1.57 | 2.07 | 2.74 | 3.26 | 3.76 | 4.26 | 4.68 | 5.20 | 4.89 | 5.66 |  |
|  |  | (0.71) | (0.94) | (1.24) | (1.48) | (1.70) | (1.93) | (2.36) | (2.22) | (2.57) |  |  |
| 1956 | 1 |  | 1.95 | 2.77 | 3.04 | 3.57 | 4.00 | 4.19 | 4.42 | 4.95 | 4.95 | 3.52 (1.60) |
| 1957 | 1 |  | 1.73 | 2.48 | 3.34 | 3.73 | 4.23 | 5.09 | 4.88 | 5.02 | 4.96 | 3.70 (1.68) |
| 1958 | 1 |  | 1.45 | 2.24 | 3.33 | 3.93 | 3.66 | 3.70 | 4.90 | 4.70 | 6.15 | 3.73 (1.69) |
| 1959 | 1 |  | 1.35 | 2.01 | 2.81 | 3.40 | 3.52 | 4.07 | 4.19 | 3.50 | 4.29 | 3.50 (1.59) |
| 1960 | 1 |  | 2.72 | 3.75 | 2.90 | 3.62 | 3.21 | 3.37 | 4.43 | 4.66 | 5.62 | 3.82 (1.73) |
| Average |  |  | 1.84 | 2.65 | 3.08 | 3.65 | $3.72$ | 4.08 | 4.56 | $4.57$ | $5.19$ |  |
|  |  |  | $(0.83)$ | $(1.20)$ | (1.40) | (1.65) | (1.69) | $(1.85)$ | (2.07) | (2.07) | (2.35) |  |

The annual trend in abundance is less noticeable on offshore grounds, but the lowest abundance indices occurred in 1956 and 1957 as was the case for inshore grounds. In each year there is a marked peak of abundance in the first quarter, and on the average the lowest abundance occurs in the third quarter.

Abundance estimates for the offshore grounds are probably less reliable than for inshore grounds because fewer interviews for offshore trips were recorded, particularly in the third and fourth quarters.

Nevertheless, the fact that the peak offshore abundance occurs in the first quarter of both otter trawl and longline fisheries, and that the third and fourth quarters show highest abundance in the inshore longline fishery, suggests again that haddock move offshore in winter and inshore in summer.

Total hook and line effort, in terms of lines, was estimated by dividing total hook and line landings from each ground by estimated landings per line (Table 13). The total estimated number of lines fished has declined steadily.
TABLE 13. Estimates of apparent abundance and total effort of hook and line vessels (in terms of lines) in Sampling Area 42, Division 4 X . Alundance estimates based on records of Lockeport Company.

| Year | Qtr. | Inshore ( $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Q}$ ) Lockeport Co. Special Records |  |  |  | Total Landings $\left(\mathrm{b} \times 10^{-3}\right)$ <br> (lb. $\times 10^{-3}$ ) | Total <br> Lines $\times 10^{-4}$ | Offshore ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) <br> Lockeport Co. Special Records |  |  |  | Total Landings (lb. $\mathbf{x 1 0}^{-\mathbf{3}}$ ). | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Lines } \times 10^{-4} \end{gathered}$ | Grand Total $\dagger$ Lines $\times 10^{-4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ | Lines Fished | Landings (lb.) | Landings per Line |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Trips } \end{aligned}$ | Lines Fished | $\begin{gathered} \text { Landings } \\ \text { (lb.) } \end{gathered}$ | Landings per Line |  |  |  |
| 1956 | 1 | 182 | 14575 | 65065 | 4.5 | 1515.1 | 33.7 | 29 | 11121 | 331055 | 29.8 | 978.4 | 3.3 | 37.0 |
|  | 2 | 68 | 3553 | 4675 | 1.3 | 1554.5 | 119.6 | 24 | 10593 | 53760 | 5.1 | 191.3 | 3.8 | 123.4 |
|  | 3 | 89 | 4741 | 23010 | 4.8 | 1473.7 | 30.7 | 35 | 13409 | 95590 | 7.1 | 167.6 | 2.4 | 33.1 |
|  | 4 | 235 | 24750 | 285880 | 11.6 | 1115.6 | 9.6 | 4 | 1342 | 14640 | 10.9 | 357.0 | 3.3 | 12.9 |
|  | total |  | 47619 | 378630 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=8.0$ |  | 193.6 |  | 36465 | 495045 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=13.6$ |  | 12.8 | 206.4 |
| 1957 | 1 | 162 | 15928 | 89090 | 5.6 | 933.7 | 16.7 | 46 | 16258 | 413750 | 25.4 | 1507.4 | 5.9 | 22.6 |
|  | 2 | 112 | 6952 | 29275 | 4.2 | 1879.7 | 44.8 | 22 | 6941 | 59140 | 8.5 | 233.2 | 2.7 | 47.5 |
|  | 3 | 127 | 6226 | 84090 | 13.5 | 2765.8 | 20.5 | 18 | 4499 | 20860 | 4.6 | 174.3 | 3.8 | 24.3 |
|  | 4 | 235 | 22770 | 234715 | 10.3 | 965.4 | 9.4 | 3 | 1166 | 9750 | 8.4 | 371.6 | 4.4 | 13.8 |
|  | total |  | 51876 | 437170 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=8.4$ |  | 91.4 |  | 28864 | 503500 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=17.4$ |  | 16.8 | 108.2 |
| 1958 | 1 | 105 | 9966 | 65015 | 6.5 | 796.2 | 12.2 | 43 | 14091 | 414895 | 29.4 | 1669.9 | 5.7 | 17.9 |
|  | 2 | 175 | 11902 | 75025 | 6.3 | 1627.4 | 25.8 | 15 | 4433 | 34165 | 7.7 | 161.8 | 2.1 | 27.9 |
|  | 3 | 169 | 11286 | 102160 | 9.0 | 1475.7 | 16.4 | 5 | 1650 | 11505 | 7.0 | 270.1 | 3.8 | 20.2 |
|  | 4 | 210 | 22275 | 223480 | 10.0 | 1321.5 | 13.2 | 6 | 2046 | 32315 | 15.8 | 383.1 | 2.4 | 15.6 |
|  | TOTAL |  | 55429 | 465680 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=8.4$ |  | 67.6 |  | 22220 | 492880 | $\mathrm{x}=22.2$ |  | 14.0 | 81.6 |
| 1959 | 1 | 70 | 7029 | 37815 | 5.4 | 883.0 | 16.4 | 44 | 16654 | 424063 | 25.5 | 1383.3 | 5.4 | 21.8 |
|  | 2 | 108 | 4873 | 53290 | 10.9 | 2681.1 | 24.6 | 12 | 4499 | 57865 | 12.9 | 290.9 | 2.2 | 26.8 |
|  | 3 | 125 | 3245 | 45585 | 14.0 | 2280.9 | 16.3 | 0 | - | - | - | 72.7 | 0.5* | 16.8 |
|  | 4 | 77 | 8646 | 105715 | 12.2 | 1420.7 | 11.6 | 0 | - | - | - | 124.4 | 1.0* | 12.6 |
|  | TOTAL |  | 23793 | 242405 | $\bar{x}=10.2$ |  | 68.9 |  | 21153 | 481928 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=22.8$ |  | 9.1 | 78.0 |
| 1960 | , | 58 | 5489 | 33055 | 6.0 | 590.7 | 9.8 | 35 | 12694 | 390145 | 30.7 | 1000.7 | 3.2 | 13.0 |
|  | 2 | 55 | 1771 | 17130 | 9.7 | 1553.9 | 16.0 | 14 | 7040 | 182905 | 26.0 | 437.7 | 1.7 | 17.7 |
|  | 3 | 71 | 1683 | 22735 | 13.5 | 1754.0 | 13.0 | 2 | 528 | 3585 | 6.8 | 91.1 | 1.3 | 14.3 |
|  | 4 | 88 | 8459 | 86160 | 10.2 | 736.4 | 7.2 | 10 | 3454 | 38925 | 11.3 | 311.2 | 2.8 | 10.0 |
|  | TOTAL |  | 17402 | 159080 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{x}}{ }=9.1$ |  | 46.0 |  | 23716 | 615560 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=26.0$ |  | 9.0 | 55.0 |
| 1961 | 1 | 56 | 6908 | 95270 | 13.8 | 1121.4 | 8.1 | 15 | 5203 | 179510 | 34.5 | 942.3 | 2.7 | 10.8 |
|  | 2 | 60 | 2255 | 24635 | 10.9 | 1612.1 | 14.8 | 11 | 4642 | 42730 | 9.2 | 263.3 | 2.9 | 17.7 |
|  |  | 150 | 3421 | 73570 | 21.5 | 2053.2 | 9.5 | 2 | 935 | 5955 | 6.4 | 106.3 | 1.7 | 11.2 |
|  | 4 | 49 | 4884 | 53060 | 10.9 | 704.2 | 6.5 | 10 | 2541 | 15580 | 6.1 | 90.9 | 1.5 | 8.0 |
|  | TOTAL |  | 17468 | 246535 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=14.1$ |  | 38.9 |  | 13321 | 243775 | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}=18.3$ |  | 8.8 | 47.7 |

[^13]*Estimated by using inshore catch/line.

TABLE 14. Estimated numbers of fish ( $\mathrm{x}^{10^{2}}$ ) landed per line by age groups, ${ }^{1}$ Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

|  |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Qtr. | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Age groups | Length groups |
| 1956 | 4 | 15 | 59 | 32 | 79 | 65 | 40 | 21 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 4280 | 4322 |
| 1957 | 4 | 12 | 29 | 109 | 29 | 52 | 17 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 3917 | 3935 |
| 1958 | 4 | 5 | 39 | 33 | 103 | 27 | 42 | 25 | 14 | 8 | 4 | 4844 | 4873 |
| 1959 | 4 | 20 | 76 | 82 | 53 | 88 | 22 | 31 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4981 | 4990 |
| 1960 | 4 | 5 | 96 | 47 | 74 | 24 | 64 | 17 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 3398 | 3434 |
| Avg |  | 11.4 | 59.8 | 60.6 | 67.6 | 51.2 | 37.0 | 21.4 | 7.4 | 6.6 | 3.0 |  |  |
| 1956 | 1 |  | 17 | 15 | 52 | 37 | 24 | 15 | 20 | 4 | 4 | 7089 | 7089 |
| 1957 | 1 |  | 8 | 85 | 27 | 48 | 43 | 28 | 26 | 13 | 8 | 6606 | 6606 |
| 1958 | 1 |  | 2 | 16 | 126 | 33 | 85 | 37 | 6 | 48 | 4 | 6492 | 6617 |
| 1959 | 1 |  | 6 | 30 | 19 | 87 | 39 | 35 | 35 | 11 | 10 | 6148 | 6367 |
| 1960 | 1 |  | 19 | 9 | 39 | 53 | 51 | 27 | 70 | 30 | 23 | 4169 | 4169 |
| Avg |  |  | 10.4 | 31.0 | 52.6 | 51.6 | 48.4 | 28.4 | 31.4 | 21.2 | 9.8 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ )Note that fish of a given year and age group in Quarter 4 are just $\frac{1}{4}$ year younger than the next older age group in Quarter 1 of the following year.


Fig. 11. Relative abundance of age groups in landings of hook and line vessels fishing in Sampling Area 42, Division 4X. Numbers above bars denote year classes.

### 2.3 Relative abundance of age groups

Estimated numbers landed at each age in the first and fourth quarters were divided by the estimated total lines fished (inshore and offshore grounds combined) to obtain estimates of abundance at each age (Table 14 and Fig. 11).
The fourth quarter was the only one in which age sampling was at all adequate for more than two successive years. Data for the years 1958, 1959, and 1960 in the first quarter are rather inadequate, and estimates of abundance at age are less reliable than for the fourth quarter.

Relative numbers landed per line in the fourth quarter show the alternating strengths of even and odd year classes which was shown previously in the otter trawl landings. The 1952 year class appears to have been a particularly strong year class and the early estimates indicate that the 1956 year class may be of comparable size. In general, the estimates of relative abundance of year classes derived from otter-trawl and longline landings agree very favourably.

The percentage age composition shown below indicates a maximum contribution to longline landings at age 6 , which is the same as that for otter trawl landings. Age groups 10 and older contributed an average of nearly 15 per cent to hook and line landings, as compared to less than 6 percent of first quarter otter-trawl landings.

| Period | Percentage of longline landings at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | $13+$ |
| Qtr. 1 (1956-1960) | $-$ | 4 | 11 | 18 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Qtr. 2 (1957, 1958, 1960) | 4 | 6 | 15 | 19 | 14 | 19 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Qtr. 4 (1956-1960) | 3 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 15 | 11 | 6 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |



Fig. 12. Logarithmic abundance of haddock year classes at age in landings of longliners fishing in Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

### 2.4 Estimates of total mortality

Catch curves based on fourth quarter longline landings were plotted for individual year classes (Fig. 12). The rather wide scatter of points between different year classes and also by the same year class in successive years indicates a fair degree of sampling variation and does not provide a good basis for judging linearity of the right hand limb of the curves. However, the wide dome, and apparent convexity of the curve over a large range of ages may be indicative of a
rather gradual recruitment, which is probably not complete until age 8. In contrast, recruitment was found to be nearly complete at age 6 in otter-trawl landings.

Estimates of survival from age 6 on were made using the ratios $r_{i j}, r_{i}, r_{j}$, and $r$. . as defined in the previous section, and are set forth in Table 15. The estimated survival ratio of 0.96 for $1957-58$ is unrealistic and therefore, the value of r. . $=0.64$ is considered to be high also.

TABLE 15. Estimates of total survival rate of haddock in fourth quarter hook and line landings, Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

| Year | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean }\left(r_{i}\right) \\ \text { Age } 6-12 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3-4 | $4-5$ | 5-6 | $6-7$ | 7-8 | 8-9 | 9-10 | 10-11 | 11-12 |  |
| 1956-57 | 1.93 | 1.85 | 0.91 | 0.66 | 0.26 | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.90 | 0.67 | 0.45 |
| 1957-58 | 3.25 | 1.14 | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.81 | 1.47 | 1.08 | 1.60 | 0.44 | 0.96 |
| 1958-59 | 15.20 | 2.10 | 1.61 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.74 | 0.16 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 0.70 |
| 1959-60 | 4.80 | 0.62 | 0.90 | 0.45 | 0.73 | 0.77 | 0.13 | 1.75 | 0.33 | 0.58 |
| Mean ( $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) | 4.62 | 1.33 | 1.01 | 0.72 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 0.30 | 0.91 | 0.38 | 0.64 (r..) |

We believe the rather wide fluctuation in survival estimates between years is caused by errors in abundance estimates, which would affect all age groups in a given year. It should be recalled that in the fourth quarter about one-fourth of the total hook and line landings were from offshore grounds ( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{P}$ ) but usually there were never more than 10 offshore trips recorded in the fourth quarter for any year, and there were only 3 and 6 trips in 1957 and 1958, respectively (Table 13). Rather large errors might be expected, therefore, in estimating offshore abundance in the fourth quarter, and these errors could significantly affect the estimate of total effort.

Under these conditions, a more accurate estimate of mortality probably is obtained by plotting natural logarithms of the mean numbers-landed-per-line at each age for the fourth quarter (Fig. 13). Linear regression of the points from ages $7-12$ gives a slope of -0.58 , i.e., $Z=0.58$.


Fig. 13. Logarithms of mean numbers-landed-per-line on age for haddock in hook and line landings from Sampling Area 42, Division 4X.

## 3. Comparison of mortality estimates from line and trawl landings

For purposes of comparison, we have computed the difference between $\log _{e}$ of mean numbers per unit effort of successive age groups, i.e., the instantaneous total mortality, for both longlines and otter trawls in the years 1956-1960. Plotting these differences against age groups (Fig. 14) indicates that estimates of mortality in both sets of data approach the same value at older ages. However, while the estimates from otter trawl landings vary around a nearly constant value from ages 6-7 onward, the estimates from longline landings approach the limiting value more slowly, and can be considered as estimates of a constant value only from ages 8-9 onwards.


Fig. 14. Estimates of instantaneous total mortality (log difference) between successive ages of haddock in longline and otter-trawl landings.

Although the data are somewhat variable for firm conclusions, the overall picture is what we might expect with a more gradual recruitment to hook and line gear producing a lower fishing mortality among the younger age groups. A total instantaneous mortality rate, Z, of about 0.7 for ages 8 onwards for the whole haddock stock of southern Nova Scotia is considered a reasonable estimate, while the overall rate would decrease in age groups 6 and 7 in proportion to the amount of hook and line catch. Below age 6, the fishing mortality is drastically reduced because of incomplete recruitment to both kinds of gear.

## Sampling Area 41, (Bay of Fundy)

The fishery within this area is carried on primarily by Canadian small and medium otter trawlers in the second and third quarters (Table 16). The smaller U.S. otter-trawl fishery is carried on in the third and fourth quarters. The longline fishery accounted for 47 percent of the
landings in 1956, but this share of the landings had dropped to 25 percent in 1957, and to 10 percent by 1961.

No otoliths were collected in 1956, thus analysis of that year's landings is not possible. Length and age samples are available only for Canadian otter-trawl landings in the second quarter of the four years, 1958-1961 (Table 16), and it has been necessary to prorate the C.S. landings in the second quarter by the Canadian length-frequency data. Comparison of the length frequencies of haddock in landings of the two countries for the third quarter indicate that Canadian landings are composed of smaller fish (Fig. 15). This probably applies to all seasons. However, because of the small amount of U.S. landings in the second quarter (1.5 percent on the average), the bias introduced by the proration is negligible. Both U.S. and Canadian lengthsamples are available for the third quarter of 1957-59, and 1961; and for the fourth quarter

TABLE 16. Landings of haddock (gutted weight, lb. $\mathrm{x} 10^{-3}$ ) and numbers of fish measured and aged for otter-trawl fishery, Sampling Area 41, Division 4X.

|  |  | Landings |  |  | Measured |  | Aged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Qtr. | CAN. | U.S. | Total | CAN. | U.S. |  |
| 1956 | 1 | 81 | - | 81 | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | 1542 | 49 | 1590 | - | - | - |
|  | 3 | 1556 | 908 | 2464 | - | 1501 | - |
|  | 4 | 443 | 457 | 900 | - | 280 | - |
| 1957 | 1 | 78 | - | 78 | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | 1604 | 20 | 1624 | - | 63 | - |
|  | 3 | 2614 | 442 | 3056 | 200 | 445 | 142 |
|  | 4 | 783 | 395 | 1178 | - | 150 | - |
| 1958 | 1 | 144 | - | 144 | 202 | - | 40 |
|  | 2 | 2310 | 34 | 2343 | 3815 | - | 445 |
|  | 3 | 3003 | 592 | 3595 | 2753 | 434 | 233 |
|  | 4 | 497 | 164 | 661 | - | 159 | 34 |
| 1959 | 1 | 222 | - |  |  | - | - |
|  | 2 | 2320 | 30 | 2350 | 2060 | - | 166 |
|  | 3 | 3233 | 855 | 4088 | 2100 | 647 | 372 |
|  | 4 | 417 | 244 | 661 | 173 | 522 | 35 |
| 1960 | 1 | 338 | - | 338 | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | 2287 | 29 | 2316 | 1800 | - | 267 |
|  | 3 | 3036 | 636 | 3672 | - | 267 | - |
|  | 4 | 470 | 302 | 772 | 3000 | 194 | 472 |
| 1961 | 1 | 181 | - | 181 | -- | - | - |
|  | 2 | 2116 | 26 | 2142 | 2500 | - | 268 |
|  | 3 | 3890 | 683 | 4572 | 567 | 433 | 194 |
|  | 4 | 833 | 18 | 851 | 150 | - | 30 |



Fig. 15. Comparison of length frequencies of haddock in Canadian and U.S. landings from Sampling Area 41, Division 4X.
of 1960. Biostatisties of the landings of each country were corrpited in the standard manner for these quarters. and pooled to form total figures for analysis.

Data on length and age frequency of longline landings were not olftained. Therefore, it is not possible to estimate length and age composition of these landings.

## 1. Average length and weight

The mean lengths and weights of each age group are presented in Table 17 and Fig. 16. The means for the third and fourth quarters of each year were obtained by weighting the esti-
mates. of mean length and weight at age in landings of each country by the estimated numbers and weights landed, respectively, in each country. Annual estimates are simple arithmetic averages. Vertical bars in Fig. 16 represent the range of quarterly estimates.

The lengths and weights of the $2-$ and, to a lesser extent, 3-year-old age groups are biased upward because of incomplete recruitment. Length and weight at age seems fairly consistent throughout the study period, and the apparent growth rate fairly rapid. Asymptotic maximums appear to be about 70 cm or 6 pounds ( 2.7 kg ).


Fig. 16. Mean length and weight (gutted) of haddock in landings of otter trawls fishing in Sampling Area 41, Division 4X.

## 2. Index of relative abundance

Estimates of relative abundance for the second quarter were based on Canadian log-book records of small otter trawlers. These logs give the number of hours the trawl net was fishing, and the corresponding catch. From these data an estimated apparent abundance index in terms of catch-per-hour of fishing was obtained. The total effort was estimated by dividing the total landings (including those of longlines) by the above index (Table 18).

A similar procedure was used for the third and fourth quarter indices. The data employed were from interview records of medium-sized otter trawlers landing in the U.S. and the index is in terms of landings-per-day fished. These estimates are also found in Table 18.

The two sets of indices are not directly comparable, because of the different effort units and vessel class.

TABLE 17. Average length (cm) and weight (lb., gutted) of haddock age groups in otter-trawl landings, Sampling Area 41, Division 4X. Average weights in parentheses are in kilograms.


Fishing effort, landings, and apparent abundance have remained fairly steady throughout the period. Although we have no measure of the reliability of these estimates, the amount of data upon which they are based is small and therefore the variability may be large.

## 3. Relative abundance of age groups

The estimated numbers of each age group landed in each quarter were divided by the corresponding total effort to estimate the relative abundance of age groups in terms of numbers-per-day or hour of fishing. The two sets of these data are presented in Table 19. Because the abundance indices are not comparable, the second quarter estimates must be treated independently of those of the third and fourth quarters.

Examination of abundance of 3 -year-old age groups in the third quarter (Fig. 17) reveals comparatively strong 1954, 1956, and 1958 year
classes, the latter being the largest of those included in the data. The 1952 year class also appears strong, although only the remnants from age 5 onwards are observable in the years under study. The 1959 year class, just entering the fishery as 2 -year-olds in 1961, is larger at this age than any in previous years. Fluctuations in relative abundance of year classes are dampened in the older age groups.

Abundance data for the second quarter follow similar trends, but reflect less variability among year classes.

Plots of natural logarithms of abundance against age for various year classes in the second quarter (Fig. 18), and in the third and fourth quarters (Fig. 19) indicate maximum abundance occurs at age 3 in all cases except for year class 1957 in the fourth quarter. There is no observable departure from linearity in either of the catch curves beyond age 3 ; in fact, they are remarkably
straight. Also, with proper adjustment of abundance magnitude, the two sets of curves are nearly coincident. We shall assume, therefore, that haddock are fully recruited to the otter trawl fishery by age 3 . Considering the many possible
sources of variation in the estimation of abundance, the catch curves of the various year classes reflect a marked degree of homogeneity. This homogeneity provides a measure of confidence in the final results.

TABLE 18. Estimation of apparent abundance of haddock and total effort in otter-trawl-days or hours for Sampling Area 41, Division 4X.

| Year Qtr. |  | Interviewed Fleet |  |  | Total landings O'T plus LT (lb. $\times 10^{-3}$ ) | Total effort <br> (days or hours $\times 10^{-2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Landings $\text { (lb. } \times 10^{-3} \text { ) }$ | Days or hours ${ }^{1}$ | Landings/day or hour (lb. $\times 10^{-3}$ ) |  |  |
| 1957 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | - | - | - | -- | - |
|  | 3 | 240.0 | 35.0 | 6.8 | 3413 | 502 |
|  | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1958 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | 28.5 | 173.0 | 0.17 | 3147 | 185 |
|  | 3 | 445.3 | 80.6 | 5.5 | 4228 | 769 |
|  | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1959 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | 38.9 | 207.0 | 0.19 | 2803 | 148 |
|  | 3 | 560.6 | 93.9 | 6.0 | 4567 | 761 |
| 1960 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | 61.1 | 307.0 | 0.20 | 2668 | 133 |
|  | 3 | 379.1 | 59.5 | 6.4 | 4024 | 629 |
|  | 4 | 180.4 | 33.9 | 5.3 | 983 | 185 |
| 1961 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 2 | 33.6 | 357.0 | 0.10 | 2419 | 242 |
|  | 3 | 209.0 | 29.9 | 7.0 | 4831 | 690 |
|  | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |

${ }^{1}$ )U.S. med. OT's for Qtr. 3 and 4 - days
Can. small OT's for Qtr. 2 - hours

TABLE 19. Numbers of haddock landed per day or hour fished by otter trawlers for various years and quarters, Sampling Area 41, Division 4X.

| Year | Qtr. | Numbers per day fished at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Totals of |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $9+$ | Age groups | Length groups |
| 1957 | 3 | 264 | 1139 | 472 | 408 | 47 | - | 12 | 34 | 2376 | 2382 |
| 1958 | 3 | 258 | 741 | 630 | 237 | 148 | - | 5 | 32 | 2051 | 2090 |
| 1959 | 3 | 264 | 1041 | 671 | 318 | 87 | 60 | 26 | 8 | 2453 | 2477 |
| 1960 | 4 | 382 | 416 | 349 | 164 | 151 | 36 | 59 | 38 | 1596 | 1617 |
| 1961 | 3 | 550 | 1412 | 559 | 194 | 112 | 41 | 50 | 85 | 3003 | 3019 |
| Numbers per hour fished at age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1958 | 2 | 2.5 | 33.4 | 17.2 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 63.6 | 64.0 |
| 1959 | 2 | 0.6 | 37.8 | 29.8 | 7.0 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 83.8 | 86.6 |
| 1960 | 2 | 0 | 16.0 | 21.3 | 14.0 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 66.5 | 67.0 |
| 1961 | 2 | 0.9 | 22.5 | 11.0 | 5.9 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 45.9 | 46.8 |



Fig. 17. Relative abundance of age groups of haddock in otter-trawl landings from Sampling Area 41, Division $4 X$. Numbers above bars denote year classes.

The average percentage age composition for toth seasons is presented below:

| Age | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $9+$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Qtr. 2 | 2 | 42 | 30 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Qtr. 3 | 15 | 41 | 23 | 12 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

The greater proportion of 2 -ycar-olds in third quarter landings probably reflects recruitment to the fishery at this time. There are few fish over 6 years of age in the landings.


Fig. 18. Logarithms of abundance of haddock on age for otter-trawl landings from Sampling Area 41 in the second quarter.


Fig. 19. Logarithms of abundance of haddock on ayg for otter-trawl landings from Sampling Area 41 in the third and fourth quarters.

## 4. Estimated survival rate

Survival rates were estimated from both sets of data as outlined in previous sections (Table 20). The estimates of average total annual survival rate were based on age groups 3 through 7 , except for the pcriod 1960, fourth quarter, to 1961, third quarter, where age groups 4 through 7 were used.

Estimates of abundance were not determined for quarter three in 1960, but those for quarter

TABLE 20. Estimated annual survival rate and corresponding instantaneous mortality rate, Z, Sampling Area 41.

| Year (Qtr.) | Age Group |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Avg } \\ \text { Age groups } & \text { Z } \\ 3-7 \end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2-3 | 3-4 | 4-5 | 5-6 | 6-7 |  |  |
| 1957(3rd.)-1958(3rd.) | 2.81 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.36 | - | 0.50 |  |
| 1958 (3rd.)-1959(3rd.) | 4.03 | 0.90 | 0.50 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.65 |  |
| $\text { 1959(3rd.)-1960(4th.): } 5 / 4 \text { year }$ | 1.58 | $0.34$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.24 \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.47 \\ 0.55 \end{gathered}$ | $0.41$ | $0.33$ |  |
| 1960(4th.)-1961(3rd.): $3 / 4$ year Convt'd to annual rate | 3.70 | 1.34 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.56 \\ & (0.49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.68 \\ (0.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.27 \\ (0.19) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.52^{*} \\ (0.44) \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | h. Avg | 0.50 | 0.69 |
| 1958-1959 (2nd.) | 15.1 | 0.89 | 0.41 | 0.52 | 1.18 | 0.74 |  |
| 1959-1960 (2nd.) | 26.7 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 0.66 | 0.50 | 0.53 |  |
| 1960-1961 (2nd.) | - | 0.69 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.38 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | d Avg | 0.55 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Avg | 0.55 | 0.60 |

*Ages 4-7 only
four were used to provide continuity in the surrival estimates. The survival ratios for the period from third quarter, 1959 to fourth quarter, 1960 represent therefore, $5 / 4$ of a year, and the ratios were converted to the corresponding annual rates. Similar adjustments were made in the 1960-61 period, which represented $3 / 4$ of a year, to indicate annual rates.

The average annual survival rate, estimated from data of the third and fourth quarters, was 0.50 , corresponding to an instantaneous total mortality rate, Z , of 0.69 ; the survival and mortality rate estimates for quarter two are very similar, 0.55 and 0.60 , respectively. The somewhat higher estimate of survival of the period 1958-59 in both seasons results almost entirely from the apparently higher survival rate of the 1955 year class from age 2 to 3 ( 0.90 and 0.89 ). This could be due to a number of causes, e.g., less complete recruitment of 3 -year-olds in 1958, inaccurate estimates of abundance index or numbers landed, or erroneous age assessment, but the data do not allow us to distinguish among them.

## 5. Comparisons between Subareas $\mathbf{R}$ and S , Sampling Area 41

For landings of haddock caught by hook and line, Needler (1930) reported similar length compositions, but greater average length-at-age off Campobello (Subarea S) than off Digby (Subarea R). To examine this aspect with current data, we have computed length and age frequencies, and mean age per $2-\mathrm{cm}$ length intervals for three periods in which sample data were available from both sides of the Bay of Fundy (Subareas R and

Most samples from Subarea $S$, the inland side of the Bay, came from around Grand Manan Island, where much of the fishing occurs. Those from Subarea R, the Nova Scotian side, were mainly from off Digby Neck and St. Mary Bay (Fig. 1). All samples are from otter-trawl landings.

The length and age frequencies of landings (Fig. 20), illustrate a marked difference between the two subareas, with R having the greater proportion of smaller and younger haddock. However, estimates of mean age-per-length interval (Table 21) were nearly the same for both subareas, at least for lengths well represented in landings (up to 60 cm ). It appears from our data that the growth rate is similar in the two subareas. That smaller, younger fish are landed from the Nova Scotia side of the Bay of Fundy (Subarea R) than from the New Brunswick side (Subarea S) may be related both to differential distribution of stock and to differences in marketability. Our data do not provide a basis for separation of these two factors.

## Comparison of Growth, Length, and Age Composition Among Areas

It is of interest to compare the length and age characteristics of haddock landings between the Bay of Fundy and southern Nova Scotia (Sampling Areas 41 and 42 respectively), and among these and adjacent fishing areas of Division $4 \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{W}$ to the north, and Divisions 5Z and $5 \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}$ to the south (see Fig. 1).

Quarterly estimates of mean length at age in landings of the three components of the $4 X$


Fig. 20. Comparison of length and age frequency composition of landings from Subareas $R$ and $S$, Sampling Area 41.


Fig. 21. Comparison of average length-age curves of haddock in landings from various fishing areas.
haddock fishery, which have been obtained in this study, and similar estimates from the otter-trawl landings from Georges Bank (Division 5Z), the Gulf of Maine (Division 5Y), and central Nova Scotia Banks region (Divisions 4V and 4W), have been plotted in Fig. 21. Scales rather than otoliths were used for age assessments in Divisions 5 Y and 5 Z , but the two methods have been shown to yield similar results for haddock of 5 Z up to age 7 (Kohler and Clark, 1958). Furthermore, Jensen and Wise (1962) have presented evidence of the validity of scale age assessments for younger haddock from Georges Bank (Division 5Z). Consequently comparisons among the Divisions are valid at least for younger age groups making up the bulk of the haddock landings. In general, mean length at age is greatest for Georges Bank and Gulf of Maine, intermediate for the Bay of Fundy, and lowest for southern and central Nova Scotia. Mean length at age for haddock in otter trawl landings from central Nova Scotia, and in longline landings from southern Nova Scotia are very similar, but somewhat greater than those for otter trawl landings from southern Nova Scotia, particularly at older ages. The interarea comparisons above yield quite different results than those presented by Needler (1930), who reported similar growth rates for Georges Bank, Digby (Subarea R), Lockeport, and central and eastern Nova Scotia, the highest growth rate for Campobello Is. (Subarea S), and the lowest for Brown's Bank. These discrepancies might be related to differences in sampling and analysis. In particular it should be noted that Needler used scales for all areas and, as noted by Kohler and Clark (1958), haddock scales probably have a tendency to overestimate growth somewhat in southern Nova Scotia waters. In any case the present study is more intensive.

The age frequency curves for otter-trawl landings segregate quite clearly into two groups (Fig. 22). The modal age in landings from Georges Bank ( $5 Z$ ), Gulf of Maine ( 5 Y ), and Bay of Fundy (4X, Sampling Area 41) is between 3 and 4 years, with the Bay of Fundy having proportionately more younger fish than the other two. The modal ages for southern (4X, Sampling Area 42), and central (4V-W) Nova Scotia are 6 and 5 years, respectively. Longline landings from southern Nova Scotia have proportionately more older haddock than the others.

The differences in age composition between the Georges Bank-Gulf of Maine and southern-

TABLE 21. Comparison of average age of haddock per length interval in landings from Subareas $R$ and $S$ of Sampling Area 41. Numbers of fish aged are in parentheses.

| Length <br> Interval | Sept. - Nov. <br> 1959 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 1960 \end{aligned}$ |  | Jul. - Nov. 1961 |  | Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $41-\mathrm{R}$ | 41-S | 41-R | 41-S | 41-R | 41-S | 41-R | 41-S |
| 36 | 2.4 (10) |  | 2.2 (12) |  | 3.0 (11) | 2.0 (6) | 2.5 (33) | - |
| 8 | 2.5 (15) | 2.0 (2) | 2.3 (18) | 2.5 (2) | 3.0 (26) | 2.0 (6) | 2.6 (59) | 2.1 (10) |
| 40 | 2.8 (27) | 2.9 (8) | 2.1 (27) | 2.9 (7) | 3.2 (27) | - (11) | 2.7 (81) | 2.9 (15) |
| 2 | 3.2 (42) | 2.9 (7) | 2.7 (18) | 2.8 (11) | 3.1 (26) | 2.3 (8) | 3.1 (86) | 2.7 (26) |
| 4 | 3.4 (42) | 3.0 (2) | 2.7 (16) | 3.2 (11) | 3.6 (28) | 2.7 (12) | 3.3 (86) | 2.9 (25) |
| 6 | 3.5 (50) | 3.6 (8) | 3.3 (22) | 3.5 (15) | 3.8 (26) | 3.2 (13) | 3.5 (98) | 3.4 (36) |
| 8 | 3.9 (35) | 4.1 (12) | 3.5 (22) | 4.0 (20) | 4.6 (25) | 3.1 (13) | 4.0 (82) | 3.8 (45) |
| 50 | 3.9 (36) | 4.7 (8) | 3.5 (33) | 4.5 (17) | 4.6 (25) | 3.8 (19) | 3.9 (94) | 4.2 (44) |
| 2 | 4.6 (21) | 5.0 (8) | 4.3 (26) | 4.2 (15) | 5.3 (16) | 4.5 (13) | 4.6 (63) | 4.2 (36) |
| 4 | 4.5 (22) | 5.3 (3) | 4.3 (20) | 4.7 (18) | 5.4 (18) | 4.4 (16) | 4.7 (60) | 4.6 (37) |
| 6 | 4.7 (16) | 4.7 (3) | 5.3 (15) | 5.4 (16) | 5.9 (15) | 5.1 (12) | 5.3 (46) | 5.2 (31) |
| 8 | 6.6 (7) | 4.4 (5) | 5.0 (11) | 5.7 (22) | 7.0 (6) | 5.1 (14) | 6.0 (24) | 5.3 (41) |
| 60 | 6.1 (7) | 7.0 (2) | 6.4 (8) | 7.1 (12) | 8.0 (4) | 6.4 (9) | 6.6 (19) | 6.8 (23) |
| 2 | 6.0 (3) |  | 6.5 (6) | 5.5 (11) | 7.7 (3) | 6.2 (6) | 6.7 (12) | 5.7 (17) |
| 4 |  |  | 8.0 (5) | 7.1 (11) | 9.0 (5) | 7.3 (8) | 8.5 (10) | 7.2 (19) |
| 6 |  |  | 8.0 (4) | 7.2 (4) | 8.5 (2) | 7.1 (4) | 8.2 (6) | 7.1 (8) |
| 8 |  |  |  | 8.3 (4) | 9.0 (1) | 8.0 (2) | 9.0 (1) | 8.2 (6) |
| 70 |  |  |  | 11.0 (2) |  | 6.0 | - | (2) |
|  | Average ag |  | or length i | ervals | $40-48 \mathrm{~cm}$ |  | 3.32 (433) | 3.26 (147) |
|  |  |  |  |  | 50-58 , |  | 4.62 (287) | 4.68 (189) |
|  |  |  |  |  | 60-68 ", |  | 7.27 (48) | 6.80 (73) |



Fig. 22. Age frequencies of haddock in landings from various fishing areas.
central Nova Scotia areas are due mainly to differences in apparent growth rate, because the length compositions are generally similar (Fig. 23). In fact, the higher average age of central Nova Scotia landings occurred in spite of a slightly larger proportion of small fish in the landings. Landings from the Bay of Fundy have the youngest age composition, despite intermediate growth rate, because of the substantially greater proportion of small fish in the landings. The greater proportion of large fish in hook and line landings from southern Nova Scotia is also evident.

While these data on landings are subject to fishing selectivities, the magnitude of differences among some areas is sufficiently large to indicate real differences in the underlying populations. Therefore it seems desirable for some management purposes, to consider haddock in Divisions 5Z, 5 X , and Sampling Area 41 of 4 X as a unit stock. The same concept might be applicable to haddock of Sampling Area 42 of 4 X , and Division $4 \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{W}$.


Fig. 23. Length frequencies of haddock in landings from various fishing areas.

In spite of the differences in age and length frequency of haddock landings between fishing areas, similarity in the relative abundance of some year classes suggests that annual brood strength may be controlled by factors common to the entire region. For comparisons among areas, year classes were ranked according to abundance
indices of the threc most abundant ages (ages $3-5$ for $5 Z$ and 4 X , Sampling Area 41; and ages 5-7 for 4 X Sampling Area 42). A mean rank was computed for each year class. The rankings are presented below.

Of the four year classes (1952-1955) which are represented in all of the areas, the 1952 year class is consistently of highest rank, and the 1954 year class consistently of second highest rank; the 1955 year class was ranked third in three of the areas and fourth in one area. In otter-trawl landings from 5Z and 4X (Sampling Area 41) the 1956 year class appeared weak, but in otter-trawl landings from Sampling Area 42 of Division 4X the 1956 year class at age 5 appears to be on a par with the 1952 and 1954 year classes.

An analysis of year-class strengths in landings from central Nova Scotia (Division 4W) has not been completed, but it is obvious that the 1952 year class was relatively strong, and predominant in the fishery for several years (unpublished Canadian data).

| YearClass | 52 |  |  |  | 4X, Area 41 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \mathrm{X}, \underset{\text { Area }}{\text { OT }} 42 \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \mathrm{X}, \text { Area } 42 \\ \mathrm{LT} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | rank at age |  |  | mean rank | rank at age |  |  | mean rank | rank at age |  |  | mean rank | rank at age |  |  | mean <br> rank |
|  | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |  | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| 1949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6.0 |  |  | 4 | 4.0 |
| 1950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 3 | 4.0 |  | 4 | 3 | 3.5 |
| 1951 |  |  | 3 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1.7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1.3 |
| 1952 |  | 6 | 6 | 6.0 |  |  | 5 | 5.0 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5.7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5. 0 |
| 1953 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 3.0 |  | 2 | 3 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 2 | 2 | 1.8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1.7 |
| 1954 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4.7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4.0 | 4 | 3 |  | 3.5 |
| 1955 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2.3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2.7 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2.2 | 3 |  |  | 3.0 |
| 1956 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 2.3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2.0 | 5 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1957 | 4 | 1 |  | 2.5 | 1 | 3 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1958 | 6 |  |  | 6.0 | 5 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Summary

In 1956, Canadian and United States scientists initiated a cooperative study of the haddock fishery in Division 4X of ICNAF convention waters. This paper presents estimates of mean length and weight at age, abundance of year classes, and total mortality obtained from analysis of data on landings, fishing effort, and samples of length frequencies and otoliths from haddock in landings for the period 1956-61.

Annual landings varied between 28 and 40 million pounds during the period. The major share of the landings, on the average 17 million pounds per year ( 8 thousand metric tons) or 51 percent, was taken by otter trawlers fishing off southern Nova Scotia, primarily on Browns Bank in the first half of the year. The otter trawl fishery within the Bay of Fundy took place during the latter half of the year, and produced an average of 8 million pounds ( 4 thousand metric tons)
annually, or 21 percent of the total. Fishing effort and landings by longliners, which fish mostly off southern Nova Scotia, declined steadily through the period, accounting for about 8 million pounds ( 4 thousand metric tons) annually, or 28 percent of the average total annual landings.

The 6 year old fish contributed the maximum numbers of haddock to otter-trawl landings from southern Nova Scotia, and had attained an average length of 50 cm and weight of 2.5 pounds ( 1.1 kg ) at this age. At age 10 the fish average 60 cm or 4 pounds ( 1.8 kg ). Less than 3 percent of the fish in the landings were older than 10 years. Longline landings contained proportionately more larger and older fish than did the otter trawl landings. This is due to the different size selectivities of the two kinds of gear. The average annual survival rate of the southern Nova Scotia stocks was estimated to be 48 percent, which is equivalent to a total annual instantaneous mortality rate of about 0.7.

In landings from the Bay of Fundy area, the age of maximum frequency was 3 years, at which time the fish averaged 46 cm or 1.8 pounds $(0.8$ kg ). There were very few fish over age 6 in the landings, at which age they averaged 56 cm or 3.3 pounds ( 1.5 kg ). The average survival rate was estimated to be 50 percent, corresponding to an instaneous mortality rate of about 0.7.

Thus, there was found to be a striking contrast between length at age and age composition of haddock in landings from the Bay of Fundy and southern Nova Scotia fishery grounds, the former area having much faster growing and younger fish. Making the reasonable assumption that fish in the landings are representative of the stocks beyond the age of full recruitment, the data provides evidence of autonomy of adult populations in the two areas.

Apparent growth rate and age composition of haddock in landings were compared among Division 4X, Division 5Z (Georges Bank) to the South, and Division 4V and 4 W to the North. The age structure of stocks in the Bay of Fundy
area was very similar to that of $5 Z$, although the growth rate in $5 Z$ was somewhat greater. Growth rate and age composition in Division 4V-W was quite similar to that of the southern Nova Scotia area.

In spite of the observed differences in growth and age compositions, the relative abundance of year classes was found to be quite similar in all Divisions, indicating that perhaps some general, common condition controls success of year classes, or that the stocks of the various divisions are mixing at the egg, larval or pre-recruit stages. The 1952 year class was the most abundant of those included in the data.
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# Discrepancies Between Auto-Lab and N.I.O. Salinometers 

BY R. A. COX ${ }^{1}$ AND A. R. FOLKKARD


#### Abstract

The recent NORWESTLANT surveys of the International Commission for the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries have revealed cortain small but appreciable diffcrences in salinities determined on the same water with Australian (Auto-Lab) and British (N.I.O.) salinity meters. The discrepancies reach a maximum at salinities below $33 \%$, and at $30 \%$ are about $0.02 \%$ The reasons for these discrepancies are analysed; it is shown that the two instruments give almost identical conductivity readings, and that the differences lie in the tables used to convert the readings to salinity. A correction table is given which when applied to the salinity results will make them comparable. It is shown that both meters give results closely approximating to the true salinity, as at present defined. The N.I.O. instrument is fractionally more accurate above $35 \%$, and the Auto-Lab meter is better below $34 \%$.


Various types of conductivity salinometer are currently in use for routine determinations of salinity. The methods of calibration of the various types of instrument are quite different, and it is not surprising that at times they fail to give concordant readings. All these instruments use Copenhagen Standard Sea Water (with a salinity of almost exactly $35 \%$ ) as referonce standard. Thus it is only when samples differ considerably from this salinity that errors of calibration can become serious. The differences are most likely to be large when measuring salinities above $37 \%$ or below $33 \%$.

Fortunately, it is only when investigating large water masses with relatively uniform properties that very small variations in salinity, less than $0.01 \%$, have any significance. Almost all such water masses have salinities within the range $33.5 \%-35.5 \%$, so the errors due to salinometer calibrations are minimised, and can usually be neglected. There are a few exceptions to this rule. Certainly the most important is the Mediterranean Sea, where two large, exceptionally
homogeneous water masses exist with salinities of $38.4 \%$ and $38.7 \%$. Other areas are the Black Sea, the Red Sea, and certain polar regions.

The problem of calibration is complicated by the variations in chemical composition of the sea water, which affect the relationship between chlorinity and conductivity. This means that it is not possible to convert conductivity into chlorinity within the precision of measurement of either parameter (Cox, Culkin, Greenhalgh and Riley, 1962). To overcome this difficulty, and facilitate the precise comparison between salinometers, it is proposed to abandon the current use of the expression

$$
\mathrm{S} \%=1.805 \mathrm{C} 1 \% 0+0.03
$$

proposed by Forch, Knudsen and Sorensen (1902) which has been generally interpreted as defining salinity. An international committee has recommended that salinity instead shall be defined as a function of density (sigma-0) which is very closely related to conductivity (UNESCO, 1962). This recommendation was endorsed by the International Association of Physical Oceanography at their meeting held at Berkeley, Calif. in August 1963, and will be implemented as soon as the necessary tables are available. This it is hoped will be during 1964. It will then be quite a simple matter to put salinometer calibrations on a more fundamental basis; those instruments (the majority) which give the answer as a ratio of the conductivity of the unknown to that of standard sea water will be particularly simple. The new oceanographic tables will include conversion tables appropriate to all such salinometers, based on the new definition of salinity and measurements of conductivity and density of natural sea water samples. These measurements are under way at the National Institute of Oceanography (N.I.O.). Thus providing only that the various instruments give true conductivity ratios the results will be directly comparable.

Since this general solution to the problems will shortly be available, there is little point in attempting to "correct" individual salinometer tables at the moment. Such tables certainly do not entirely agree, but it is impossible to say

[^14]which is correct and which is not. However, this paper is concerned with a particular example of disagreement between salinometers revealed during the NORWESTLANT surveys around Greenland during 1963. We have included below a "correction" table which will enable results taken on N.I.O. thermostat salinity meters and on AutoLab Industries salinometers to be made directly comparable. This will allow the data collected during these surveys to be processed. We do not make any claim that the results so modified are necessarily more nearly correct, neither do we suggest that at the moment other users of these instruments should apply these "corrections" unless they wish to make direct comparison between highly precise salinities taken on both types. We think it highly desirable that oceanographers should wait until the new tables are available
next year before any general re-calibration is made, otherwise much confusion will be added to an already difficult situation.

During the NORWESTLANT surveys all ships taking part used salinometers; some were N.I.O. salinometers and others the Auto-Lab instrument. All participants were issued with a low-salinity sub-standard to check their instruments in the region of $30 \%$. This sub-standard (known as EQUALANT A ${ }^{1}$ ) was kindly provided by the co-ordinator of the International Co-operative Investigations in the Tropical Atlantic; it was originally prepared as a check on salinometers used in the recent EQUALANT I and II surveys. The salinity of this standard, as determined by chlorinity titration and on the various salinometers, is shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Salinity values given by various instruments for EQUALANT sub-standard sea waters

| Method | Standard A | Salinity found for Standard $\mathrm{A}^{1}$ | Standard B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chlorinity titration | 29.947 | 29.430 | 37.088 |
| NIO salinometer | 29.936 | 29.426 | 37.084 |
| MAFF salinometer |  | 29.426 |  |
| Auto-Lab salinometer |  | 29.447 |  |
| Hyteeh salinometer (USNOO) | 29.950 | 29.437 | 37.082 |
| Hytech salinometer (USS Explorer) | 29.937 |  | 37.051 |

It is clear from Table 1 that there are discrepancies in the values given by the various instruments for the low salinity sub-standards. To resolve these discrcpancies we have made certain comparative trials of the two types. A series of samples of salinity ranging from $29.4 \%$ to $40.5 \%$ was measured on both instruments, and the conductivity ratios converted to "salinity" by the tables used with the two machines. Significant differences were apparent both at high and low salinities, as shown in Table 2; the table omits certain of the highest salinities, which were outside the range of the respective tables.

It will be seen that with the exception of two results, on samples 9 and 15 (which are probably reading errors on one machine or the other), the two sets show a fairly clear pattern. The higher salinity samples agree within $0.01 \%$, but there is a larger discrepancy below $33.5 \%$, where the Auto-Lab instrument reads about $0.013 \%$ higher than the N.I.O. one.

These differences could be due to two causes. Either the instruments could be giving different ratios of conductance, or the two conversion tables could be giving different salinities from the same ratio. Unfortunately it is not possible to compare the two tables directly; the N.I.O. tables are based on the thermostat temperature of $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while the Auto-Lab ones are based on the room temperature of $22.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Accordingly we applied a temperature adjustment factor to the ratios given by the AutoLab salinometer. The factor was based on measurements by Cox (1962). These measurements are in close agreement with those of Hamon (1960) on which the Auto-Lab tables are based. The adjusted value gives us the ratio which the Auto-Lab meter would have given had the room temperature been $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and both the standard water and the samples at this temperature. The conductivity ratios are given in Table 3, and it will be seen that the agreement is very good.

TABLE 2. Difference between duplicate samples measured by N.I.O. salinometer and Auto-Lab salinometer.

| Sample No. | N.I.O. Salinometer (A) | Auto-Lab Salinometer (B) | B-A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 39.960 | off seale |  |
| 3 | 39.484 | off seale |  |
| 4 | 39.050 | 39.053 | -0.003 |
| 5 | 38.584 | 38.584 | 0 |
| 6 | 37.681 | 38.139 | -0.002 |
| 7 | 37.241 | 37.673 | -0.008 |
| 8 | 36.846 | 37.239 | -0.002 |
| 9 | 36.730 | 36.828 | -0.018. |
| 10 | 36.021 | 36.722 | -0.008 |
| 11 | 35.626 | 36.021 | 0 |
| 12 | 35.808 | 35.626 | 0 |
| 13 | 34.805 | 35.802 | -0.006 |
| 14 | 34.376 | 34.803 | -0.002 |
| 15 | 33.972 | 34.397 | +0.021 . |
| 16 | 33.560 | 33.974 | +0.002 |
| 17 | 33.171 | 33.575 | +0.015 |
| 18 | 32.764 | 33.184 | +0.013 |
| 19 | 32.395 | 32.779 | +0.015 |
| 20 | 32.022 | 32.414 | +0.019 |
| 21 | 31.670 | 32.034 | +0.012 |
| 22 | 31.337 | 31.683 | +0.013 |
| 23 | 31.008 | 31.345 | +0.008 |
| 24 | 29.423 | 31.018 | +0.010 |
| 25 |  | 29.437 | +0.014 |

TABLE 3. Conductivity ratios at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on actual samples.

| Salinity (nominal) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N.I.O. (A) } \\ & \text { (at } \left.15^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Auto-Lab (B) (corrected to $15^{\circ}$ ) | Difference $A-B$ | Equivalent salinity difference $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40.5 | 1.13745 | 1.13756 | -011 | -003 |
| 40.0 | 1.12586 | 1.12607 | -021 | -006 |
| 39.5 | 1.11369 | 1.11375 | -006 | -002 |
| 39.1 | 1. 102667 | 1.10265 | +002 | +001 |
| 38.6 | 1.09082 | 1.09085 | -003 | -001 |
| 38.2 | 1.07960 | 1.07967 | $-007$ | -002 |
| 37.6 | 1.06797 | 1.06793 | $+004$ | +001 |
| 37.2 | 1.05687 | 1.05691 | -004 | -001 |
| 36.8 | 1.04686 | 1.04659 | +027 | +008 |
| 36.7 | 1.04396 | 1.04389 | -007 | -002 |
| 36.0 | 1.02599 | 1.02607 | -008 | -002 |
| 35.6 | 1.01595 | 1.01601 | -006 | -002 |
| 35.8 | 1.02058 | 1. 02051 | $+007$ | +002 |
| 34.8 | 0.99507 | 0.99500 | $+007$ | +002 |
| 34.4 | 0.98417 | 0.98464 | -047 | -013 |
| 34.0 | 0.97391 | 0.97380 | +011 | +004 |
| 33.6 | 0.96340 | 0.96354 | -014 | -004 |
| 33.2 | 0.95346 | 0.95345 | +001 | -000 |
| 32.8 | 0.94300 | 0.94304 | -004 | -001 |
| 32.4 | 0.93346 | 0.93355 | -009 | -002 |
| 32.0 | 0.32379 | 0.92371 | +008 | +002 |
| 31.7 | 0.91471 | 0.91460 | +011 | +003 |
| 31.3 | 0.90604 | 0.90580 | +024 | $+007$ |
| 31.0 | 0.89750 | 0.89731 | +019 | +006 |
| 29.4 | 0.85606 | 0.85596 | $+010$ | $+003$ |

In only one case does the disagreement represent a difference of as much as $0.01 \%$, which is quite satisfactory in the circumstances; measuring samples of very widely varying salinities, as here, tends to increase rinsing errors, so the standard deviation of $0.004 \%$ is acceptable. There appears to be a slight tendency for the N.I.O. meter to give higher ratios than the Auto-Lab at low salinities, and possibly lower ratios at high salinities. These trends could be due to very slight imperfections in one or other of the transformer bridges, or they could be due to minor errors in the temperature cormection frator.

Our troubles, then, are not instrumental, but are due to inconsistent tables. Here the "shape" of the discrepancy shows the cause. The AutoLab tables are based on a series of measurements of conductivity by Hamon, made on one sample of water, diluted by weight. The N.I.O. tables are in two sections. The lower section is the older, and is based on the conductivity measure-
ments of Thomas, Thompson and Utterback (1934). The values above $35 \%$, however, were replaced in 1961 by a new table based on more recent measurements, including those of Hamon, so the good agreement here is not coincidence. It seems that the lower N.I.O. table, based on Thomas et al., is wrong.

The final stage in our investigation was to prepare a table of relative conductivities (conductivity ratios) from the N.I.O. measurements of conductivity and chlorinity. These conductivities are in each case an average, based on from five to eighty samples in the respective ranges. The "salinity" here is computed from the chlorinity by the Knudsen relationship. Table 4 shows these average values in the second column; they are certainly very close to the best which can be derived using the present definition of salinity, and will closely approximate to the new tables which will be published next year. They apply to measurements at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TABLE 4. Conductivity ratios at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

|  | Salinity | Correct | N.I.O. tables | Auto-Lab tables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 31.5 | (not enough | 0.91026 | 0.90986 |
|  | 32.0 | results for | 0.92322 | 0.92283 |
|  | 32.5 | reliable figure) | 0.93616 | 0.93571 |
|  | 33.0 | 0.94876 | 0.94906 | 0.94869 |
|  | 33.5 | 0.96160 | 0.96186 | 0.96158 |
|  | 34.0 | 0.97450 | 0.97460 | 0.97440 |
|  | 34.5 | 0.98730 | 0.98730 | 0.98723 |
|  | 35.0 | 1.00012 | 1.00000 | 1.00000 |
|  | 35.5 | 1.01272 | 1.01275 | 1.01277 |
|  | 36.0 | 1.02536 | 1.02546 | 1.02551 |
|  | 36.5 | 1.03806 | 1.03812 | 1.03819 |
|  | 37.0 | 1.05080 | 1.05076 | 1.05087 |
|  | 37.5 | 1.06350 | 1.06340 | 1.06351 |
|  | 38.0 | 1.07615 | 1.07605 | 1.07612 |
|  | 38.5 | 1.08880 | 1.08870 | 1.08873 |
|  | 39.0 | 1.10150 | 1.10140 | 1. 10129 |
|  | 39.5 | 1.11422 | 1.11412 | off table |
|  | 40.0 | 1.12697 | 1.12687 | off table |

It will be seen that at low salinities, from 33.0 to $34.0 \%$, the ratios in the Auto-Lab tables are slightly low; that is, the tables would give a salinity a little high. The N.I.O. tables err, more considerably, in the other direction. At a salinity of $33 \%$ the Auto-Lab tables would read $33.002 \%$ and the N.I.O. $32.992 \%$. At first sight the "correct" ratio given for $35 \%$ will appear unlikely; it arises in this way. In the "gromn" of results from which this value is cal-
culated are many observations on deep samples. Many of these contain rather more calcium than surface samples, so their conductivity is slightly greater, relative to the chlorinity. Thus on average a sea water of chlorinity $19.374 \%$ shows a slightly higher conductivity than standard sea water, which is surface sea water. At higher salinities both instruments agree very well with the "correct" ratios, the N.I.O. tables being rather nearer.

Finally, Table 5 gives corrections applicable to the observations in the NORWESTLANT surveys. Below $35 \%$, observations on the N.I.O. salinometers should be increased as shown. Above $35 \%$, observations on the Auto-Lab instruments should be increased. This will make the two sets comparable and also give a close approximation to the N.I.O. "best values".

TABLE 5. Corrections to salinometer results on NORWESTLANT surveys.
A. Low salinities, below $35^{\circ} \%$

Increase all observations on N.I.O. salinometers as follows:

| Salinity | Increase |
| :---: | :---: |
| 30.0 | 0.021 |
| 30.5 | 0.020 |
| 31.0 | 0.019 |
| 31.5 | 0.018 |
| 32.0 | 0.016 |
| 32.5 | 0.014 |
| 33.0 | 0.012 |
| 33.5 | 0.010 |
| 34.0 | 0.008 |
| 34.5 | 0.004 |
| 35.0 | nil |

B. High salinities, above $35 \%$

Increase all observations on Auto-Lab salinometers as follows:


## Addendum

Salinity samples from Russian vessels that participated in NORWESTLANT III were analysed by a salinometer of South African manufacture. This was the I.M.C. Electronic Salinometer manufactured by Jayco Instruments (Pty) Ltd. The calibration of this instrument was based on the formula of Thomas, Thompson and Utterback. Eight analyses of the EQCALANT $\mathrm{A}^{1}$ sub-standard all gave salinities between $29.422 \%$ and $29.424 \%$. These are comparable with those obtained with the N.I.O. salinometer. Salinity data from the I.M.C. instrument during NORWESTLANT III will therefore be corrected in the same way as those from the N.I.O. salinometer.
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# Two Mechanical Aids for Otolith Reading 

BY B. C. BEDFORD ${ }^{\text {1 }}$


#### Abstract

Mechanical devices are described for preparing quickly, an almost standard section of each otolith and for controlling the illumination of the section surface.


## Introduction

Two problems confront the otolith reader. The first of these is to view the ring structures satisfactorily, and the second is to interpret what is seen. It is obvious that the first of these considerations may well affect the second.

Most gadoid fish otoliths are too dense and thick to view whole and the most common method used to read them is as follows. A section is made (usually through the plane of least area) and this is viewed by mounting the piece of otolith in plasticine with the section horizontal. The otolith is then illuminated from the side and the sectioned surface placed in shadow. The effect of this is to show on the surface the dense opaque rings as dark areas and the hyaline rings as light areas.

The usual method of producing the section of the otolith is to break it with pressure of the thumbs or with a cutting tool. Where interpretation of the zones is easy the section thus produced is often good enough, provided that the break is reasonably square and through the centre of the otolith. However, many otoliths are more difficult to interpret and a good flat surface is needed on the section to view it satisfactorily.

This note describes two mechanical devices which have been developed at Lowestoft. The first of these enables the reader to prepare quickly an almost standard section of each otolith. The second enables him to control the illumination of the section surface.

## Grinding/Polishing Machine (Fig. 1)

This is simply a small fine carborundum wheel fitted to a fairly high-speed motor. Grinding of otoliths has been tried before and rejected because (a) the heat generated damages the otolith, (b) clouds of dust harmful to the operator
are produced, and (e) the time taken to get a good surface is too long.

These disadvantages are overcome by fitting a water supply which drips directly on to the point of contact of the otolith on the wheel. Heating is prevented, there is no dust, and a good surface is produced very rapidly. The wheel itself is completely screened from the electrical parts of the machine to prevent danger from water spraying. The shields fitted are very effective and the machine is considered to be completely safe.

The machine is usually positioned with the drip tray overhanging a sink to carry away waste water. If, however, no sink or water supply is available, the drip tray can be used as a reservoir and water from it can be brushed on the wheel while the otolith is being ground.


Fig. 1. Grinding machine in use.
N.B. The on-off switch of the machine is controlled by the second finger of the left hand of the operator.

[^15]It is generally agreed that the best position for the section to be made is at the " $V$ " shaped interruption of the sulcus accusticus. Using the grinding wheel this can always be achieved. The otolith is broken by pressure of the thumbs and the part containing the " V " shape is selected for grinding. The broken surface is held against the wheel with moderate pressure, care being taken to hold it square to the longitudinal axis of the otolith. Surplus material is removed very rapidly from even the largest otoliths and a flat surface bisecting the " V " is produced in approximately twenty seconds. Some slight striations and a deposit of dust are left on the surface and these can be clarified when the otolith is read, by brushing on a little Xylene.

## Details of the Machine

Motor $1 / 20$ H.P. 2,800 R.P.M.: $230 / 250 \mathrm{v}$ A.C. Single phase.

Wheel 3 inches diam. x $\frac{3}{4}$ inch thick; abrasive texture, fine or very fine. It has been found that the wheels made for grinding valve seatings are ideal. These have a threaded centre which allows it to be serewed on to a brass boss fitted to the spindle of the motor.
Water These are made of 18 S.W.G. brass shields and are of such size that the hoopshaped guards clear the outside rim of the wheel by one inch.
Assembly The apparatus is mounted on a 12 mm thick bonded plywood baseboard approximately $12 \times 8$ inches. This is supported by two pieces of wood fixed to the two long edges. These supports are tapered so that the water tray end is lower than the other end. A plywood or rigid plastic cover is fitted to the bottom of the apparatus.

The Microscope Light Screen (Fig. 2)
Not all readers of gadoid fish otoliths use the method of side illumination and resultant transmitted light for viewing the section. The origin of this technique is probably to be found in the early Norwegian work on the otoliths of the cod and in the author's experience the majority of readers do use this method, certainly in Europe.

It is apparent from the many and varied methods used to put the section surface in shadow

- pencils, the observer's finger, a match stick mounted in a second piece of plasticine are but a few - that this has always been something of a problem. It may indeed be one of the reasons why the method of direct illumination of the surface has been adopted by some observers.

However, it is the author's opinion that the method of transmitted light is preferable, as it enables the reader to see more of the detailed structure of the otolith. Also, by this method the dense opaque zones are in fact seen as dark zones and the light hayaline zones as light zones, a not unimportant consideration when describing structures, particularly to trainees.

The light screen used at Lowestoft provides the reader with a simple tool that enables him to put the surface into any degree of shadow that he desires. The degree of shadow required may well be different for different parts of the same otolith, and the fact that the bar can easily be moved up and down allows each part of the otolith to be examined under conditions most suitable to itself, Once the state of shadow is fixed the ob)server can leave the bar and have his hands free to brush on clearing agents, adjust focus and move the stage of the microscope.

The screen is simply an adjustable height bar. A brass base plate supports a vertical pillar in which is housed a captive threaded rod. By turning this thread the bar is raised or lowered to


Fig. 2. View of light screen with otolith mounted.
the height required. The vertical pillar is sited in the centre of the shorter side of the base plate so that the screen may be used both right and left handed.

## Materials and Dimensions

The base plate is of $10 \mathrm{~S} . \mathrm{W} . \mathrm{G}$. plate brass, size 3 inches by 2 inches.

The vertical pillar is machined from $\frac{5}{8}$ inch square brass and is 2 inches high.

The bar is $\frac{3}{16}$ inch deep and is soldered to a brass block which has a hole threaded to fit the vertical threaded rod.

The brass block is keyed into a $\frac{1}{8}$ inch slot in the vertical pillar.

# A Method of Preparing Photographs and Transparencies of Cod Otoliths 

BY J. MESSTORFF ${ }^{1}$


#### Abstract

A photographic technique of preparing satisfactory black and white transparencies and prints of cod otolith structures, is described and two examples are given. A corresponding set of transparencies have been successfully demonstrated to experts. The results, obtained by this technique, show rather the same pictures of otolith structures as at microscopic viewing under the usual conditions, but have the advantage of enabling several people to examine and discuss the same otolith at the same time.


## Introduction

Some sets of transparencies of cod otolith photographs, both black and white prepared by the author and coloured prepared by Blacker (1963), have been demonstrated at the meeting of the ICNAF Working Group on Age Reading Techniques in Bergen in November 1962 as well as at the Annual Meeting of the Research and Statistics Committee of ICNAF in Halifax in May 1963. The great value of this type of photographs for the training of otolith readers, as well as for the discussion of certain otolith structures, was recognised by all participants of these meetings, since it enables a large number of people to examine the same otholith and to discuss the same structure with certainty. Considering these advantages, the Research and Statistics Committee recommended that future co-ordination of age reading techniques take the form of exchange of selected otolith samples, accompanied by corresponding sets of photographs (transparencies and /or prints), to be marked by each country in the way they would read them. Because of the fundamental importance of the reliability of age data, all member countries of ICNAF agreed to participate in this programme, which offers a promising way for the detection and perhaps reduction of persistent discrepancies in age determinations between different readers.

Considering the lack of special information on suitable photographic techniques, the writer was asked to give a description of his method,
for obtaining the satisfactory black and white transparencies of cod otolith structures mentioned above.

## Materials and Methods

To be useful an otolith transparency should show the same picture by projection, as the same otolith viewed under the usual conditions by transmitted light and by means of a binocular microscope. Therefore the arrangements for taking photographs, as illustrated in Fig. 1, should be nearly the same as for routine age determination.

The otolith to be photographed is mounted in plasticine in the usual manner. For illumina-


Fig. 1. Sketch of the photographic arrangement. Camera system: Panphot, Leitz.
${ }^{1}$ )Institut für Seefischerei, Aussenstelle Bremerhaven, Federal Republic of Germany.
tion the same MONLA-lamp (Leitz, $6 \mathrm{v}, 5 \mathrm{amp}$ ) as for microscopic viewing is used. To avoid reflected light, which obviously causes more trouble in photography than in microseopic viewing, and also to obtain sharper contrasts between the growth zones, the transversally-cut surface of the otolith ${ }^{2}$ is shaded from the laterally-directed light beam by an adjustable horizontal slit-diaphragm situated rather close to the otolith. After focussing, the enlarged and illuminated picture of the otolith surface appears on the ground glass screen of the camera system (Panphoth, Leitz) without any disturbing light effeets.

Because of the relatively low light intensity of the photo-object and of the screen picture respectively, the room should be darkened during focussing and exposure. For the same reason the diaphragm of the camera lens must be wide open for focussing, whereas for exposure a smaller aperture is recommendable (about 12 on the scale). The time of exposure was about 10 to 15 seconds. This, however, should be tested because the light source as well as the camera system and the photomaterial used may be different.

For the above technique photographic plates ( $9 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}$, two exposures each) were used. From these negatives, 1:1 transparencies were made on $24 \times 36 \mathrm{~mm}$ film. Of course the technique could be improved by avoiding plates and using a 36 mm single reflex camera, fitted with a suitable bellows extension for enlargement, such as described by Blacker (1963), if available. Using reversal film, transparencies could then be produced directly.

## Results

The two prints in Figs. 2 and 3 show that no disturbing light effects appear around the sharp contours of the otolith surface. The photographs are not retouched! The growth structures stand out as clear and contrasting as when viewed through the microscope. ${ }^{3}$ The illuminative effect is of course still better and more natural by projection of the corresponding transparencies. Compared with the colour prints submitted by Blacker (1963) the possibility of exact interpretation of these black and white prints is not less satis-


Fig. 2. 47 cm male cod from ICNAF Statistical Division, 4 V North, 25 April 1960. Interpretation depends on counting or discounting the two innermost hyaline zones. After own interpretation five years old (as indicated to the right), first hyaline zone discounted as being a checkring.


Fig. 3. 72 cm female cod from ICNAF Statistical Division, $4 \mathrm{R}, 22$ April 1960, ten years old, advanced gonad condition. Fish was going to spawn for the second time. Spawning zones on the otolith indicate first spawning in the year before (1959).
factory. The advantage of Blacker's method is perhaps a somewhat quicker and easier production of photographs but black and white photos are less expensive.

The use of electronic flash with black and white film would, it is felt, not give as good results as Blacker obtained using flash with his colour

[^16]film. This is because of the very high light intensity produced by the electronic flash. For the same reason it is expected that electronic flash, used with black and white film, would not give as good results as those obtained by using the method described above with black and white film.
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# Hydrographic Conditions off the Coasts of Labrador and Newfoundland in November - December 1962 

BY J. W. RAMSTER ${ }^{\text {l }}$


#### Abstract

Temperature and salinity conditions in the Seal Islands - Hamilton Inlet Bank and Grand Bank - Flemish Cap areas for the "early winter" period of 1962, are shown by vertical sections. The T-S curves for each station sampled are compared with 13 -year mean T-S curves for the Labrador Current, Atlantic Current, and Mixed Water, drawn from observations made around the Grand Bank during the iceberg seasons (AprilJuly) in 1948-60.


## Introduction

The Research Vessel Ernest Holt of the Fisheries Laboratory, Lowestoft, cruised off the coasts of Labrador and Newfoundland between $\$ 22$ November and 6 December 1962. As well as making groups of trawl hauls between $52^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $55^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, two of the standard hydrographic sections of the Biological Station of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada, St. John's, Newfoundland were worked. The resulting temperature and salinity diagrams provide, as far as can be ascertained, the first description of the water-mass conditions off these coasts during "early winter."

## Seal Islands - Hamilton Inlet Bank Section

This section was worked during the period 26-27 November in relatively good weather conditions. Owing to a strong cross-current and poor Loran coverage, however, the line of stations sampled diverged from the standard section of the Biological Station at St. John's as the coast was approached. The temperature and salinity sections based on these data (Fig. 1) show that the water over and adjacent to the main bank had a simple structure, which followed the general lines of the bottom topography, the only unusual feature being a warm pocket situated seaward of the coastal zone and lying between 200 and 300 m depth.

The T-S diagram for each station has been drawn and compared with those, beginning at 50 m , of the Labrador Current, Atlantic Current


Fig. 1. Temperature and salinity distributions: Hamilton Inlet Bank Section: 26-27 November 1962.
and Mixed Water in the Grand Bank area, as drawn by Soule, Morrill and Franceschetti (1961) from data collected during the iceberg seasons (April-July approximately) of the period 1948-60 (Fig. 2). The curves for the 5 inshore stations (23-19) are very similar in shape to that typical of
the Labrador Current, and lie between it and that identifying the Mixed Water; those for the offshore stations (18-16) are closer both in shape and position to the Mixed Water curve. The Labrador Current would seem therefore to be restricted to the shallow shelf zone at this time of year, while the deeper water seaward of the Hamilton Inlet Bank is essentially a mixture of the Labrador and Atlantic Currents.


Fig. 2. Temperature-salinity diagrams for Hamilton Inlet Bank Section compared with 13-year mean values for Labrador Current, Atlantic Current and Mixed Waters. Depths in hectometres.

The temperature section obtained in November 1962 is very different to that reported by Templeman (1963) for the preceding August. The "early winter" situation is one of temperature increasing steadily with depth over the Hamilton Inlet Bank and of an absence of sub-zero water; winter cooling has only just begun, apparently. In "summer" however, a core of very cold water, less than $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and attaining $-1.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in parts, surviving presumably from the previous winter and spring, lies over the coastal zone between slightly warmer bottom water and a relatively warm surface layer that is found at all stations.

## Grand Bank - Flemish Cap Section

The standard stations of the St. John's Biological Station on this section were worked between December 4 and 6, although bad weather prevented complete sampling at stations 46,47 and 48, so that in the Flemish Cap area the $34.75^{\circ}$ /oo isohaline cannot be completed. The structure of the water over the line of stations was complicated (Fig. 3) and unusual in that while temperature decreased sharply with depth salinity gradually increased. This is not, in fact, simply a case of the cold, relatively fresh water of the Labrador current appearing beneath water typical of the warm, saline North Atlantic Drift. Comparison


Fig. 3. Temperature and salinity distributions: Grand Bank - Flemish Cap Section: 4-6 December 1962.


Fig. 4. Temperature-salinity diagrams for Grand BankFlemish Cap Section compared with 13-year mean values for Labrador Current, Atlantic Current and Mixed Waters. Depths in hectometres.
of the temperature-salinity relationship for each station with those given by Soule, Morrill and Franceschetti (1961) bears this out (Fig. 4). By this means not only is the water over the Grand Bank, stations 39-43, sharply distinguished from that seaward of it, stations 44-50, but also both groups of curves are seen to be rather different in the $50-70 \mathrm{~m}$ layer to those given as being typical of the three main types of water during the iceberg season, 1948-60. There seems little doubt, however, that the Labrador Current covers the Grand Bank and gives way eastwards to Mixed Water.

Marked differences in structure are seen when the temperature section is set alongside that shown by Templeman (1963) for the previous July. Both sections show sub-zero water lying, in particular, over the seaward edge of the Grand Bank, but in July this region has a core of colder water which seems better defined insofar as its presence has altered the form of the isotherms throughout the surface layer. There is a suggestion in December of a body of water, even colder than that found on the Grand Bank, lying close to the shore and below 50 m to match that found in July. These, therefore, may be pockets of cold water that stay in position throughout the year and have temperatures just below or relatively well below $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ according to the season. The general cooling of the surface layers with the coming of winter is implied by the fact that the $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm just appears at the eastern end of the December diagram, whereas in July it extended over most of the section.

The presence of sub-zero water in December over the Grand Bank is in contrast with the conditions on the Seal Islands - Hamilton Inlet Bank section, where such water was found in August
but not in December. Below 75m depth, in fact, the inshore water of the more northerly section is warmer than that over the Grand Bank area.

The salinity sections are very similar both in shape and range of the isohalines to those used by Templeman (1961) to describe conditions during the summer of 1960 .

## Summary

In November-December 1962:-

1. The Labrador Current was restricted to the relatively shallow inshore zones of the Hamilton Inlet Bank and Grand Bank regions.
2. Below 75 m depth the water of the Labrador Current flowing in the Hamilton Inlet Bank area was warmer than that some 400 miles further south moving over the Grand Bank of Newfoundland.
3. Between 50 and about 70 m depth the Mixed Water and Labrador Current water flowing over the Grand Bank - Flemish Cap section was much warmer and less saline than the mean values of temperature and salinity, for the iceberg seasons 1948-60, lead one to expect.
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# Estimating the Natural Mortality Rate of the Sea Scallop (Placopecten magellanicus) 

BY ARTHUR S. MERRILL' ${ }^{1}$ AND J. A. POSGAY'


#### Abstract

A method is described for estimating the natural mortality of sea scallops. The method is based on the percentage occurrence of the persistent paired valves of scallops that died from causes other than fishing collected along with live animals. Estimates of the average length of time that the paired valves remain attached at the hinge are calculated by determining the age in years and months when the animals died, and by measuring the time difference between modal groups in frequency distributions of live and dead animals. The possible causes of natural mortality are discussed. It is concluded that the average instantaneous natural mortality rate of the stocks in Subarea 5Z during the years 1959-1963 was about 0.10.


## Introduction

Estimating the natural mortality rate for any wild population is a difficult task. In fishery investigations, it is frequently done by estimating total mortality rate from an analysis of the relative decline in numbers of several year classes as they pass through the fishery and then subtracting the fishing mortality rate which has been estimated by marking experiments.

This method is not feasible in the sea scallop fishery since only the shucked meats are landed, and it is not possible to collect data on the age composition at the time of landing. Since the animal is sedentary and the fishing grounds wide spread, it would be prohibitively expensive to collect a long series of samples for analysis of the age structure of the fished stock. Marking experiments are difficult to interpret for mortality rate estimates since the marked animals do not distribute themselves at random through the population, but remain where they are released (Posgay, 1963).

By fortunate circumstance, however, it is possible to identify a sea scallop which has recently died from causes other than fishing. When a fisherman shucks a scallop, he separates the two valves in order to get at the edible adductor muscle. Shells of sea scallops which die from other causes are left with the hinge intact. The soft parts decompose or are eaten by scavengers, but the paired valves, called "clappers" or "cluckers" by the fishermen, persist for some time.

This fact led Dickie (1955) to propose a direct method of estimating the natural mortality rate. He reasoned that, if the rate is constant, the number dying and adding to the clapper population should be equal to the number being removed by the hinge ligament decomposing. Knowledge of the average time required for the valves to separate would provide an estimate of deaths per unit time. In his calculations for the Bay of Fundy stocks he used the results of some unpublished tank experiments which gave an average time of 100 days required for separation of clappers larger than 96 mm . Relating the number of clappers to the number of live scallops in the population would give an estimate of the mortality rate.

Realizing the importance of Dickie's method for determining natural mortality, investigators at this Laboratory have kept records of clapperlive shell relationships on all sea scallop research cruises since 1958.

It is apparent that the accuracy of Dickie's clapper method for estimating natural mortality is dependent, among other things, on the accuracy of estimating the number of days the valves hold together. It was our desire to increase the reliability of his method by obtaining an estimate of the time required for separation on the fishing grounds. To do this we have actually aged live and clapper shells from samples to determine how long the clappers had been dead. Aging was accomplished by reading the annual rings on the
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Fig. 1. The sea scallop grounds of Georges Bank showing the division into unit areas and Statistical Subareas. The shading of the unit areas represents the relative productivity of the different areas. The lightly shaded areas produced average annual landings of less than 100,000 pounds ( 45 metric tons) of meats during the years 1944-1961. The next darker areas supplied between 100,000 and 200,000 pounds ( $45-90$ metric tons). The areas with the next darker tone supplied between 200,000 and 300,000 pounds ( $90-135$ metric tons). The 11 areas with the darkest tone supplied more than 300,000 pounds ( 135 metric tons) per year. In all, there were about 250 million pounds ( 113,636 metric tons) of sea scallop meats, 39 percent from the most heavily shaded areas, landed during the 18 years.
shell. We have full confidence in this method of aging, having recently validated the reading of annual marks on the shell of the sea scallop (Merrill, Posgay, and Nichy, in press).

This paper presents the clapper shell and live scallop data collected from all the Georges Bank (Fig. 1) fishing grounds during the years 19581963. From the available evidence, it appears that clappers hold together for varying periods of time depending upon the conditions of the environment. Ways and means of determining most accurately the probable average length of time clappers hold together in particular areas are discussed. It is concluded that, (1) natural mortality varies considerably on Georges Bank, and (2) natural mortality is low over most of the Bank.

## Area of Investigation

Although the sea scallop is known and fished from the Gulf of St. Lawrence to the offing of the Virginia Capes, it occurs in greatest abundance on Georges Bank off the coast of Massachusetts. In our investigation of the ecology of the sea scallop and the effects of the fishery on the stocks, we have, therefore, concentrated our efforts on these grounds. They are in ICNAF Subarea, $5 Z$.

In reporting our data we have used the unit area, 10 minutes of latitude by 10 minutes of longitude, as our basic unit. These are named by the degree square in which they occur; the letters A to $F$ from west to east, and the numbers 1 through 6 from north to south. For example,
$41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ is located between $41^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ and $41^{\circ} 50^{\prime}$ N and $66^{\circ} 10^{\prime}$ and $66^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. In these latitudes they have an area of about 75 square miles (194 sq km ). The next larger unit is the subarea as defined by the North American Council on Fishery Investigations (Rounsefell, 1948). These are lettered G, H, O, J, M, N. We have also combined subareas G. H, and $O$ into what we will call Area Group 1, Subarea N into Area Group 2, and Subareas J and M into Area Group 3. Fig. 1 shows the Georges Bank fishing grounds with these divisions outlined.

The three Area Groups are not equal in area. Area Group 1 has 60 unit areas fished for sea scallops: Area Group 2 has 36 unit areas fished; Area Group 3, 49 unit areas. In all, the exploited grounds on Georges Bank total 145 unit areas, approximately 11,000 square miles $(28,490$ sq km).

## Collection of Data

We have made 11 cruises to Georges Bank during the past 6 years concerned directly with sea scallop research. The cruises were designed for specific purposes; the recording of clapper-live shell length-frequencies often was incidental. On some cruises only a few areas were sampled while on others a large portion of the Bank was surveyed. In general, however, stations within the three major Area Groups were sampled every year. In addition, a valuable set of clapper-live scallop data from a 1961 research cruise to Georges Bank made by Canadian scientists was kindly given us for incorporation into this report. In 1960 and 1961 samples of clappers and live shells from an area of high clapper ratio were brought back to the laboratory for analysis.

## Distribution of Live and Clapper Shells on Georges Bank, 1958-1963

Table 1 lists the number of 10 -minute tows taken and the number of live scallops and clapper shells found in the unit areas investigated during each cruise. The clapper ratios are the result of dividing the number of clapper shells taken by the number of live scallops. For Delaware cruise $60-8$, and all subsequent United States cruises, there is an additional column headed "density index." These data were obtained by towing an odometer behind the dredge to measure the distance traveled over the bottom and then reducing all catches to the common base of numbers of live scallops caught per 10,000 square feet ( 929 sq m ) dredged.

Figs. 2-7 show the clapper ratios measured for each of the unit areas sampled during each year. If a unit area had been sampled during more than one cruise in the year, the clapper ratio was calculated by pooling the catches of all the samples collected during the year. A dot has been placed in each unit area which was sampled but yielded no scallops. A brief summary of each cruise is presented below.

## 1958

## Albatross III Cruise 113

Albatross III cruise 113 (Table 1), June 1958, surveyed Georges Bank adequately. No clappers were found in Area Group 1, and no scallops were taken in Area Group 2. The clapper ratios were very low in Area Group 3.

## Dartmouth Cruise 1

Dartmouth cruise 1 (Table 1), September 1958, worked mostly in Area Group 3. The clapper ratios were low, the highest .0351 in one unit area to the east.

1959

## Whaling City Cruise 3

Whaling City cruise 3 (Table 1), May 1959, covered eight scattered unit areas. The first evidence of rather high clapper ratios was discovered on this cruise.

## Whaling City Cruise 4

Whaling City cruise 4 (Table 1), September 1959, was restricted to concentrated sampling in four unit areas. However, at least one unit area was in each Area Group. As with the earlier cruise, the clapper ratios were low in Area Groups 1 and 2, higher in Area Group 3.

## 1960

## Delaware Cruise 60-8

Only one cruise was made in 1960. Delaware cruise 60-8 (Table 1), May 1960, sampled rather adequately the three Area Groups. As usual, few clappers were found in Area Groups 1 and 2. Large numbers of clappers were found in Area Group 3; the highest ratio, .4406, in one unit area on the Northern Edge.

1961

## Delaware Cruise 61-7

Delaware cruise 61-7 (Table 1), May 1961, surveyed Georges Bank quite thoroughly especially in known scallop producing areas. Again few clappers were found in Area Groups 1 and 2,
while large numbers were taken in Area Group 3. One unit area on the Northeast Peak had a clapper ratio of 4585 .

## Delaware Cruise 61-13

The purpose of Delaware cruise 61-13 (Table 1), August 1961, was to do gear selection work.


Fig. 2. Clapper ratios observed in 1958.


Fig. 3. Clapper ratios observed in 1959.


Fig. 4. Clapper ratios observed in 1960.

Most of this was done in a limited area in Area Group 3.


Fig. 5. Clapper ratios observed in 1961. Notice the high ratios in some of the northern and eastern unit areas. These are the same areas shown in Fig. 1 as being the most highly productive.


Fig. 6. Clapper ratios observed in 1962.


Fig. 7. Clapper ratios observed in 1963.

## Cape Eagle Cruise

Cape Eagle cruise (Table 1), September 1961, concentrated efforts in three selected unit areas in Area Group 3. Each unit area was subdivided into many smaller units and systematically sampled. The clapper ratios resulting from this Canadian cruise in which many samples were taken from a unit area compare favorably with clapper ratios from United States cruises in 1961 where only a few samples were taken from the same unit area.

## Delaware Cruise 61-16

Delaware cruise 61-16 (Table 1), September 1961, surveyed a large area of Georges Bank. The clapper ratios were similar to the May cruise with few clappers in Area Groups 1 and 2. One unit area in Area Group 1 showed a high ratio of clappers, but the ratio was based on only a few live and clapper shells. Area Group 3 showed large numbers of clappers. Two unit areas on the Northeast Peak had extremely high ratios, .8300 and .6476.

## Delaware Cruise 62-6

Delaware cruise 62-6 (Table 1), May 1962, again surveyed a large area of Georges Bank. The clapper ratios were low as usual in Area Group 1, but in Area Group 2 there were more clappers than usual, the highest ratio was .0734 in one unit area. Clapper ratios continue generally high in some unit areas in Area Group 3, although the data indicate that ratios were lower than in the preceding 2 years in many unit areas.

## Delaware Cruise 62-10

Delaware cruise 62-10 (Table 1), September 1962, concentrated effort in Area Group 3. Sampling was extended to include a number of additional unit areas, and more tows were made in each one of them. Although clapper ratios are still high in some unit areas, the number of unit areas with high ratios is fewer, and not generally as high as in the preceding 2 years.

## Albatross IV Cruises 63-1 and 63-3

These two cruises were made in late May and early June 1963. Cruise $63-1$ was interrupted because of equipment breakdown, and the station plan completed on Cruisc 63-3. Samples were collected only in Area Groups 1 and 2. Clapper ratios were moderately high in some locations; the highest was .2433 .

Examination of the data of Table 1 produces two interesting facts. In general, high clapper
ratios did not occur before 1960. Pooling all the samples collected in 1958 gives a ratio of . 0133 ; the 1959 samples a ratio of .0208 , although two unit areas, 41-66 D3 and D4, had ratios greater than .15. The average clapper ratio in the samped areas rose to .1131 in 1960 and then dropped to .0723 in 1961 ; it was .0620 in 1962, and .0845 in 1963. The other point, seen most clearly in Fig. 5, is that all of the higher clapper ratios occur in those unit areas which are along the northeastern rim of the Bank.

## Analysis of Shell Samples

The amount of time that has passed since any individual clapper was formed can be estimated by a study of the shell. A clapper can be aged by reading annual rings on the shell just as a live specimen can. By this means it is possible to estimate the month in which it died. Further information regarding time of death can be gathered by observing the clapper shells for kinds and degrees of fouling, and for the degree of erosion to shell and hinge ligament. Gunter, Dawson, and Demoran (1957) undertook experiments along these lines in Texas and Mississippi waters to determine time of oyster mortality. They described the initial fouling complex and dominants at different levels below the surface at various seasons of the year and concluded the rate of fouling to be strongly dependent upon temperature. Conditions differ somewhat in deeper, colder water where sea scallops are found, because bottom temperatures are more uniform and the season of setting of fouling organisms is shorter. Moreover, we are interested in fouling succession as well as initial setting in determining the period of time a clapper holds together. The two valves of a sea scallop gape at each side cven when fully closed; as soon as it dies, the adductor muscle relaxes, and they gape fully. This enables minute organisms to enter and utilize the interior shell substrate of a clapper as soon as the animal matter has deteriorated or has been eaten by another organism. Thus, it is possible to study the fouling organisms that settle on the interior part of a clapper shell to help determine how many years have passed since the scallop died.

In August 1961 (Delaware cruise 61-13), a sample from unit area $42 / 66$ B-6 in Area Group 3, which contained live and clapper scallops, including associated fouling organisms, was quick-frozen aboard ship and brought back to the laboratory for study. Two dredges were towed simultaneously on this station. The live scallops from
both dredges totalled 1125 , the clappers 516 (clapper ratio .4587). The length frequencies of these are shown in Fig. 8. The live scallops from one dredge (about half, 508) and all the clappers (516) were studied in the laboratory. About 60 percent of the live scallops were of the same year class (Fig. 8, mode at about 110 mm ).

Before the scallops could be aged, the exterior of the shells had to be thoroughly scrubbed to remove foreign growths and expose the annual rings. The interiors of the clappers were left untouched as far as possible to study the degree and complexity of fouling. The cleaned shells revealed exceptionally clear rings, particularly those which had formed in 1959, 1960, and 1961 (Fig. 9).

The length that each of the live scallops had attained at the time that each annual ring had formed was measured. The average size at each ring is shown in Table 2 and Fig. 10. These results agree very well with other samples that we have analyzed from the same general area.


Fig. 8. Length frequency distribution of the live and clapper shells collected in August 1961 from unit area $42 / 66$ B-6.


Fig. 9. Exterior (top row) and interior (bottom row) surfaces of the left valves of some clapper shells collected in August 1961 from unit area $42 / 66$ B-6.


Fig. 10. Growth curve of a sample of 508 live sea scallops collected in August 1961 from unit area $42 / 66$ B-6.

The annual ring forms on the sea scallop in the spring time (Merrill, Posgay, and Nichy, in press). For the purpose of this paper we have arbitrarily chosen March 1st ( 6 months before the sample was taken) as the exact date the ring finished forming each year. We well understand, however, that it may vary from year to year and even from shell to shell in the same year. By doing this we have a constant with which to compare rates of growth between rings for any part of the year. At the same time the fouling growth on the shells was studied to determine the intensity of fouling, the kinds of organisms involved, and their probable time of setting.

The clappers were first separated into their respective ring groups. The amount of shell added since the formation of the last ring was then used to estimate the amount of time that had elapsed between ring formation and death. A scallop with three rings, for example, that had grown 7.5 mm after the third ring had formed, was assigned July as its month of death since the average rate of growth between the third and fourth ring was 1.76 mm per month. The following criteria were then used to assign a specific year of death to each clapper. A clapper had died within 6 months if:

1. The last three rings were extremely clear.
2. Little to moderate fouling growths were on the interior of the valve.
3. The hinge ligament showed little deterioration.
4. The interior of the shell was glossy.

A clapper had been dead between 6 months to 18 months if:

1. Only the last two rings were extremely clear.
2. Fouling grow ths were extensive with new encrusting growths overgrowing old ones.
3. The hinge ligament was completely deteriorated.
4. The interior of the shell had lost its high gloss.

A clapper had been dead 18 months to 30 months if:

1. Only one ring was extremely clear.
2. Fouling growths were not only extremely extensive but succession in fouling was evident.
3. The valve showed evidence of actual decay.
4. The interior of the shell was stained and discolored.

The clappers, after being aged and having a time of death assigned to them, were arranged by year class in the order of the month and year that they had died (Table 3). Here can be seen clappers that had remained together for as long as 2 years. This was the time when we first noticed large clapper ratios. The mean period of time clapper valves in this sample had remained together was 36 weeks. These data also show that clappers from the three youngest year classes had been dead less than 18 months. This may be due to the fact that small clapper scallops separate sooner than the larger ones. Dickie (1955) states that scallops under 96 mm hold together on the average only half as long as those larger. Examination of the clapper frequency distributions also show few clappers under 80 mm which also suggests either that small clappers separate quickly or perhaps fewer die.

Some of the clappers were so heavily overgrown with fouling organisms that the valves could not have separated even had total deterioration of the hinge ligament allowed them to. This points out the difficulty of estimating an average length of time that clappers hold together from tank experiments. Conditions of the natural environment must be taken into consideration.

If epizooty or predation had caused mass mortality most of the scallops would have died about the same time. The data in Table 2 show this not to be the case. Fig. 11 shows a plot of
the data of Table 3 grouped by quarter years. The total numbers show a progressive rise in the number of clappers formed each quarter from the time the sample was taken back to the fourth quarter. Further back in time the numbers are lower each quarter.


Fig. 11. Dates of death for the clapper shells collected in August 1961 from unit area $42 / 66$ B-6. The modal group had died about 36 weeks before collection.

Using the size of the clapper shell when it was collected, the estimated time that it had been dead, and the average growth rate (Fig. 10), we were able to estimate the size that each clapper shell would have attained had it lived to the time of collection. The results of these calculations are shown in Fig. 11 which compares the length frequency distributions of the live scallops and the clapper shells, (adjusted for unrealized growth). It is apparent that the younger group, with a mode at about 110 mm , have a smaller clapper ratio than the group with a mode at about 132 mm . The close coincidence of the modes of the adjusted lengths of the clappers with those of the live scallops is, in our opinion, strong evidence of the reliability of this method of estimating the time of death of a clapper shell.

A sample of 236 clappers, taken in May 1960 (Delaware cruise 60-8) from the same unit area, was studied to determine when they had died and how long they had held together. Fig. 13 shows the results lumped into quarter-year units. The graph is similar to that of the first sample analyzed. The oldest clapper in this sample had died 14 months previously. The mean length of time clapper valves held together in this sample was 26 weeks.

In summing up it is apparent that it is possible to determine the length of time clapper valves remain together by a study of their shells. Samples of shells can be aged by using the technique of reading annual rings. The time of death of a clapper can be determined by noting the posi-


Fig. 12. Length frequency distribution of the live and clapper shells collected in August 1961 from unit area $42 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-6$. The lengths of the clappers have been adjusted to the size that they would have attained if they had lived to the date of collection.
tion of the shell edge in relation to the latest ring, the general condition of the ligament and shell, and the kinds and degree of fouling on them. Analysis of results using these methods show that seallops had died in all months of the year, thus discounting possibilities of sudden mass mortality. Clappers were found that had remained together for as long as 2 years.

## Analysis of Clapper and Live Shell LengthFrequency Distribution

The length-frequency distributions of both live and clapper shells from areas with high clapper ratios frequently show pronounced modes (Fig. 14). The modes in the clapper distribution almost always lag behind those in the live seallop distributions. This phenomenon coupled with the good agreement obtained between the live and adjusted clapper modes shown in Fig. 12, suggested that measuring the difference in length between the live and clapper modes and converting this to time using the average growth rate would give us another estimate of the time that clappers persist.

We, therefore, selected the samples shown in Table 4, located the modes, and converted the length differences to time. The average is 37 weeks. Using three different, if interrelated, methods, we thus have estimates of 36,26 , and 37 weeks as the average time that clappers persist after death of the scallop; the grand average is 33 weeks.


Fig. 13. Dates of death for a group of clapper shells collected in May 1960 from unit area $42 / 66$ B-6.


Fig. 14. Length frequency distribution of the live and clapper shells collected in May 1961 from unit area $42 / 67 \mathrm{E}$-6.

## Calculating the Natural Mortality Rate

Dickie (1955) in his calculations used the formula:

$$
a=1-\theta^{-(C / t)(1 / L)(365)}
$$

in which a is the annual rate of natural mortality, C is the number of clappers in the sample, t is the average time in days required for the shells of the clappers to separate, and L is the number of live seallops in the sample. The exponent above is equal to the instantaneous mortality rate, so we may write:

$$
\mathrm{M}=(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{L})(52 / \mathrm{t})
$$

where M is the instantaneous annual coefficient of natural mortality, C and L as above, and $t$ the average time in weeks that the clappers persist.

We have presented above in detail the data we have available for calculating an average clapper ratio for all of Georges Bank over the years we sampled. Table 5 summarizes these data br Area Group and year. The last column gives weighting factors derived from the average density index for each Area Group over the 4 years that they are available. We have calculated a weighted average clapper ratio, an unweighted clapper ratio, and a raw clapper ratio.

Inserting the weighted average clapper ratio into the equation, we have: $\mathrm{M}=(.0662)(52 / 33)$ $=.1045$. The unweighted average gives a clapper ratio of .0463 and $\mathrm{M}=.0731$. The raw clapper ratio is obtained by lumping all the collections of clapper shells and live scallops together. We have collected in all 47,811 clapper shells and 643,470 live sea scallops. This gives a raw clapper ratio of .0743 and $\mathrm{M}=.1172$. It would appear, therefore, that in our further studies of yield of a year class under various conditions of fishing pressure we can use .10 as a reasonable value of M with a spread of about $\pm .02$.

## Causes of Natural Mortality in Adult Sea Scallops

Medcof and Bourne (in press) discuss in some detail the causes of mortality in stocks of sea scallops. They identify a number of things that can affect the well being of scallops during specific periods of their life history. In this study we make no attempt to determine the natural mortality of young scallops under 80 mm . This is because we relate clappers to live scallops and few clappers under 80 mm are to be found. In effect,
we are dealing with adult scallops from about the time they enter the fishery.

Among the more important causes of natural mortality mentioned by Medcof and Bourne that would affect adult scallops are predators, parasites, semi-parasites (boring sponges and annelids) which may weaken scallops and leave them susceptible to predators, and sudden rises in water temperature which occasionally produces mass mortality. Other causes (attributed by them to fishing mortality) have to do with damage to scallops during dredging and handling operations. Scallops may be injured by the dredge scraping over them without actually catching them, or the turmoil in the dredge during the drag and haul may kill or injure many scallops, some of which are shoveled overboard as discards or overlooked in the trash when it is returned to the sea. A putative cause is mentioned by Medcof and Bourne: returning of refuse (empty shells and waste body parts) to the beds may "sour" the bottom or otherwise cause fouling of the beds.

On Georges Bank, sea scallop mortality due to extreme temperature fluctuations can be discounted because of the deep waters where scallops are taken. We have determined earlier, using certain clapper data (Table 3; Figs. 12, 13), that there is no evidence of mass scallop mortalities such as that discussed by Dickie and Medcof (1963) in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. We have also been unable to find any concrete evidence of predation on sea scallops. We have a fair idea of species relationship on Georges Bank for dominant species are listed each time a tow is made. The only possible predator of which we are aware is the starfish, but starfish are rather scarce on Georges Bank and they are not excessively numerous in areas with high clapper ratios. There is some boring activity by sponges and annelids on Georges Bank, but the scallop appears to be able to cope with them.

We have tested the degree to which density of sea scallops affects the clapper ratios. A regression line fitted to all the data for which a density index is available has the formula: $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{L}=.0308+.00038$ (d.i.). The slope is positive, but the correlation coefficient is only .21 so it appears that density alone is not an important factor in causing mortality. Inspection of Table 1 shows many instances of low clapper ratios in arears of high density and vice versa.

The same situation applies to fishing activity. We have correlated the clapper ratios obtained
in Delaware cruise 61-16 with the number of days fished in each unit area sampled during the previous 9 months. The regression equation is: $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{L}=.0062+.00063$ (d. f.). The correlation coefficient is .28. Fishing activity of itself, therefore, does not seem an important cause of mortality among the uncaught scallops.

A possible explanation of the positive, although weak, correlation of high density and heavy fishing pressure with high clapper ratios lies in the fact that high density of sea scallops attracts heavy fishing pressure by the fleet. Under conditions of high density, a low rate of natural mortality will still cause the formation of a large number of clapper shells. As shown above, some of these clappers may persist for as long as 2 years. During this time the fleet is constantly reducing the numbers of live scallops but returning the clapper shells that they catch to the sea. When we sample the area some time later, we collect all the clappers that were formed during the past year or so but only those live scallops that remain after heavy exploitation. The density of those that remain may still be high as compared with other areas of the Bank but we will obtain a clapper ratio that is too high because we do not know the density of the original stock from which the clappers were formed.

There appears to be more than scallop density or fishing activity involved to produce high natural mortality. Probably the general environment, the bottom structure, and the general community relationships of an area are all involved. Unusually high clapper ratios have been found only in Area Group 3. Here conditions are such that year classes of some strength enter the fishery each year. Consistently, over the years, more scallops are taken from this part of Georges Bank than anywhere else (Fig. 1). The bottom sediments are composed generally of gravel and/or medium coarse sand (Wigley, 1961). Usually a good assortment of invertebrates, shell, and other debris is brought up with the scallops. The dredge hauls with high clapper ratios usually contain a high percentage of invertebrates, particularly fouling types. The substrate is effectual for larval settlement of all kinds. Returning the shucked remains of scallops to the bottom year after year possibly helps enrich the fauna of this area. For instance, in a $1200-$ square-mile ( 3108 sq km ) area in Area Group 3 in 1961, over 18 million pounds ( 8,182 metric tons) of scallop meats were taken. The adductor muscle, which is the only meat utilized, makes up
approximately one third of the body weight. Thus, two thirds of the body meat or about 36 million pounds ( 16,364 metric tons) of scallop waste meat were returned to the sea in this area during the year, an average of 2500 pounds per square mile ( $438 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{km}$ ) each month. In 1961 an average of 22.5 scallops ( 45 shell valves) were shucked for each pound of meat yielded in Area Group 3. This means that over 800 million scallop valves were returned to the sea in this area that year as additional substrate for larval settlement.

On the other hand, when an exceptionally successful year class enters the fishery, sea scallops may also be found in large numbers elsewhere on Georges Bank. In 1959 a large year class entered the fishery in Area Group 2. The bottom sediments here are composed generally of medium and fine sand. The total invertebrate fauna was not rich in 1959 as inferred from the almost pure catches of scallops taken in the dredges. Only slightly more "trash" was recorded from hauls in 1960. In 1961, associated organisms had begun to increase and in 1962 they comprised a definite part of each haul. Significantly, in 1962 the number of clappers in Area Group 2 also was on the increase (Table 5). This suggests that an increase of invertebrates including fouling organisms may be responsible for increase in scallop mortality or vice versa.

## Summary

The ratio of clapper shells to live sea scallops during the period 1958-1960 as observed in research vessel catches has been less than .10 in Subarea 5Z except for a restricted area in the northeast part of Georges Bank. Here, it was estimated at .19 in 1960 and .16 in 1961 , but declined to .07 in 1962.

Two samples of clapper shells which were aged to determine the year and month of death, gave estimates of 36 and 26 weeks as the average time that clappers will persist after death from causes other than fishing. Analysis of 13 length frequency distribution samples of both live and clapper shells for the time that would have been
required for a sea scallop to grow from the length at which a prominent mode occurred in the clapper distribution to the length at which a prominent mode occurred in the live distribution gave an average of 37 weeks.

Using a weighted average of the clapper ratios of .0662 and an average time required for clappers to separate of 33 weeks, it is concluded that the average instantaneous natural mortality rate of the sea scallop stocks of Georges Bank during the period of study was about . 10 .
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TABLE 1. Live and clapper scallops arranged by unit areas for all cruises, $1958-1963$. The number of 10 -minute tows, clapper ratios, and density index - when available - are also listed for each unit area.

| Unit area | No. of <br> tows | Live <br> seallops | Clapper <br> shells | Clapper <br> ratio | Density <br> index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

ALBATROSS III CRUISE 113, JUNE 1958

| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~F}-3$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-6$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | - |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 1 | 674 | 0 | .0000 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-4$ | 1 | 93 | 0 | .0000 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 3 | 506 | 3 | .0059 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-2$ | 9 | 1573 | 8 | .0051 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-3$ | 4 | 3950 | 20 | .0051 |
| $41 / 6 \mathrm{D}-4$ | 4 | 4522 | 122 | .0270 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 2 | 2269 | 48 | .0212 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 19 | 1212 | 30 | .0025 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-5$ | 2 | 408 | 0 | .0000 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-6$ | 4 | 1936 | 0 | .0000 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{C}-6$ | 1 | 1028 | 3 | .0029 |
| Total | 54 | 29071 | 234 |  |

DARTMOUTH CRUISE 1, SEPTEMBER 1958

| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-4$ | 2 | 1267 | 39 | .0308 | 384.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-6$ | 5 | 7102 | 57 | .0803 | - |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 40 | 9469 | 113 | .0012 | 143.9 |
| $4166 \mathrm{D}-4$ | 6 | 5391 | 189 | .0351 | 631.3 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 2 | 4489 | 103 | .0229 | 1796.5 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | 6 | 5004 | 87 | .0174 | - |
| Total | 61 | 32722 | 588 |  |  |

WHALING CITY CRUISE 3, MAY 1959

| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 4 | 7301 | 7 | .0010 | 475.6 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40 / 69 \mathrm{~F}-2$ | 17 | 3286 | 15 | .0046 | 61.7 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-3$ | 2 | 1003 | 156 | .1555 | 115.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-4$ | 2 | 778 | 125 | .1607 | 56.0 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 8 | 10671 | 173 | .0162 | 410.3 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 2 | 1653 | 122 | .0738 | 281.7 |
| $41 / 67 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 3 | 291 | 1 | .0034 | 10.3 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | 8 | 7599 | 159 | .0209 | 261.3 |
| Total | 46 | 32582 | 758 |  |  |

WHALING CITY CRUISE 4, SEPTEMBER 1959

| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 9 | 9139 | 16 | .0018 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 8 | 23638 | 79 | .0033 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 5 | 16010 | 834 | .0521 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | 13 | 6512 | 142 | .0218 |
| Total | 35 | 55299 | 1071 |  |

TABLE 1. (continued)

| Unit area | No. of tows | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Live } \\ & \text { scallops } \end{aligned}$ | Clapper shells | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Clapper } \end{aligned}$ | Density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DELAWARE CRUISE 60-8, MAY 1960 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~A}-2$ | 1 | 191 | 0 | . 0000 | 49.9 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 1 | 53 | 0 | . 0000 | 11.3 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 2 | 333 | 1 | . 0030 | 47.8 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 6 | 1681 | 23 | . 0137 | 72.2 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 3 | 611 | 4 | . 0065 | 52.0 |
| $40 / 67$ D-1 | 4 | 1371 | 11 | . 0080 | 81.2 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 1 | 487 | 3 | . 0062 | 125.5 |
| $40 / 68$ A-1 | 3 | 399 | 0 | . 0000 | 73.7 |
| $40 / 68$ D-2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.0 |
| $40 / 68$ E-1 | 2 | 98 | 0 | . 0000 | - |
| $40 / 68$ F-2 | 1 | 123 | 3 | . 0244 | - |
| $40 / 69$ F-1 | 2 | 167 | 1 | . 0060 | 52.8 |
| $40 / 69$ F-2 | 5 | 846 | 4 | . 0047 | 36.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{B-1}$ | 2 | 625 | 37 | . 0592 | 77.4 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 1 | 139 | 12 | . 0863 | 35.3 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 1 | 469 | 1 | . 0021 | 161.0 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-2$ | 1 | 307 | 8 | 0261 | 124.6 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-3$ | 1 | 1426 | 337 | . 2363 | 449.4 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 7 | 2808 | 341 | . 1214 | 149.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1 | 500 | 50 | . 1000 | 173.0 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~F}-2$ | 1 | 1556 | 84 | 0540 | - |
| $41 / 67$ D-6 | 1 | 106 | 0 | . 0000 | 36.1 |
| $41 / 67$ E-6 | 1 | 358 | , | 0028 | 107.0 |
| $41 / 68$ A-6 | 1 | 758 | 1 | . 0013 | 198.8 |
| $41 / 68$ B-6 | 1 | 798 | 6 | . 0075 | 188.2 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-6$ | 2 | 2002 | 882 | 4406 | 196.4 |
| $42 / 66$ B-6 | 3 | 841 | 259 | . 3080 | 83.1 |
| $42 / 67$ E-6 | 1 | 0 | , | - | 0.0 |
| $41 / 67$ F-6 | 2 | 2447 | 364 | . 1488 | 402.1 |
| Total | 58 | 21500 | 2433 |  |  |

DELAWARE CRUISE 61-7, MAY 1961

| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 3 | 241 | 0 | .0000 | 22.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~A}-2$ | 5 | 674 | 9 | .0134 | 37.5 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 4 | 958 | 4 | .0042 | 80.1 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 4 | 816 | 0 | .0000 | 68.8 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 12 | 4563 | 122 | .0267 | 110.2 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 3 | 642 | 25 | .0389 | 41.9 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 5 | 1877 | 23 | .0123 | 101.0 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 5 | 2584 | 1 | .0000 | 176.0 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-1$ | 2 | 635 | 11 | .0173 | 91.4 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | .0000 | 0.3 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 1 | 22 | 0 | .0000 | 5.9 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~B}-3$ | 1 | 41 | 0 | .0000 | 14.7 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{C}-3$ | 1 | 11 | 0 | .0000 | 3.2 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 1 | 68 | 0 | .0000 | 21.2 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~F}-1$ | 2 | 112 | 0 | .0000 | 29.1 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~F}-2$ | 1 | 301 | 0 | .0000 | 94.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 4 | 232 | 2 | .0046 | 46.9 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 1 | 56 | 416 | .0686 | 370.3 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-5$ | 4 | 0 | .0000 | 21.9 |  |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 1 | 8403 | 212 | .0623 | 185.4 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-4$ |  |  |  | 35 | .0429 |

TABLE 1. (continued)

| Unit area | No. of <br> tows | Live <br> scallops | Clapper <br> shells | Clapper <br> ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

DELAWARE CRUISE 61-7, MAY 1961 (Continued)

| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 4 | 1457 | 668 | . 4585 | 94.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-3$ | 4 | 1973 | 214 | . 1085 | 168.1 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 7 | 3038 | 784 | . 2581 | 129.3 |
| $41 / 67 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 2 | 448 | 6 | . 0134 | 64.2 |
| $41 / 67$ D-1 | 2 | 517 | 1 | . 0019 | 78.7 |
| $41 / 67 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 4 | 333 | 8 | . 0240 | 42.5 |
| $41 / 67 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 1 | 370 | 3 | . 0081 | 125.4 |
| $41 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-1$ | 4 | 574 | 4 | . 0070 | 59.2 |
| $41 / 67$ F-6 | 1 | 53 | 1 | . 0019 | 14.4 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{~A}-5$ | 4 | 483 | 2 | . 0041 | 48.6 |
| $41 / 68$ A-6 | 6 | 1208 | 9 | . 0075 | 54.4 |
| $41 / 68$ B-4 | 2 | 129 | 3 | . 0233 | 15.2 |
| $41 / 68$ B-5 | 4 | 279 | 9 | . 0323 | 20.5 |
| $41 / 68$ B-6 | 9 | 966 | 0 | . 0000 | 39.6 |
| $41 / 68$ C-3 | 1 | 134 | 3 | . 0224 | 37.6 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{C}-4$ | 6 | 541 | 8 | . 0148 | 22.2 |
| $41 / 68$ C-5 | 4 | 669 | 9 | . 0135 | 43.5 |
| $41 / 68$ C-6 | 6 | 627 | 51 | . 0813 | 30.2 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{D}-2$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.0 |
| $41 / 68$ D-3 | 2 | 15 | 0 | . 0000 | 2.5 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 1 | 13 | 0 | . 0000 | 4.4 |
| $41 / 68$ F-1 | 2 | 137 | 0 | . 0000 | 21.9 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{~F}-2$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | . 0000 | 1.0 |
| $41 / 69 \mathrm{~F}-6$ | 6 | 452 | 9 | . 0199 | 17.1 |
| $42 / 66$ A-6 | 4 | 4146 | 1474 | . 3555 | 174.6 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | 1 | 89 | 3 | . 0337 | 23.9 |
| $42 / 67$ D-6 | 4 | 1641 | 29 | . 0177 | 128.3 |
| 42/67 E-6 | 4 | 2906 | 331 | . 1139 | 251.7 |
| 42/67 F-6 | 4 | 6650 | 1046 | . 1573 | 240.3 |
| Total | 167 | 54172 | 5535 |  |  |

DELAWARE CRUISE 61-13, AUGUST 1961

| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 6 | 295 | 29 | .0983 | 23.6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 212 | 122341 | 2950 | .0241 | 183.6 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | 2 | 1125 | 516 | .4587 | 169.2 |
| Total | 110 | 123761 | 3495 |  |  |

CAPE EAGLE CRUISE, SEPTEMBER 1961

| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 38 | 9953 | 809 | .0813 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 50 | 19415 | 3065 | .1579 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-6$ | 41 | 56864 | 11708 | .2059 |
| Total | 129 | 86232 | 15582 |  |

TABLE 1. (continued)

| Unit area | No. of tows | Live scallops | Clapper shells | Clapper ratio | Density index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DELAWARE CRUISE 61-16, SEPTEMBER 1961 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 4 | 343 | 0 | . 0000 | 32.5 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~A}-2$ | 4 | 1308 | 1 | . 0008 | 81.3 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~A}-3$ | 4 | 40 | 0 | . 0000 | 0.3 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 4 | 874 | 13 | . 0149 | 45.7 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 4 | 1104 | 3 | . 0027 | 60.8 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 4 | 1857 | 18 | . 0097 | 79.6 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 4 | 810 | 28 | . 0346 | 43.6 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 4 | 3179 | 37 | . 0116 | 155.4 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{D}-2$ | 4 | 498 | 10 | . 0201 | 35.1 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 4 | 5014 | 14 | . 0028 | 271.6 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 4 | 48 | 0 | . 0000 | 5.7 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-1$ | 4 | 2202 | 10 | . 0045 | 174.5 |
| $40 / 68$ C-2 | 1 | 175 | 0 | . 0000 | 42.6 |
| $40 / 68$ D-3 | 4 | 361 | 1 | . 0028 | 20.8 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 4 | 80 | 0 | . 0000 | 4.9 |
| 40/68 F-2 | 4 | 3444 | 2 | . 0006 | 116.5 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~F}-3$ | 4 | 78 | 1 | . 0128 | 4.3 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 4 | 1038 | 84 | . 0809 | 66.1 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-6$ | 5 | 231 | 1 | . 0043 | 31.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 4 | 1378 | 48 | . 0348 | 123.3 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-5$ | 4 | 325 | 18 | . 0554 | 21.9 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | 4 | 159 | 7 | . 0440 | 14.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 5 | 111 | 9 | . 0811 | 10.1 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 4 | 64 | 1 | . 0156 | 5.9 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-3$ | 4 | 14 | 0 | . 0000 | 0.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-4$ | 4 | 1513 | 67 | . 0443 | 139.8 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-5$ | 4 | 753 | 150 | . 1992 | 49.0 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 4 | 14 | 4 | . 2857 | 4.6 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-2$ | 4 | 539 | 60 | . 1113 | 31.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-3$ | 4 | 500 | 32 | . 0640 | 53.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-4$ | 4 | 1427 | 198 | . 1388 | 134.7 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 5 | 4207 | 3492 | . 8300 | 280.4 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 4 | 1294 | 211 | . 1631 | 70.1 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 4 | 2031 | 271 | . 1334 | 140.6 |
| $41 / 67 \mathrm{ECG}$ | 4 | 205 | 1 | . 0049 | 14.8 |
| $41 / 67$ F-6 | 4 | 126 | 1 | . 0079 | 9.8 |
| $41 / 68$ A-5 | 4 | 22 | 7 | . 3182 | 3.7 |
| $41 / 68$ A- 6 | 4 | 353 | 3 | . 0085 | 36.3 |
| $41 / 68$ B-5 | 4 | 563 | 0 | . 0000 | 19.7 |
| $41 / 68$ B-6 | 5 | 2294 | 44 | . 0192 | 49.8 |
| $41 / 69 \mathrm{C}-3$ | 2 | 120 | 3 | . 0250 | 13.9 |
| $41 / 69$ D-3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.0 |
| $41 / 69 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 2 | 1 | 0 | . 0000 | 0.1 |
| $41 / 69$ E-5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.0 |
| $41 / 69$ F-5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | . 0000 | 0.3 |
| $41 / 69 \mathrm{~F}-6$ | 4 | 504 | 0 | . 0000 | 68.8 |
| $42 / 66$ A-6 | 4 | 3421 | 685 | . 2002 | 783.8 |
| $42 / 66$ B-6 | 4 | 943 | 213 | . 2259 | 57.6 |
| $42 / 66$ C-6 | 4 | 647 | 419 | . 6476 | 56.5 |
| 42/67 E-6 | 4 | 2422 | 603 | . 2490 | 202.1 |
| $42 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-6$ | 4 | 5724 | 986 | . 1723 | 382.1 |
| Total | 195 | 54361 | 7756 |  |  |

TABLE 1. (continued)

| Unit area | No. of <br> tows | Live <br> scallops | Clapper <br> shells | Clapper <br> ratio | Density <br> index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

DELAWARE CRUISE 62-6, MAY 1962

| 40/67 A-1 | 4 | 176 | 1 | . 0057 | 12.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40/67 A-2 | 4 | 801 | 8 | . 0100 | 62.8 |
| $40 / 67$ B-1 | 4 | 305 | 13 | . 0426 | 19.9 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 4 | 786 | 3 | . 0038 | 56.9 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 9 | 6029 | 261 | . 0433 | 163.4 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 4 | 286 | 21 | . 0734 | 21.7 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 9 | 11797 | 578 | . 0490 | 205.7 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{D}-2$ | 4 | 349 | 11 | . 0315 | 27.0 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 9 | 12878 | 428 | . 0332 | 296.8 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-1$ | 4 | 11298 | 58 | . 0051 | 309.0 |
| 40/68 A-1 | 4 | 429 | 1 | . 0023 | 33.1 |
| $40 / 69 \mathrm{~F}-1$ | 4 | 530 | 9 | . 0170 | 44.9 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 4 | 20 | 0 | . 0000 | 1.8 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 3 | 1902 | 62 | . 0326 | 144.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-5$ | 3 | 322 | 4 | . 0124 | 33.3 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 4 | 1478 | 46 | . 0311 | 111.4 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 4 | 535 | 7 | . 0131 | 41.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-3$ | 4 | 211 | 3 | . 0142 | 17.6 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-4$ | 4 | 1458 | 37 | . 0254 | 113.1 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-5$ | 4 | 797 | 44 | . 0552 | 75.4 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 4 | 826 | 83 | . 1005 | 73.4 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-2$ | 4 | 236 | 7 | . 0297 | 21.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-3$ | 4 | 1156 | 24 | . 0208 | 85.7 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-4$ | 4 | 4220 | 172 | . 0408 | 238.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 4 | 1359 | 393 | . 2891 | 175.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 4 | 706 | 61 | . 0864 | 84.1 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 5 | 4203 | 192 | . 0457 | 274.6 |
| $41 / 67 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 4 | 113 | 1 | . 0088 | 9.2 |
| $41 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-6$ | 3 | 307 | 2 | . 0065 | 28.0 |
| $41 / 68$ A-6 | 4 | 239 | 4 | . 0167 | 20.4 |
| $41 / 68$ B-6 | 4 | 107 | 4 | . 0374 | 8.3 |
| $42 / 66$ A-6 | 4 | 3892 | 336 | . 0863 | 195.8 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | 4 | 2538 | 268 | . 1056 | 153.8 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{C}-6$ | 5 | 2631 | 253 | . 0962 | 96.1 |
| $42 / 66$ D-6 | 4 | 1696 | 55 | . 0324 | 158.4 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 4 | 2563 | 219 | . 0854 | 180.1 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~F}-6$ | 4 | 2000 | 199 | . 0995 | 104.5 |
| Total | 162 | 81179 | 3868 |  |  |

## DELAWARE CRUISE 62-10, SEPTEMBER 1962

| $41 / 65 \mathrm{~A}-2$ | 5 | 642 | 12 | .0187 | 46.5 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 8 | 366 | 22 | .0601 | 24.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 6 | 1929 | 114 | .0591 | 90.9 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-4$ | 5 | 462 | 6 | .0130 | 43.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-5$ | 6 | 246 | 5 | .0203 | 22.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 6 | 1254 | 68 | .0542 | 95.1 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 5 | 650 | 22 | .0338 | 44.1 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-3$ | 7 | 557 | 8 | .0144 | 25.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-4$ | 6 | 2551 | 88 | .0345 | 137.4 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{C}-5$ | 6 | 1254 | 34 | .0271 | 81.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 5 | 1382 | 118 | .0854 | 96.8 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-2$ | 5 | 668 | 9 | .0135 | 42.0 |

TABLE 1. (continued)

| Unit area | No. of <br> tows | Live <br> scallops | Clapper <br> shells | Clapper <br> ratio | Density <br> index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

DELAWARE CRUISE 62-10, SEPTEMBER 1962 (Continued)

| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-3$ | 7 | 1409 | 23 | .0163 | 58.4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-4$ | 6 | 14112 | 460 | .0326 | 392.8 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-5$ | 6 | 3224 | 185 | .0574 | 102.9 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 6 | 2904 | 1258 | .4332 | 213.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 6 | 1215 | 23 | .0189 | 58.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 6 | 6052 | 150 | .0248 | 163.2 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~F}-1$ | 6 | 1947 | 259 | .1330 | 75.5 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~F}-2$ | 6 | 2621 | 417 | .1591 | 147.3 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{~F}-3$ | 6 | 2577 | 64 | .0248 | 92.7 |
| $41 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-1$ | 4 | 112 | 9 | .0804 | 12.3 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-6$ | 5 | 1992 | 302 | .1516 | 90.8 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | 6 | 1659 | 155 | .0934 | 114.1 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{C}-6$ | 6 | 1291 | 275 | .2130 | 72.2 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{D}-6$ | 6 | 1524 | 300 | .1969 | 66.3 |
| $42 / 67 \mathrm{D}-6$ | 7 | 2711 | 157 | .0579 | 108.9 |
| $42 / 67 \mathrm{E}-6$ | 5 | 1881 | 221 | .1175 | 85.4 |
| $42 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-6$ | 7 | 1670 | 180 | .1078 | 100.2 |
| Total | 171 | 60862 | 4944 |  |  |

ALBATROSS IV CRUISE 63-1, MAY 1963

| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~A}-2$ | 6 | 940 | 68 | .0723 | 47.7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~B}-1$ | 6 | 515 | 44 | .0854 | 22.9 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~B}-2$ | 6 | 583 | 22 | .0377 | 31.2 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-1$ | 6 | 713 | 74 | .1038 | 37.1 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{C}-2$ | 6 | 409 | 90 | .2200 | 19.1 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{D}-1$ | 6 | 1836 | 206 | .1122 | 110.2 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 6 | 1525 | 234 | .1534 | 91.9 |
| $40 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-1$ | 6 | 4422 | 553 | .1251 | 224.8 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~F}-2$ | 6 | 786 | 49 | .0623 | 35.9 |
| Total | 54 | 11729 | 1340 |  |  |

ALBATROSS IV CRUISE 63-3, JUNE 1963

| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~A}-1$ | 6 | 630 | 6 | .0095 | 23.7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~A}-4$ | 6 | 416 | 15 | .0361 | 20.0 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{~B}-3$ | 6 | 250 | 5 | .0200 | 15.1 |
| $40 / 68 \mathrm{C}-3$ | 6 | 414 | 1 | .0024 | 19.6 |
| $40 / 69 \mathrm{E}-1$ | 6 | 161 | 0 | .0000 | 7.8 |
| $40 / 69 \mathrm{E}-2$ | 6 | 311 | 5 | .0161 | 12.8 |
| $40 / 69 \mathrm{~F}-1$ | 6 | 941 | 5 | .0053 | 37.7 |
| $40 / 69 \mathrm{~F}-2$ | 6 | 449 | 1 | .0022 | 24.3 |
| $40 / 69 \mathrm{F-}-3$ | 6 | 197 | 4 | .0203 | 8.8 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{~A}-6$ | 6 | 321 | 2 | .0062 | 25.6 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{~B}-5$ | 7 | 276 | 1 | .0036 | 11.4 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | 5 | 275 | 2 | .0073 | 14.3 |
| $41 / 68 \mathrm{C}-6$ | 6 | 596 | 145 | .2433 | 28.9 |
| $41 / 69 \mathrm{E}-5$ | 5 | 219 | 4 | .0183 | 23.0 |
| $41 / 69 \mathrm{~F}-5$ | 6 | 474 | 9 | .0190 | 24.9 |
| $41 / 69 \mathrm{~F}-6$ | 5 | 633 | 2 | .0032 | 53.4 |
| Total | 94 | 6563 | 207 |  |  |

TABLE 2. Average size at time of formation of the annual rings for the live sea scallops collected in August 1961 from unit area $42 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-6$

| Ring no. | Average size | Measurements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $m m$ | $n o$. |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 | 20.2 | 481 |
| 3 | 47.0 | 502 |
| 4 | 74.6 | 504 |
| 5 | 95.7 | 478 |
| 6 | 109.8 | 172 |
| 7 | 119.6 | 123 |
| 8 | 126.5 | 62 |
| 9 | 132.0 | 28 |
| 10 | 142.3 | 6 |
| 11 | 148.4 | 1 |
| 12 | 149.8 | 1 |

TABLE 3. Clappers arranged by year classes to show how many died each month.

| Month clapper died | 59 | 58 | 57 | 56 | 55 |  |  | 52 | 51 | 50 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| August 1961 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| July |  | 2 | 6 | 1 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 17 |
| June |  | 1 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 25 |
| May | 1 | 2 | 12 | 4 |  | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 25 |
| April |  | 2 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 27 |
| March | 1 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  | 37 |
| February |  | 5 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 32 |
| January |  |  | 23 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 | , |  |  | 37 |
| December 1960 |  | 1 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 28 |
| November |  | 2 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  | 43 |
| October |  | 3 | 15 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 1 |  | 55 |
| September | 1 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 29 |
| August |  |  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 21 |
| July |  |  | 7 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 16 |
| June |  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 17 |
| May |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 6 |
| April |  |  | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 21 |
| March |  |  | 4 | 2 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  | 10 |
| February |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 4 |
| January |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 7 |
| December 1959 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 8 |
| November |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 5 |
| October |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| September |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Total | 3 | 23 | 174 | 66 | 74 | 71 | 41 | 23 | 4 | 1 | 480 |

TABLE 4. Modal lengths of live and clapper shells in samples with high clapper ratios. The last column gives the average number of weeks required for a sea scallop to grow from the length at the clapper mode to the length at the live mode

| Unit area | Date | Clappers | Live | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $m m$ | $m m$ | wks |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | May 1960 | 93.0 | 107.4 | 48 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-3$ | May 1960 | 102.1 | 107.4 | 20 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-6$ | May 1960 | 92.2 | 97.9 | 17 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | May 1960 | 97.6 | 107.8 | 37 |
| $42 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-6$ | May 1960 | 102.3 | 106.6 | 17 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-3$ | May 1961 | 102.9 | 112.6 | 42 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-2$ | May 1961 | 102.6 | 112.7 | 44 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~A}-6$ | May 1961 | 97.7 | 106.7 | 32 |
| $42 / 67 \mathrm{E}-6$ | May 1961 | 108.3 | 118.2 | 43 |
| $42 / 67 \mathrm{~F}-6$ | May 1961 | 101.9 | 107.0 | 20 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{D}-1$ | May 1962 | 117.5 | 122.6 | 32 |
| $41 / 66 \mathrm{E}-1$ | May 1962 | 93.4 | 112.8 | 69 |
| $42 / 66 \mathrm{~B}-6$ | May 1962 | 117.6 | 126.8 | 60 |

TABLE 5. Summary of average clapper ratios by Area Group and year

| Aroa group | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | Average | Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | .0087 | .0158 | .0037 | .0287 | .0184 | .0318 | .0178 | 14 |
| 2 | - | .0024 | .0045 | .0017 | .0298 | .0851 | .0247 | 31 |
| 3 | .0102 | .0757 | .1895 | .1616 | .0727 | - | .1019 | 55 |

## NOTES

## Adult Redfish in the Open Ocean

(Interim Report on Fishing Trials at Ocean Weather Station 'A').

The Continuous Plankton Recorder survey has been operated over large areas of the central North Atlantic from 1955 onwards, and has been progressively extended further to the west in recent years (Glover, 1962, Fig. 6). The sampling, at the standard depth of 10 m , and at monthly intervals as far as possible, now covers a large area of the North Atlantic between the United Kingdom and Iceland, Greenland and Newfoundland. The work is supported by a grant from H. M. Treasury through the Development Fund, and by Contracts N62558-2834 and 3612 between the Office of Naval Research, Department of the United States Navy, and the Scottish Marine Biological Association.

The survey has shown the presence of large numbers of young redfish in the open ocean in the region south of Iceland and over the Reykjanes and mid-Atlantic Ridges, in the months April to July of every year. Details of the distributions of these young stages have been given by Henderson (1961, 1962) and a gencral outline of the extent of the distribution in May, the month of greatest abundance, is shown in Fig. 1, based on the material available from 1956-1962.


Fig. 1. The distribution of young Sebastes in the areas south of Iceland and over the Reykjanes and midAtlantic Ridges in May, based on sampling with Plankton Recorders during the years 1956-1962. Broken lines indicate regions in which the sampling was insufficient to determine the precise boundary of distribution.
The black circle shows the position of Ocean Weather Station ' A '.

The young stages found in this large oceanic area have been considered, in the light of the descriptions available, to be those of the large redfish, Sebastes marinus L., distinguished from the other viviparous Sebastes species by the absence of isolated melanophores below the root of the primordial caudal fin (Tảning, 1961 and Templeman and Sandeman, 1959). Doubts as to the reliability of this identification are growing, however, (Kotthaus, 1961, Raitt, 1962, and Graham, 1962) and it was evident that much more directly related information about parents and young was required. This oceanic stock is not fished commercially and it was, therefore, with special interest that a report from the Master of the Dutch weather ship Cumulus was received. He stated that 'plenty of redfish' (since ascertained to number about 400) were caught with rod and line while his vessel was on duty at Ocean Weather Station ' $A$ ' in $62^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Lat., $33^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. Long. (Fig. 1) in May and June 1961. This seemed to offer an opportunity of sampling the adult stock in an area where the young stages were usually present from April to July and were nearly always very abundant in May (Henderson, 1961, Fig. 3).

Experimental fishing trials were carried out, with the help of British and Dutch weather ships occupying this station, from April to September, 1962. The objectives were: (a) to catch 'spawning' redfish; and (b) to obtain as much information as possible about the adult stock in this area from specimens preserved in formalin and returned to the laboratory. The trials were originally planned to cover the period April to early June, when extrusion of young occurs, but eventually they were continued until early September, well after the last of the young stages had been taken in Recorder samples. The limited results from these fishing trials in 1962 indicated the possibility that the presence of adult redfish was not restricted to the 'spawning' pcriod, but that they might be present over a much greater part of the year. Further, no 'spawning' redfish had been caught, a finding in complete agreement with the statement by Kotthaus (1961) that ". . all trials to catch adult (spawning) redfish in the open ocean by long line or floating trawls failed in the layers down to $450 \mathrm{~m} \ldots$. .".

The fishing trials were, therefore, resumed in January, 1963 and, with the cooperation of the British, Dutch, French, and Norwegian weather ships occupying Ocean Weather Station ' $A$ ', it is hoped that the sampling will be continuous
throughout the year. The ships were supplied with a seafishing rod, a reel and a line fitted with three triple-hooked spinners; they were asked to fish a sequence of depths down to 400 m at least once in each week during the period on station.

TABLE 1. Monthly record of number and overall length of adult redfish caught at Ocean Weather Station 'A'.

| Month | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sept./Oct. | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number <br> of fish <br> caught 1962 <br>  1963 | P* | P | 9 | P | 0 3 | 60 | 66 68 | 30 139 | 25 | 12 - | $400+$ |
| Number <br> of fish <br> returned $\circ$ <br>  o <br>  <br>  <br>  Total | - - - | 5 2 7 | 1 | 4 8 | 0 | 12 1 13 | 76 5 81 | $\begin{array}{r} 46 \\ 2 \\ 48 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | - - - | 172 |
| Mean overall length (cm) | - | 39.6 | 38.2 | 36.1 |  | 37.2 | 37.4 | 37.6 | 37.7 | - | 37.5 |
| Range of overall lengths (cm) | - | $\begin{array}{r} 32.0 \\ - \\ 43.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.0 \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.0 \\ - \\ 41.0 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 35.0 \\ - \\ 40.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.0 \\ - \\ 43.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34.0 \\ & - \\ & 41.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35.0 \\ - \\ 42.0 \end{array}$ |  | 32.0 43.0 |

Preported as 'plentiful' or 'hundreds'. * ships fishing on own account - no fishing trial in these months.

Some details of the catches obtained during 1962 and 1963 are given in Table 1. Considerably more than 400 fish have been caught during the period of these trials although the exact figure cannot be determined as estimated numbers have been given in some reports.

Although the fishing lines were marked, the precise depth of capture may not always have been satisfactorily determined, due to drifting of the ship and the resulting variation in the angle of the line. However, from the available information, it seems most probable that the fish are not found above 200 m during the early part of the year, but in the summer months and also in December they may occur between 50 and 200 m . On the occasions when large numbers have been caught the depth of capture has generally been between 100 and 150 m .

The fact that a number of fish may be caught very easily by rod and line in a short time suggests that considerable aggregations must occur in this area at certain periods. Three examples of such catches are: 24 fish in 3 hours (May 1963), 21 fish in 3 hours (June 1963) and 40 fish in 13 hours
(July 1963). In December 1962, the captain of the Norwegian weather ship Palarfront II reported that as many as seven fish were brought up on ono cast.

A total of 172 specimens, preserved in formalin, has so far been returned to the Oceanographic Laboratory by the weather ships and all of these conform to the published criteria for Sebastes mentella Travin. Only 16 of the fish were males and in only one month (March 1963) was the sex ratio 1:1. Practically all the females have shown evidence of past spawning, or have had ovaries in various stages of ripening. In one specimen caught in late March 1963 it was observed that approximately one-third of the larvae had hatched. A very high proportion of the females caught in the period May-July, both in 1962 and 1963, had retained some larvae within the ovaries and oviducts. Many were found in perfect condition and all those so far examined were without sub-caudal melanophores; they are identical with the larvae sampled by the Plankton Recorder which have been considered to be S. marinus. This is a somewhat unexpected
finding in the light of the results presented by Templeman and Sandeman (1959) for pre-extrusion larvae of $S$. marinus and S. mentella. They found that sub-caudal melanophores were absent in $76 \%$ of larvae from S. marinus parents, and when present were usually single; whereas these melanophores were absent in only $2.3 \%$ of larvae from S. mentella parents, and were usually 2 to 4 in number.

The problem is clearly one of more precise identification of stocks or populations in the oceanic area; to this end the oceanic samples are being subjected to detailed study. A series of measurements selected from those used by Kelly, Barker and Clarke (1961) has been taken from each fish after preservation. Before any final conclusions can be drawn about variation within the stock of redfish at station ' $A$ ', the series will have to be completed and analysed statistically. Observations on the present figures show, how-

Oceanographic Laboratory, Edinburgh, Scotland
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